eg m	The second	124 Barriel	
	A CONTRACTOR		-
the second secon			
	the		
di alta	Ω. Ees	M.B. No. 1499-0017 inter Gescher 31, 2005	The section of the section of the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL ENERGENCY MAN FEDERAL ENERGENCY MON	VELAND SECURITY AGENEPAT AGENCY RESHEET EN DISCLOSURE NOTICE EN DISCLOSURE Barden nears the time, effort and finar EN DISCLOSURE NOTICE	acial resources burden estimate or any next of Herneland and of Herneland	
PAPER + OPER + OPERATION - OPE	to mission in us. The Collections Management. relation of the second se	Lunis comer of this per right comer of this ATEGORY	
fem. NOTE: Do not send your completes designed from PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO. WORK COMPLETE AS O	F	
INBASTER			
DIBASTER FEMAOR DANAGED FACILITY	COUNTY	L OMOTIVE	
DIBASTER FEMAOR DAMAGED FACILITY APPLICANT	COUNTY	LONGITUDE	A CONTRACT
DEBASTER FEMAOR DAMAGED FACILITY APPLICANT LOCATION	COUNTY	LONGITUDE	9/
DIBASTER FEMAOR DAMAGED FACILITY APPLICANT LOCATION DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS			
DIBASTER FEMA:OR DAMAGED FACILITY APPLICANT LOCATION DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DMENSIONS SCOPE OF WORK	LATITUDE		

# **Project Worksheet Development Guide** July 2008



# **Table of Contents**

AC	ACRONYMS vii				
INT	<b>FRODUCTION</b>	1			
I.	COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION	5			
	General	5			
	Initiating Your Assignment	5			
	Getting Organized Meeting with the PAC Crew Leader Set up a "System" Applicant Relations				
	Defining the Project Meeting with the Applicant Project Definition Project Formulation Multiple Sites				
	Conducting the Site Visit Safety! Documenting the Damage Site Conditions				
	Defining the Scope of Work	18			
	Special Considerations	19			
	Collecting Cost Information Small Projects versus Large Projects Work Completed versus Work to Be Completed Cost Estimates Force Account Unit Prices Contracts Using the Cost Estimating Format (CEF) to Estimate Project Costs	20 20 20 21 21 21 23 23 24 24			
	Before You Leave the Site	25			
II.	COMPLETING THE PROJECT WORKSHEET				
	General Level of Detail and Discussion Style versus Content Tips on Reviewing your own PW NEMIS vs. EMMIE Processing of Project Worksheets				
	Using the PW and the Supplemental Forms Using a Narrative				
	Completing the PW Blocks				

i

	BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION	. 30
	Disaster	. 30
	Project Number	. 31
	PA ID NO	. 31
	Date	. 32
	Category	. 33
	Damaged Facility	. 34
	Applicant	. 35 24
	Applicant	. 30 26
	Location	. 30 27
	Latitude and Longitude	38
	Prepared By / Title / Signature	. 39
	Applicant Rep. / Title / Signature	. 39
	DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS	40
	Describe the Cause of the Demogra	. 40
	Describe the Cause of the Damage	.41 12
	Describe the pre-disaster condition of the facility	. 4Z
	Quantify specific disaster-related damages or emergency services needed	. 42
	Identify latitude and longitude	. 45
	SCOPE OF WORK	48
	Describe the work personant to remove and dispess of disaster related debris, conduc	. <del>.</del>
	operance response measures or repair or replace the disaster damaged facility to the	.i 20
	nre-disaster condition	ات 49
	Document "Work Completed" and "Work to Be Completed"	50
	Describe any work that will restore a facility beyond its pre-disaster condition	. 50
	Describe any Special Considerations that affect the Scope of Work	. 51
	Document ineligible work and associated costs	. 51
	Describe the basis for the cost estimate	. 52
	SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS – FOUR QUESTIONS	. 57
		ГО
	PROJECT COST	. 58
	VERSIONS / AMENDMENTS / CHANGE ORDERS	. 61
	Charling the DW Date	10
		. 62
ш	ADDRESSING SDECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	65
111.	ADDRESSING SI ECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	. 03
	Special Consideration Questions	65
		. 05
	Question 2	. 03
	Question 3	. 69
	Question 4	. 71
	Question 5	. 73
	Question 6	. 75
	Question 7	. 77
	Question 8	. 78
	Question 9	. 80

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A – Public Assistance Program Information Resources

Appendix B – Project Worksheet and Supplemental Forms

Appendix C – Collecting Project Information Checklist

Appendix D – Categorical Checklist

Appendix E – Project Worksheet Quality Review Checklist

Appendix  ${\sf F}$  – Public Assistance Special Considerations Questions and Review Tool

Appendix G – Instructions for Creating a FIRMette

Appendix H – Sample Project Worksheets

Secti	on I - Collec	cting Project Information	Section	II - Completing the	e Project Inf	ormation	Section III -	Special Considerations
		U.S. DEPA FEDERAL E PI	RTMENT OF HOM MERGENCY MAI ROJECT WOF	MELAND SECURITY NAGEMENT AGENO RKSHEET	( Y	Notion		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
Public expend aspect Securi 1660-0 form.	reporting bu led by person of the collec ty, Federal E 017). You a NOTE: Do	rden for this form is estim ns to generate, maintain, d tion, including suggestion mergency Management A ure not required to respond <b>not send your completed</b>	APERWORK ated to average 9 isclose, or to pro s for reducing the gency, 500 C Str to this collectio questionnaire to	BURDEN DISC 00 minutes per response vide information to the burden to: Informa- eet, SW, Washington n of information un o this address.	CLOSURE 1 onse. Burden us. You may ation Collection, DC 20472 less a valid C	nonce weans the send com ons Manag Paperwor MB numb	time, effort and fi ments regarding tl gement, U.S. Depa rk Reduction Proje er appears in the u	nancial resources ne burden estimate or any rtment of Homeland eet (OMB Control Number ppper right corner of this
DISAS	TER Pa	nge 30 PROJECT N	O. age 31	PA ID NO. Pages	31-32	DATE	Page 32	CATEGORY Pages 33-34
DAMA	GED FACILI	TY Page 34				WORK	COMPLETE AS O	F ges 35-36
APPLI	CANT	Page 36		COUNTY	Pages 36-37			%
LOCA.	NON	Pages 37-38					LATITUDE	LONGITUDE Iges 38-39
DAMA	GE DESCRI	PTION AND DIMENSIONS	3				1	
	Pages	13-18 Pages 40-	48					
SCOP	E OF WORK							
Pages 18-19 Pages 48-57 Pages 19, 57-58								
Does	the Scope o	of Work change the pre-	disaster conditi	ons at the site?	Yes		No Pages 65	-81
Speci Is the	al Consider re insurance	ations issues included? e coverage on this facili	Ty? Yes	No No	Hazard M Page	fitigation 19	proposal include Pages 57-58	d? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
				PROJECT CO	DST			
ITEM	CODE	r	ARRATIVE		QUANTIT	Y/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST
0	1	Pag	es 20-25					
		Pag	es 58-61					
		**************************************					TOTAL COST	•
PREPA	ARED BY		TITLE	Page 39		SIGNATU	RE	
APPLI	CANT REP.		TITLE	Pages 39-40		SIGNATU	RE	

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUSEDITIONS.

iv

# Project Worksheet Development Guide

Section I - Collecting Project Information	Section II - Completing the I	Project Information Sec	tion III - Special Considerations			
DEPARTMEN FEDERAL EMERG SPECIAL CONS	T OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENC' SIDERATIONS QUESTIONS	Y	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008			
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE			
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION				
	Form must be filled out - for	each project.				
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work	1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)         Yes       No         Unsure       Comments         Page 19       Pages 57-58         Pages 65-67					
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo Yes No Unsure C Page 19	odplain or coastal high hazard a Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	rea/or does it have an impact	on a floodplain or wetland?			
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work loca Protected Area? Yes No Unsure Page 19	ated within or adjacent to a Coas Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	stal Barrier Resource System	Unit or an Otherwise			
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstru- function) Yes No Unsure C Page 19	ction change the pre-disaster co Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	ndition? (e.g., footprint, materia	l, location, capacity, use or			
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation	Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?     Yes No Unsure Comments     Page 19 Pages 57-58 Pages 73-74					
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there other, similar buildings near the site? Yes No Unsure Comments Page 19 Pages 57-58 Pages 75-77</li></ul>						
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	o on, or near, the project site? A Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	re there large tracts of forest	and?			
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or ad	ljacent to the damaged facility a Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	nd/or item of work?				
9. Are there any other environmental or contro Yes No Unsure C Page 19	oversial issues associated with Comments Pages 57-58 Pages	the damaged facility and/or it	em of work?			
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSC	LETE				

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# ACRONYMS

CBRA	Coastal Barrier Resources Act
CEF	Cost Estimating Format
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DOT	Department of Transportation
DPW	Department of Public Works
EHP	Environmental and Historic Preservation
EHPA	Environmental and Historic Preservation Advisor
EO	Executive Order
EOC	Emergency Operations Center
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standards
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
GPS	Global Positioning System
HMP	Hazard Mitigation Proposal
ICS	Incident Command System
JFO	Joint Field Office
EMMIE	Emergency Management Mission Integrated Environment
NEMIS	National Emergency Management Information System
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
000	Office of Chief Council
PA	Public Assistance
PAC	Public Assistance Coordination
PW	Project Worksheet
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
VPN	Virtual Private Network

Each Joint Field Office (JFO) that is set up incorporates the Incident Command (ICS) System principals regarding span of control and organizational structure. The title of each of the Operational Positions has changed to fit within the ICS.

INCIDENT COMMAND SYSTEM (ICS) TITLES FOR				
PUBLIC ASSISTANCE POSITIONS (PA)*				
Former Title	New Title			
Infrastructure Branch Chief	PA Infrastructure Branch			
	Director/Deputy			
Public Assistance Officer (PAO)	PA Group Supervisor			
Deputy Public Assistance Officer (DPAO)**	PA Task Force Leader			
Public Assistance Coordinator (PAC)	PAC Crew Leader			
Project Officer (PO)	PA Project Specialist			
Specialist***	PA Technical Specialist****			
Debris Monitor	PA Debris Monitoring Specialist			
Administrative Assistant	PA Administrative Specialist			
Data Processing Coordinator	PA Data Processing Manager			
Data Processing Technician	PA Data Processing Specialist			
Resource Coordinator	PA Ordering Specialist			
Instructor	PA Training Specialist			
New Position****	PA Planning Specialist			

\* PA Crew/Squad Leaders may be assigned as needed to optimize span of control.

\*\* With or without Debris Specialty.

\*\*\* The Liaison positions no longer reside within PA. Those individuals who are liaisons will be labeled "unassigned" in the crosswalk unless determined otherwise.

\*\*\*\* Technical Specialties include: Debris, Hazard Mitigation, Insurance, Preliminary Damage Assessment, Estimating, Environmental/Historical, Private Non Profit, and Quality Assurance).

\*\*\*\*\* Position added to ensure the planning function is addressed until further ICS implementation has been achieved.

# INTRODUCTION

This Project Worksheet (PW) Development Guide (*PW Guide*) explains the preparation of PWs for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Public Assistance (PA) Program. If you have not worked at a Joint Field Office (JFO) before, this *PW Guide* will introduce you to the preparation of PWs. If you have been previously deployed to a disaster, the document will be invaluable for keeping your PW preparation skills current.

FEMA's PA Program is responsible for providing Federal disaster recovery funding to State and local governments, as well as certain Private Nonprofit organizations. The grant mechanism to provide Federal disaster recovery assistance to eligible applicants is the PW. The PW, therefore, is considered by many to be the most important work product of the FEMA PA Program.

Timely disaster recovery funding is critical for applicants and their communities to return to normalcy after a disaster strikes. To ensure the funding process moves forward in a timely manner, it is important that each project comply with applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies, as well as be complete, accurate, concise, and clearly written.

It is the goal of this *PW Guide* to assist you in preparing PWs of this caliber. To attain this goal, the *PW Guide* addresses three major components of PW preparation:

- **Collecting project information** addresses site inspections and collection of pertinent project information and documentation.
- Completing the Project Worksheet addresses each component of the PW, explains what information is required and why, describes how to obtain and document the pertinent information, and provides examples of correctly and incorrectly completed PWs.
- Addressing Special Considerations Reviews the nine Special Considerations questions and identifies issues that the Project Specialist should be aware of. It should be noted that the role of the Project Specialist to identify and document Special Considerations issues, not resolve them.

This document is not intended to provide guidance for making eligibility determinations. Numerous FEMA documents are available to assist with eligibility determinations, including the *Public Assistance Guide* (FEMA 322), the *Public Assistance Policy Digest* (FEMA 321), and FEMA Policy documents (refer to <u>http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/9500toc.shtm</u>). FEMA also provides various Standard Operating Procedures, which include additional guidance on the process components of the PA Program (refer to <u>http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/sop.shtm</u>).

This document provides a collection of Sample PWs that may be used as a reference when preparing PWs. These can be found in Appendix H. The PWs address a variety of damages, facility types, scopes of repair, and methods of estimating costs, various programmatic and eligibility issues, and examples of supporting documentation.

The collection of project information and preparation of the PW are the primary responsibility of the Project Specialist. To best accomplish these tasks, the following skills are critical.

1. The ability to work well with others.

The collection of project information and preparation of PWs is a team effort. Typically, the Project Specialist will work with a FEMA PAC Crew Leader, possibly Technical Specialists, a State representative, and the applicant in the development of the PW. While FEMA and the State will be primarily focused on ensuring the timely completion of the PWs, it is important to remember that the applicants sometimes have conflicting priorities during the response and recovery phase. Applicants are often challenged not only with providing information to support the PW, but also in managing emergency response efforts, initiating and managing repair efforts, and often in dealing with damage to their homes and personal property.

2. The ability to manage multiple and dynamic priorities and deadlines.

The Project Specialist will often be assigned to various projects that have different PAC Crew Leaders, State representatives, and applicants. It is important to give exemplary customer service to all parties involved while keeping the PAC Crew Leader informed of the progress and status of your PWs. Communicating your status with your PAC Crew Leader(s) is critical. It is important that you remain flexible to re-prioritize your work efforts quickly in response to the needs of the FEMA PA Program.

3. The ability to organize thoughts and findings on paper.

The PW is the document of record that supports and justifies funding to an applicant. This document must be able to "stand on its own" after it is prepared. Remember that the PW will be reviewed by the PA Group Supervisor and others in the JFO (e.g., PW should be reviewed for quality of Environmental and Historic Preservation (EHP) documentation) before it is approved. In addition, it may be appealed by the applicant and require evaluation by new staff at the Region or FEMA Headquarters, and could possibly by audited by the FEMA Office of Inspector General if the applicant's grant is audited. Most likely, the original Project Specialist will not be available in the future to explain components of the PW. Therefore, during preparation of the PW, assume that new personnel will have to read the information two years in the future and have to justify the conclusions.

4. The ability to support and document decisions based upon the applicable program laws, regulations, and policies.

2

The PA Program has certain limitations on what it can fund. Not all disaster damage costs are eligible for reimbursement under the PA Program. The PW must document

which facilities, work, and costs are eligible or ineligible and why. It is not the purpose of this *PW Guide* to fully instruct on program eligibility, although some guidance is provided. Further information regarding program eligibility can be found in other FEMA publications. Refer to Appendix A for a list of information resources.

5. The ability to elevate issues to the appropriate priority level for possible resolution.

This skill includes the ability to say "I don't know the answer to that question but I will find out and get back with you." If, while on a site visit or preparing your PWs, issues are becoming contentious or resolution is beginning to stall, it is important to notify the FEMA PAC Crew Leader as soon as possible. Remember not to take action on issues that are clearly out of your particular expertise or assigned responsibility. If there are no resources at the JFO to assist you, communicate your needs to the PAC Crew Leader, who can work with the FEMA PA Ordering Specialist to obtain additional assistance.

The overall role of the Project Specialist is separated into three primary areas:



- The Project Specialist's primary job is to conduct site visits and collect project information and documentation. If an accurate, detailed, and complete assessment is made, the remaining work should be relatively simple. The first section of this guide addresses site inspection and information collection.
- Once the project information has been collected, eligibility must be assessed and determined. Eligibility is not discussed in this guide. The Public Assistance Operations I course offers detailed information on eligibility determinations.
- The product that the Project Specialist produces is based entirely from data that was collected during the site visit and meeting with the applicant. If accurate, detailed, and complete data was collected, preparing the PW should be relatively simple and merely an exercise of filling in the blanks. The second section of this guide addresses preparation of the PW.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# I. COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION

## General

The development of a PW involves two critical steps. First, the Project Specialist must collect the necessary information to prepare the PW. Second, the Project Specialist must accurately transfer the pertinent information to the PW document. This section addresses the steps in collecting the pertinent project information. Section II of this document describes the information to be included in each block of the PW.

The collection of project information through a series of meetings with the PAC Crew Leader, State representatives, and the applicant is the most important part of the PW development process. The Project Specialist requests pertinent documentation from the applicant and by documents observations made during the site visit. If information is collection in an organized, methodical, and detailed manner, the Project Specialist will have the information necessary to prepare a complete and accurate PW in a timely fashion.

## **Initiating Your Assignment**

Upon arrival at the JFO, Project Specialists will attend a Field Personnel Briefing. This briefing will provide disaster declaration information, guidance regarding pertinent eligibility and Special Considerations issues, operational procedures and expectations, and documentation requirements. Often, the PA Group Supervisor or other FEMA management staff will define certain information they want included on a PW. If you arrive to the assignment after this briefing, be sure to notify your PAC Crew Leader and request he or she provide you with the guidance.

Keep in mind that each disaster is unique. The PA Program is designed to be flexible in establishing processes to meet the program needs of each State and FEMA partnership, as well as the recovery needs of the community. Therefore, each disaster assignment must be approached with an open mind and without a rigid attitude about how PWs should be prepared. For instance, cost code or general comment documents from prior disasters should not be used unless instructed otherwise and do not assume that disaster-specific forms used on one assignment are appropriate on another. Ask the PAC Crew Leader for guidance and attend all FEMA Field Personnel Briefings to fully understand what the expectations are for individual PW preparation.

Before you begin your specific project development assignments, be sure to obtain the following information.

- □ Disaster Fact Sheet
- □ Public Assistance Organization Chart and contact information
- □ Disaster-Specific Guidance relative to any procedural, eligibility, or Special Considerations issues

- □ Specific PW development guidance, if provided by the JFO
- □ Applicant-specific information:
  - Applicant Identification Number,
  - Names and addresses of primary applicant contact and State representative,
  - Preliminary Damage Assessment information, and
  - A preliminary list of damage sites.

Note: some of this information can be provided by the PAC Crew Leader. Further, ensure you have the necessary equipment, tools, and references for performing your responsibilities.

#### Equipment

□ FEMA laptop

- Software for developing maps (such as Microsoft Streets and Trips)
- Instructions on using *IPass* or other methods for internet/Virtual Private Network (VPN) connections
- □ Printer (provided on most disasters if work is to be done remotely)
- Digital camera
- □ Global Positioning System (GPS) unit, including instructions on unit calibration
- □ Cell phone
- □ Measuring tape, calculator, other office type supplies
- □ Safety equipment, including hard hat, safety vest, and safety glasses, as appropriate

## Tools and References

- □ State and local area maps
- D PA Guide, FEMA 322, June 2007
- Delicy Digest, FEMA 321, January 2008
- Public Assistance 9500 Series Publications (Policies and Fact Sheets) (<u>http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/9500toc.shtm</u>)
- Project Worksheet and Supplemental Forms (electronic copies, see Appendix B for listing)

## **Getting Organized**

#### Meeting with the PAC Crew Leader

After arriving on an assignment, each Project Specialist is assigned to a PAC Crew Leader. The PAC Crew Leader is responsible for conducting the Kickoff Meeting and managing Project Specialists and applicants. The PAC Crew Leader has been briefed regarding disaster-specific information. Each PAC Crew Leader will set up their own system for managing their tasks. As a Project Specialist, it is your responsibility to meet with your assigned PAC Crew Leader to learn which applicants and/or projects are assigned to you, any specific requirements for the disaster, and any PAC Crew Leader-specific instructions. The PAC Crew Leader is your supervisor and any issues or questions that arise in the field should be addressed to the PAC Crew Leader. The PAC Crew Leader needs to be continuously updated on meetings, project timelines, and issues that arise.

If the Kickoff Meeting has not yet been held by the time you are assigned to a PAC Crew Leader, you should attempt to attend. The Kickoff Meeting clarifies what types of damage applicants have sustained so you can be prepared for your first meeting with them.

#### Set up a "System"

Each disaster-type generally has typical damages: earthquakes cause primarily structural damages; snow emergencies cause mostly emergency protective measures; flooding disasters cause road washouts, debris, and landslides; and hurricanes cause a wide-range of damages from flooding to structural damages to debris to emergency protective measures.

The type of disaster is a factor in how you collect your project information and later formulate the PWs. Examples:

- For a flooding disaster with significant road damages, you may spend one to two days visiting the various road washouts and documenting site conditions in a methodical manner to keep the site information separate and complete. You may then spend one to two days producing the PWs for those sites, from your notes and the data you collected, to be submitted to a PAC Crew Leader for review.
- For a snow emergency, you might work in the applicant's office on a daily basis collecting cost data from the applicant's financial person.
- After a hurricane causes widespread and varied damages for a large applicant, you might spend several weeks visiting sites and consecutively preparing project worksheets for their open spaces, utilities, and buildings.

Each disaster, applicant, and PAC Crew Leader is different. The deadlines will be aggressive and the demands high. You need to develop a system each time that works

for all parties involved. Getting yourself organized from the beginning will get you off to a good start.

## Applicant Relations

Project Specialists work directly with applicants to collect project information and develop PWs. The State is often present in these meetings and conversations. It is imperative that the Project Specialist maintain a strong and professional relationship with the applicant.

First impressions are very important in applicant relations. You, as the Project Specialist, are the applicant's FEMA representative for individual projects and will be working directly with them for the next month, several months, or year. Since you are the person making eligibility recommendations for an applicant's project, your attitude will affect the applicant's perspective of whether they are receiving sufficient assistance. You are the one who will be touring the applicant's facilities; documenting their damages; making eligibility recommendations based on the FEMA laws, regulations, and policies; and producing their Project Worksheets. The lines of communication need to remain open, trust needs to be established, and a level of understanding and respect needs to be developed in order to maintain an effective relationship.

Remember that the applicant has just been through a disaster. They have likely suffered losses or damages. Stress levels are often high.

When beginning to work with an applicant, it is important to establish his or her knowledge of the program and preferred means of communication. This individual may not have attended the Kickoff Meeting, may not be familiar with the FEMA process or may have experience with FEMA from recent events, may be unfamiliar with the applicant's procedures, may not regularly check their email, or may not have great computer skills. These are all items that you should assess in the early stages of working with the applicant. This information will affect your workload, scheduling, and effectiveness in communicating and collecting site information.

## **Defining the Project**

## Meeting with the Applicant

If the PAC Crew Leader has completed a Kickoff Meeting with the applicant, the applicant should be prepared for your first visit. At a minimum, the applicant should have a list of damage sites, some basic cost information, and a lot of questions concerning eligibility and the process for obtaining funding. Funding questions should be referred to the PAC Crew Leader and specific eligibility responses should be deferred until you have obtained all the facts and, in the case of negative eligibility responses, discussed with the PAC Crew Leader first. Remember, as a Project Specialist, you only provide eligibility recommendations. The PA Group Supervisor is responsible for making final eligibility determinations.

A tentative schedule should be set up with the applicant for visiting the sites that the applicant has identified as damaged. You should be prepared to start right away, but some applicants may need a couple of days to get prepared themselves.

Most applicants have a combination of completed and uncompleted work. For the completed work, it will usually take the applicant some time to collect the documentation and present it for your review. While the applicant is collecting this information, your time can be devoted to inspecting uncompleted projects. Therefore, your first priority is to discuss the applicant's completed work and conduct a cursory review of the applicant's documentation (the applicant is not required to use FEMA's documentation forms if the applicant's system provides the same information). If the applicant has any project information or documentation available, it should be collected at their office before visiting the site.

Project titles should be established (i.e., Debris Removal), and the applicant should be advised to accumulate all completed documentation associated with that specific project, including force account records and contracts.

## **Project Definition**

The following list identifies items to assess while discussing the project with the applicant and documentation to request, if applicable to the project. Not all questions need to be asked, but you should go through the thought process to ensure you know the answer.

- □ Confirm the actual damage site location and specific facility.
- □ Confirm that the actual damage site is within a **declared county**. Sometimes (though not often) an applicant may own a facility outside the declared area.
  - Locate the damage site on a map.
- □ Confirm that the damages were **caused by the disaster**, and identify which specific hazard caused them (flooding, wind, etc.).
  - Separate damages by hazard type if multiple hazards affected the facility.
  - Request maintenance records for facilities that require routine maintenance of their designed function, such as roads, culverts, detention basins, bridges, and dams.
  - Request Inspection/Safety Reports for facilities that undergo routine inspections, such as bridges and dams.
- □ For emergency work, determine the conditions of the **immediate threat** that required the work to be performed.
- □ Confirm that the applicant is **legally responsible** for performing the work.
  - Is the applicant responsible for performing the emergency services being provided?

9

Does the applicant own the property?

- Is the applicant a lessee or lessor of the property? If so, request a copy of the lease agreement.
  - Obtain a copy of the lease agreement to determine who is responsible for the repairs. Request legal review in the JFO.
- For roads and bridges, determine if the facility is under the authority of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).
  - Most State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) have maps indicating whether a road is on the Federal-Aid System.
- For Levees and Flood Control Channels, determine if the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) or Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is responsible for the repairs.
  - The Federal agency may prepare a letter indicating their responsibility, or lack of responsibility.
- Is the facility under construction?
  - Obtain a copy of the construction contract to determine who is responsible for the repairs. Request legal review in the JFO.
- □ For **Private Nonprofit facilities**, determine the primary use of the facility. For facilities of mixed use, determine the percentage of the various uses.
- □ Determine **when the damages occurred**. Damages must occur within the incident period. If damages occurred outside the defined period, discuss the circumstances with the PAC Crew Leader.
- Determine whether the facility was in **active use** at the time of the disaster. If not,
  - Was the facility only temporarily inoperative for repairs or remodeling?
  - Was the facility temporarily unoccupied between tenants?
  - Was future use by the applicant firmly established in an approved budget?
  - Can the applicant clearly demonstrate that there was intent to begin use within a reasonable period of time?
- □ Request drawings, plans, or other documentation to illustrate the pre-disaster condition of the facility.
  - Drawings and plans will support the pre-disaster **design** of the facility for assessing the eligible scope of repair work.
  - Determine the pre-disaster function of the facility—what was the facility being used as at the time of the disaster (school, warehouse, office)?
  - Determine the pre-disaster **capacity** of the facility.

#### Project Formulation

Determine whether the applicant wants to combine more than one damage site on one PW.

- If the applicant is requesting an illogical grouping of sites, discuss this with the PAC Crew Leader before proceeding.
- Discuss a numbering system to be entered on the PWs as the Project Numbers (PW Reference Number).
- □ Determining how you will formulate the projects is important to know before you begin your site visits. Coordinate with the PAC Crew Leader to determine whether to combine projects to meet the applicant's needs. Planning ahead of time, based on discussions with the applicant about their damages, will help you organize (formulate) the projects and collect information accordingly. There are different methods for combining projects:
  - **Type of damage:** all work under a specific category of damage or all work on certain types of facilities may be combined into one project (e.g., all debris removal work or all work on gravel roads). See discussion on incidental work under Method of Work.
  - **System:** all work on a single system may be a single project (e.g., all work on a water distribution system with multiple line breaks).
  - Jurisdiction: all work within a specific area or all work within an applicant's administrative department may be combined into one project (e.g., all work in a park, all work for a police department, or all road work in the NW quadrant of the applicant's jurisdiction).
  - Method of work: note that different project categories, such as C and G, may be combined, but for Emergency Work and Permanent Work to be combined, the Emergency Work should be incidental to the Permanent Work.
    - $\Rightarrow$  Example: Assume that in order to begin repairs to a bridge, some debris removal around the pilings must be completed first. In this case, the debris removal could be included as a line item in the Category C PW because it is integral to the bridge repairs.
    - ⇒ However, if there is a need for major debris removal from the river upstream and/or downstream from the bridge, separate PWs should be completed for the debris removal project (Category A) and the bridge repair project (Category C).
  - Special Considerations or complex projects: insurance is often a driving factor for buildings. If there is a site that has an environmental, historical, insurance, or mitigation issue, it may be best to separate that project so that it is not delayed in the review queue.

11

 $\Rightarrow$  <u>Examples</u>: It may be appropriate to separate each building on a high school campus into a separate project due to insurance issues. Similarly, you might separate one road washout of seven due to mitigation issues.

#### Multiple Sites

Multiple sites may be combined on one PW. Review the list above for guidance on how projects may be combined. There are three types of combinations that need further discussion:

*Projects less than \$1,000.00 are not eligible for PA:* Projects may be combined to achieve the \$1,000 threshold. If the grouping makes sense and is organized well, these projects may be combined.

*Projects without Special Considerations/complex issues:* These projects generally fall under Categories C, D, and F. These categories do not often include insured facilities, so multiple sites may be combined in one project (e.g., road washouts, multiple water distribution line breaks, damaged utility poles, etc.). The locations of each site must be documented. See Sample PW # 4 for an example of how to combine multiple sites.

*Projects with Special Considerations/complex issues:* These projects generally fall under Category E with insurance as the driving factor. Each insurable, damaged facility should have its own Project Worksheet. Consult with the PAC Crew Leader if the applicant is requesting a different formulation method. (Note: A facility may not have insurance, but still be insurable.) Multiple projects for different types of damages (flooring, roofing, structural, etc.) should not be combined on the same Project Worksheet. Parks and recreation facilities (Category G) are often insurable and should follow the same guidance.

Insurance is not the only factor to be considered. Other factors include hazard mitigation, environmental and historic issues, facilities in floodplain, or types of issues.

## **Conducting the Site Visit**

Site visits should be performed to document damages for all damaged facilities. At a minimum, the site visit should be attended by the FEMA Project Specialist, the State representative, and an applicant's representative who is familiar with the overall facility and the disaster damages.

12

## Safety!

- □ **Caution!!** Before visiting the site or entering a facility, determine if the facility is safe to visit and inspect.
  - Ask the applicant if there are any safety inspection reports for the site.
  - Ask the applicant if there are any known physical, biological, or chemical hazards that may require special precautions and/or equipment during the inspection.
  - Look for cracks in roads or movements in slopes to

Caution!!

You are visiting damage sites that could be unsafe.

Take all necessary precautions!!

Be alert to potential hazards!!

indicate potential ground instability.

□ Caution!! Be alert for potential hazards. Ensure that someone knows your whereabouts prior to inspection. The applicant's representative should accompany the FEMA representative on all site visits; they should know the risks better than anyone. If possible, travel in teams of at least three people.

#### Documenting the Damage Site Conditions

#### Identifying Basic Project Conditions:

- □ Upon arrival at the site, conduct a walkthrough visual inspection of the site and the surrounding area.
- □ Differentiate between the physical damages you observe and those that the applicant is requesting to be fixed.
  - Look for damage not identified by the applicant and determine whether these damages are disaster-related. If the applicant indicates that other apparent damages are not the result of the disaster, photograph the area and document the observation.
- □ Look for indicators of pre-disaster damage.
  - Lack of maintenance (alligator cracking on pavement, moss growth on damaged facility, weeds in culverts, etc.)
  - Age related deterioration (cracks in paint, termite infestation, corrosion, etc.)
- □ Look at the condition of the facility outside of the damaged location to assess the pre-disaster design and condition of the overall facility. For example, note any undamaged sections of roads, culverts, or slopes, etc.
  - Confirm the design is consistent with facility information (plans, drawings) provided by the applicant.

#### **Recording Project Details:**

- □ Take <u>measurements</u> of the damaged area and specific facility components (pavement thickness, culvert size, generator capacity, etc.).
- □ Prepare detailed <u>sketches</u> of observations, including dimensions (length, width, height, depth, and capacity) and description (brick, wood, asphalt).
  - Sketches do not need to be perfect. Simple sketches often demonstrate what is seen in the field.
- Determine the **latitude and longitude** at one or more locations at the facility.
  - Obtain the GPS reading and document the location where the reading was taken on a map.
  - If the project consists of multiple sites with distinct addresses or locations (e.g., multiple road wash outs throughout the northwest quadrant of the county), the latitude/longitude for each site should be recorded.

- For **debris removal**, provide the latitude/longitude for the debris disposal location(s).
- For emergency protective measures where the location has been identified as "County-Wide" or "City-Wide," identify a primary location. Most commonly, the County Administration Building, City Hall, or Emergency Operations Center (EOC) are used as the data point location.
- For **lengths of roadway**, select a location at the beginning or end of the roadway, or some other milestone.
- The following guidance is general. Confirm formats at each assignment.
  - Use the Decimal Degree format.
  - Negative coordinates are allowable: easting values are negative in the Americas; the minus sign must be in front of the longitude coordinate.
  - Leading zeros (0) before the decimal are acceptable, but not required.
  - The GPS receiver must be set properly.
  - The GPS receiver will display the coordinates as: N 62.88547 / W159.09554. The west coordinate needs to be converted to a negative (-) value. Latitude = 53.88547 Longitude = -149.09554
- Using the GPS. You can obtain correct coordinate readings from a properly configured GPS unit. If you are given a GPS unit at your assignment, make sure the unit is calibrated before using it. In most JFOs, training classes are provided on use of GPS units. Additionally, written guidance is generally provided. If you are having difficulty operating or calibrating your GPS unit, coordinate with the PAC Crew Leader to have someone from the Information and Planning Branch calibrate it.
- □ Take **photographs** of the site:
  - Overall site view
  - Specific damages from various views and angles
  - Work completed, if any
  - Adjacent undamaged areas of similar structures (e.g., adjacent undamaged section of road or engineered channels)
  - Document number, location, and date of photographs on a site plan and indicate angle taken from

□ Look at a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and create a **FIRMette**:

- FIRMettes are created to determine if a site is in a Special Flood Hazard Area.
- If the Project Specialist knows where the sites are located, it is best to create a FIRMette prior to the site visit in order to:
  - Know what types of questions to ask based on whether the facility is in a floodplain or not
  - Request that a Technical Specialist attend the site visit

- Locate the project site on the appropriate FIRM Map.
- FIRMs can be found on the FEMA website at the FEMA Map Service Center. www.msc.fema.gov. Instructions for creating a FIRMette are included in Appendix G.
- Record the FIRM's Community Panel Number and date (located on the front of the map) in the Special Considerations Questions, Question #2.
- FIRMs can also be obtained from local building, zoning, or planning departments. FIRMs are available at the JFO and digitized maps may be available through the FEMA Information and Planning area.

Collect documentation:

- Should be provided as an attachment to the PW to illustrate and support the Damage Description and Dimensions.
- Should describe the damage concurrent with the data presented on the attachments (sketches, photographs, etc.).
- Should be referenced within the text of the section.
  - For example: Refer to attached Figure 1 for a roadway cross-section and dimensions.
- Documentation to collect:
  - Site Location Map
  - FIRM
  - Photographs of site, overall facility, specific damages, and conditions that demonstrate the presence of an immediate threat (if applicable)
  - Photographs of work completed, if any
  - Drawing, sketches, and plans of pre-disaster facility design (to scale)
  - Drawings and sketches of disaster-related damages (to scale)
  - Drawings and sketches of the completed or proposed repair (to scale)
  - Calculation sheet detailing specific dimensions and quantities of damage, and specific dimensions and quantities of work items
  - Engineering/Technical Reports
  - Engineering Specifications for repair, such as Department of Public Works (DPW) standard design drawings
  - Applicable codes and standards
  - Lease agreements for leased properties
  - Facility maintenance records, such as for roads, engineered channels, debris basins, and other facilities requiring maintenance to ensure proper function
  - Facility inspection/safety reports, such as may be available for bridges and dams

- Relevant correspondence or information received from the applicant or State
- Justification for request of a relocation project, improved, or alternate project, including details of the proposed project
- Insurance policies
- Hazard mitigation proposals
- Documents supporting compliance with environmental or historic preservation issues
- Contracts or contractor bids
- Mutual aid agreements
- Rental agreements
- Receipts
- Time/equipment records (if applicable)
- Cost Estimating Format Spreadsheets

#### Documenting Damages:

Document the <u>cause(s)</u> of the damages.

- When did the damages occur?
  - On August 13 ...
  - During the declared event, ...
  - Heavy flooding on January 8, ...
  - A tornado on May 29, 2005 ...
- What type of disaster conditions resulted in an immediate threat?
  - downed trees and power lines blocked roads ...
  - flooding inundated ...
  - hurricane winds destroyed ...
- What disaster effect caused the damage?
  - Hurricane-force winds ...
  - wide-spread flooding ...
  - earthquake forces ...
  - fire and explosion ...

#### Document the <u>action</u>.

- What did the disaster do?
  - washed out ...

- shattered ...
- destroyed ...
- What happened to the facility/ component?
  - The building collapsed ...
  - The windshield was crushed by fallen debris ...
  - Debris was scattered ...
- What was the result of the immediate threat conditions?
  - ... vegetative debris blocked emergency access ...
  - ... downed power lines, creating an immediate threat ...
  - ... levee was breached ...
- Document the **<u>dimensions/quantities</u>** of the damage.
  - What are dimensions?
    - 60-ft x 22-ft x 12-ft high steel-truss bridge
    - 160-ft x 40-ft, 2 story, masonry school building
    - 150-ft long x 12-ft wide x 8-inch thick aggregate surface roadway
    - 40-ft long x 24-ft wide asphalt (4-inch thick) roadway
  - How are Emergency Services quantified?
    - 400 CY vegetative debris
    - 350 regular time and 140 overtime hours
    - 7,500 sandbags placed along the James River to prevent flooding
- □ Identify the damaged <u>facility and/or components</u>.
  - What is a facility?
    - Per 44 CFR 206.201(c) "A publicly or privately owned *building*, *works*, *system*, or *equipment*, built or manufactured; or an improved and maintained natural feature."
  - What are some examples of facilities/components?
    - Debris along rights-of-way
    - County Courthouse Building
    - Search and Rescue Operations
    - County Road 47
    - Windows
    - 275-KW generator
- Document the **impact**.
  - What is the impact?

- Facility is partially open
- Vehicle was damaged beyond repair
- Debris scattered jurisdiction-wide
- Who does it affect?
  - 100% of customers were without power.
  - Public health and safety...
  - Applicant's jurisdiction...

## **Defining the Scope of Work**

- Discuss the applicant's **methodology for repairing** the facility.
  - Does the applicant have specific design standards?
  - Is there an engineering consultant assisting with the design?
  - Are there any engineering or technical reports describing the damages and/or methods of repair?
- Discuss how the **work is to be performed**.
  - Is the applicant going to use their own staff, equipment, and materials (force account)?
  - Are they going to contract the work to an outside source?
    - See further discussion regarding cost estimates in the following sections.
- □ Determine whether the applicant intends to **restore the facility to its predisaster condition**. If not:
  - Is the applicant considering relocating the facility? If so, determine why the facility is to be relocated. Collect available project and cost information to determine cost effectiveness, including demolition of the old facility and construction of the new facility.
  - Is the facility damaged to the extent that the applicant is requesting replacement rather than repair? If so, collect project and cost information to complete a Repair versus Replacement analysis.
  - Is the applicant considering an **Alternate Project**? If so, collect available project information (description, plans, engineering study, etc.).



- Is the applicant considering an Improved Project? If so, collect available project information (description, plans, engineering study, etc.).
- Does the applicant have a Hazard Mitigation Proposal for this project? If so, document the proposal and submit for review. The Project Specialist should be prepared to discuss mitigation opportunities with the applicant.
- Does the applicant have a code or standard requiring a certain upgrade? If so, request a copy of the code or standard for review. Request legal review in the JFO, if needed.

## **Special Considerations**

Special Considerations is a program term used by FEMA to capture all program issues other than eligibility. On disasters, these are usually hazard mitigation, environmental, historic preservation, floodplain management, and insurance issues. The Project Specialist, the State, and the applicant should work together to identify and document Special Considerations issues.

Refer to Section III of this document for further discussion regarding Special Considerations and the Special Considerations Questions.

□ Are there any **Special Considerations** issues associated with the Project? Use the Special Considerations Questions and Review Tool (Appendix F) for guidance on asking appropriate questions and collecting pertinent information.

## **Collecting Cost Information**

Determine from the applicant how they intend to perform the work and if they have cost information to be reviewed for preparing the estimate.

#### Small Projects versus Large Projects

Projects are divided into two groups to facilitate project review, approval, and funding. The division is based on a monetary threshold that changes annually. Confirm with the PAC Crew Leader the threshold amount for the current fiscal year.

Small projects fall below the threshold dollar amount and are paid based on estimates. Large projects are greater than the threshold dollar amount and are funded based on documented actual costs for eligible work.

Large projects estimates must be developed using the Cost Estimating Format (CEF), if the CEF criteria are met. Criteria for use of the CEF are discussed later in this section

#### Work Completed versus Work to Be Completed

For **work completed**, actual costs should be provided and documented on labor records, invoices, or other receipts. The following are examples of cost documents that should be collected from the applicant.

- □ Contracts or contractor bids
- □ Mutual aid agreements and contracts
- Rental agreements and contracts
- □ Receipts/Invoices
- □ Force account records (if applicable)

If the **work is not complete**, determine from the applicant how they intend to perform the work and if they have cost information to be reviewed for preparing the estimate. The following are examples of documentation that should be collected from the applicant or developed by the Project Specialist (and/or Technical Specialist):

- □ Force account records (if applicable)
- □ Historical costs
- □ Average costs for similar work in the area
- □ Unit prices

#### **Cost Estimates**

The three primary methods for determining costs are force account, unit cost, and contracts. If work is complete at the time of the site visit, actual costs should be used.

#### Force Account

For work performed by an applicant's own forces, costs must be documented by payroll information, equipment logs, or usage records. Costs may be summarized using the Record Keeping forms provided in Appendix B. These forms illustrate the key types of information that should be collected and documented. Use of these forms is not required for reporting this information. Applicants who have another form or system that presents the same information should be encouraged to use their own form.

Pertinent information to collect regarding force account costs is provided below.

#### Force Account Labor

- □ Request a list of all employees involved in the disaster work, designating those who are salaried and those who are not.
- □ Request a copy of the applicant's overtime policy, including fringe benefit rate information/calculations.
- □ Request name, job title, and function; the day the work was performed; hours worked; and rates of regular and overtime pay.
- □ Spot-check actual timesheets for verification.
- □ If a salaried person worked as an hourly worker, he/she should be paid at the regular hourly worker rate.

#### Fringe Benefits

- □ Verify an applicant's fringe benefit rates. Refer to the applicant's Benefits Calculation Worksheet provided in Appendix B.
- □ Verify that the overtime fringe benefits rates are less than the regular time benefit rates.
- □ If comp time or holiday pay is requested, request a copy of the applicant's predisaster, written administrative policy.

#### Force Account Material

- □ Materials generally include items taken from stock or purchased.
- □ Materials claimed should include date and hours used, description of item, quantity, and unit cost.

□ Request receipts for purchases (or documentation that shows the item was removed from stock).

#### Force Account or Rented Equipment

- □ Ensure information for equipment includes type of equipment, size, and date (and/or hours) used.
- □ For vehicles, such as applicant-owned pickups and fire trucks, FEMA provides Cost Code rates, which include ownership, operation, and maintenance costs.
- □ Damage incurred to vehicles during search activities should first be submitted to the applicant's insurance carrier in order to prevent a duplication of benefits. If the vehicle affected is insured, request a copy of the declaration page of the policy.
- □ Reimbursement for cell phone use may only be considered for calls made relating to the disaster.

#### Mutual Aid Agreements

- □ Ask whether any mutual aid agreements were in effect and utilized during the disaster event.
- □ Are any new mutual aid agreements required?
- □ Request a copy of the mutual aid agreement for FEMA review. The mutual aid agreement may be included as part of a larger contract.
- □ If the applicant is the requesting entity (asking for the mutual aid), obtain an invoice for the work.
- □ Under a mutual aid agreement, regular time is eligible for FEMA funding, unless the workers are volunteers.

#### **Unit Prices**

The unit cost method is usually used to estimate work to be completed. Unit costs typically represent complete and in-place costs, incorporating site preparation, materials, labor, equipment, insurance, overhead, and profit (if by contract) for all activities needed to complete that item of work. Several sources may be used in the preparation of estimates based on unit costs. These sources, provided in their order of preference, include:

□ State or local data from previously completed projects:

- Average weighted unit costs derived from an applicant's annual contracting history.
- May be available from the applicant or from a relevant state agency (such as the state DOT).
- Determine if the applicant has historical documentation for similar work.
- Ensure, however, that the historic costs include the same type of work and work conditions as those encountered in the current damage repairs.

Commercial estimating sources (such as R.S. Means):

- Unit costs typically represent complete and in-place costs that include all labor, equipment, materials, small tools, incidentals, and hauling costs necessary to complete the work for the installing trade contractor (aka subcontractor).
- R.S. Means or other commercial estimating sources. R.S. Means is a series of publications accepted by FEMA based on industry-wide use and the availability of nationwide contract cost data across a wide range of work activities—from a simple repair to highly specialized and complex work.

FEMA cost codes:

- Updated for the specific disaster location and time, because the costs are averaged for nationwide rather than local geographic application, which may affect the project estimate.
- Issued by the FEMA Regional office at the beginning of JFO operations.
- Represent complete and in-place costs (i.e., the labor, equipment, and material necessary to complete installation) at the General Contractor level (different from R.S. Means).
- Complete and in-place costs can often be found in state DOT highway and bridge work. Because the unit cost data are representative of a complete or installed cost, the estimate will be more reliable for road work than other categories of permanent restorative work.

23

Includes overhead and profit.

#### Contracts

Contract pricing is used to determine the cost of work for which the applicant has used labor, equipment, and material from an outside source. In general, contract costs are used for work already completed, but in some cases contract costs may be used for work that is just beginning or still underway. If work has not yet begun on a project, but a contract has been bid or let, the contract price can be used.

Determine if the applicant has bid the work.

- Review unit prices provided in contractor bids, if available.
- Bids must provide an itemization of unit prices in order to verify that the bid is for an eligible scope of work and to allow validation of the bid.
- Request a copy of the executed contract agreement.
- Request a copy of the Invoice for paid work completed.
- If work has not begun, but a contract has been bid or let, the contract price may be used.

## Using the Cost Estimating Format (CEF) to Estimate Project Costs

The CEF is a:

- □ Uniform method for preparing estimates that incorporates industry standard approaches to better estimate the total cost of large projects.
- □ Customized Excel spreadsheet developed to organize items of work and apply (as necessary) industry standard factors to account for eligible project costs not included in the base estimate.

FEMA has developed this forward pricing to better estimate the cost of large projects. As of March 15, 2007, per the memorandum issued by David Garrett, *Implementation of the Cost Estimating Format (CEF) in the Public Assistance Program Module of NEMIS*, the CEF is now required to estimate large projects, in accordance with established criteria. The project must be:

- Permanent Work
- □ A Large Project (hard construction costs are greater than the small project threshold)
- □ 90% or less complete

Specific guidance on using the CEF can be found at the FEMA website (http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/ceftoc.shtm) or will be provided at the JFO. The Project Specialist should determine if his or her project(s) meet the criteria for using the CEF and follow the process defined by the JFO. The Project Specialist may request a Technical Specialist to assist in the application of the CEF. Part A is an estimate of the base construction cost (known as work-in-trades). This cost reflects the labor, equipment, and material required to perform the work. Part A is developed in much the same manner as estimates are developed under the existing program. Any of the sources previously discussed can be used to develop Part A costs. Part A can also be used to quantify/document known costs (construction and soft costs) associated with a project

Parts B through H are component factors of the estimate formula that are applied to Part A. These factors are general contractor or equivalent costs and owner's project costs (or the costs not included in the base cost estimate). When included, they determine the total cost of completing the work. The default value for Parts B through H is zero. The person estimating costs is responsible for including any factors necessary for project costs not captured in Part A of the estimate.

Benefits of using the CEF:

- □ Provides a consistent means of estimating total project cost
- □ Provides the applicant with a greater degree of confidence in FEMA's estimates, as the applicant knows how much FEMA money to include in a project's budget up-front
- □ Encourages the applicant to manage large projects more effectively
- Potentially reduces FEMA's administrative costs by eliminating multiple versions of cost estimates/PWs

## Before You Leave the Site

- □ Document all your observations before you leave the site. Don't wait until you return to the JFO or your hotel. Details will certainly be forgotten.
- □ Walk the site one more time to ensure you did not miss any damages and that you have sufficiently documented the site conditions.
- Be sure to ask the applicant any lingering questions you may have.
- □ Summarize for the applicant any outstanding questions you have and confirm they are aware of any documentation or information that you still need. Providing these requests in writing is best.
- □ Appendix C provides the Collecting Project Information Checklist intended for use by the Project Specialist to assist in collecting project information while meeting with the applicant or on a site visit. Additional information may need to be collected for complex projects.
- Appendix D provides a Categorical Checklist intended for use by the Project Specialist to assist in collecting project information while meeting with the applicant or on a site visit relative to a specific category of work. Additional information may need to be collected for complex projects.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank
# **II.COMPLETING THE PROJECT WORKSHEET**

# General

The primary function of the PW is to document the Damage Description and Dimension, Scope of Work, cost estimate and Special Considerations issues for a project. Each PW must comply with applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies, as well as be complete, accurate, concise, and clearly written.

This section addresses each component of the PW, explains what information is required and why, describes how to obtain and document the pertinent information, and provides examples of correctly and incorrectly completed PWs. Appendix A provides references for additional guidance on PA eligibility and processes.

# Level of Detail and Discussion

The level of detail and discussion provided in the PW should reflect the complexity of the project's technical details and programmatic or regulatory issues. The following section describes the various items that <u>may</u> require specific discussion in the PW. Not all items need to be addressed in each PW. The Project Specialist should consider the applicability of various items based on the circumstances of the project. If the facility is owned by the applicant, for example the City Hall, there is no need to address legal responsibility. However, if the facility is leased by the applicant, it will be necessary to demonstrate that the applicant is responsible for repair of certain damages.

# **Style versus Content**

FEMA's PA Program has made significant efforts to ensure consistency in both process and eligibility determinations. This *PW Guide* supports the effort to provide consistent guidance for developing PWs. However, as individuals, PA Group Supervisors, PAC Crew Leaders, and Project Specialists may be accustomed to a certain style for presenting and reviewing information.

As a Project Specialist, you should be prepared to accommodate the requested style of the PA Group Supervisor or PAC Crew Leader, assuming the style does not conflict with providing necessary content. Prior to initiating your first PW for a PAC Crew Leader, you should take the following steps:

- □ Determine if the PA Group Supervisor has defined a certain format and content guidelines for the disaster.
- □ Request the PAC Crew Leader provide a sample of a PW that he or she thinks is well done.

27

□ Submit to the PAC Crew Leader a sample of a PW that you have prepared and discuss any variations on style that may be preferred.

Submit your first couple PWs to the PAC Crew Leader early in your assignment to reach some level of concurrence on your presentation of the information.

# Tips on Reviewing your own PW

- ✓ Are all blocks of the PW completed?
- ✓ Are the damaged elements consistent with the repair items and cost items?
- ✓ Is reference made to supporting documents?
- ✓ Do the details of the damages and repair items match the information on the sketches?
- ✓ Do the dates of damages coincide with the dates of work completed or documented site visits?
- ✓ Are sufficient photos provided to illustrate the key damages?
- ✓ Could someone read the PW in a couple of years and understand the project?

# NEMIS vs. EMMIE Processing of Project Worksheets

# National Emergency Management Information System (NEMIS)

NEMIS is the current computerized database system used by the Public Assistance Program. This system (or various other similar versions) has been utilized for over 30 years and is largely based on the preparation and submittal of grant related documents in paper form (or on CD). The information is then entered into NEMIS by Data Entry Staff, at a centralized location, typically the Field Office.

# Emergency Management Mission Integrated Environment (EMMIE)

EMMIE has been developed to allow applicants and State and FEMA representatives direct input to EMMIE over the Internet. Web-based forms for the PW and other FEMA forms can be completed by the applicant, the progress of their grant application can be monitored, and required quarterly reports and closeout actions can be completed online.

FEMA Public Assistance staff also use EMMIE in the same manner as NEMIS. Field staff can go on-line to enter PWs into the system. Alternatively, PWs can be prepared in electronic format (usually an Excel spreadsheet), then be reviewed by the PAC Crew Leader and submitted to a centralized processing location.

For any given disaster, multiple methods may be employed to complete PWs. Some applicants may have the capability and desire to fully use EMMIE to enter their own PWs. Other applicants may determine that they want FEMA staff to complete the PWs. FEMA Public Assistance staff will then determine the most effective way to complete the EMMIE data entry.

As procedures for using EMMIE are further developed, additional guidance will be provided.

# Using the PW and the Supplemental Forms

A copy of the PW and the supplemental forms referred to in this section are provided in Appendix B. These forms are available through FEMA's DocNet form library (<u>http://www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/forms.shtm</u>), and are usually provided in an electronic version at the JFO.

The primary forms include the following:

- Project Worksheet (PW)
- Project Worksheet Damage Description and Scope of Work Continuation Sheet
- Project Worksheet Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet
- Project Worksheet Maps and Sketches Sheet
- Project Worksheet Photo Sheet
- Hazard Mitigation Proposal
- Special Considerations Questions
- Force Account Labor Summary Record
- Force Account Materials Summary Record
- Force Account Equipment Summary Record
- Rented Equipment Summary Record
- Contract Work Summary Record
- Applicant's Benefits Calculation Worksheet
- Hazard Mitigation Proposal (HMP)

For simple projects, the **Project Worksheet form** itself provides sufficient room for documenting the project information. For more complex projects, **continuation sheets** are provided for additional details regarding the **damage description**, **scope of work**, **and cost items**. When using continuation sheets, enter a comment in the corresponding PW block to indicate additional information is provided on the continuation sheet. For example, "See Continuation Sheet." This will ensure that JFO staff reading the PW, or data entry staff entering the data into NEMIS/EMMIE, are aware that additional information is provided.

The **Maps and Sketches** and **Photo Sheets** provide formats for supporting data, as indicated.

The **Hazard Mitigation Proposal** form in Appendix B may be used by the Project Specialist for documenting an applicant's Hazard Mitigation Proposal. Again, other formats are acceptable.

Further discussion regarding the **Special Considerations Questions** is provided in Sections III of this document.

# **Using a Narrative**

For more complex projects, the Project Specialist may choose to use a Narrative to describe special circumstances associated with a project or to clarify items identified on the PW. The Narrative should be an extension of the information provided in the PW. It should not be used to describe elements of the damage description or scope of work that do not otherwise appear in the PW.

If a Narrative is being included with the PW, include a comment in the corresponding PW block to indicate additional information is provided in a Narrative. For example, "See Narrative for additional discussion."

# **Completing the PW Blocks**

# **BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION**

#### Disaster

DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA				

# Indicate the disaster declaration number as established by FEMA and the state in which the disaster is located.

- □ Record the FEMA four-digit disaster declaration number, disaster type, and the twoletter state abbreviation.
  - The declaration number is established by FEMA and can be obtained from the Disaster Fact Sheet or from the PAC Crew Leader.
  - Indicate the type of disaster.
    - EM Emergency (Example: Debris disasters only, snow emergencies, etc.)
    - DR Major Disasters (Example: Major flooding, hurricanes, earthquakes, etc.)

- Indicate the state of the disaster, two-letter abbreviation.
  - Example: FEMA-1234-DR-AL. FEMA-4321-EM-SC

# **Project Number**

DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMADR				

# Indicate the project designation number established to track the project (Applicant's number).

- □ For each PW, two Project Numbers will be assigned: one defined by the Project Specialist during the development of the PW (entered in the block above), and one automatically assigned by EMMIE when entered into the system (to be printed on the EMMIE PW version).
- □ When assigning a Project Number during the development of the PW, the project number can reflect a FEMA-prescribed format and/or the applicant's own tracking system.
  - Check with the PAC Crew Leader to determine if a specific format is preferred.
  - If not, determine whether the applicant has a numbering system to use for referencing the project.
  - Otherwise, develop a numbering system that will assist you in tracking projects for the applicant.
- □ The Project Number should be **no more than seven characters long** and can include alpha, numeric, and special characters.
  - A unique numbering system should be provided for each applicant to avoid confusion when tracking PWs.
- □ Once the PW is entered into EMMIE, a new PW number will be automatically generated and assigned by EMMIE.
  - The Project Number assigned by the Project Specialist will be printed on the EMMIE PW as a Reference Number to allow easy cross-reference of the projects.
  - **Example**: GHS-E14 (Gettysburg High School, Category E, Project 14).

# PA ID NO.

DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMADR				

Indicate the applicant's Public Assistance Identification Number as assigned by FEMA. This is often referred to as the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) number or the Applicant's ID.

- □ Each applicant has a unique identification number designated by FEMA.
- □ To obtain the Applicant ID Number:
  - Ask the PAC Crew Leader assigned to the applicant

 If you have access to NEMIS/EMMIE, Applicant PA ID numbers can be obtained from the database.

□ The PA ID number must be entered correctly on the PW. An incorrect FIPS number can result in the PW being rejected by EMMIE or the funding being obligated to the wrong applicant.

□ The number should be entered in the following format: XXX-XXXXX-XX.

- The first three digits (always numeric) identify the county where the applicant is located. Note: If the first three digits are "000," this indicates the applicant is a State agency.
- The following five characters (can include alpha and numeric characters) identify the particular applicant.
- The last two digits (numeric only) are used to identify departments or subdivisions within the applicant's agency or organization. Confer with your PAC Crew Leader or applicant to identify these departmental needs.
- □ All applicants are assigned the basic identification number (xxx-xxxx-00). Applicants may chose to have all their PWs processed under the basic identification number, e.g., 027-96500–00.
- □ If an applicant wishes to have subdivisions within its identification number, discuss this possibility with the applicant's PAC Crew Leader. Some applicants may choose to organize their PWs by department—Parks, Roads, Water and Sewer, etc. These departments are referenced as subdivisions within EMMIE. For instance:
  - 027-96500-00 Town of Alfalfa Public Works Department
  - 027-96500-01 Town of Alfalfa Fire Department
  - 027-96500-02 Town of Alfalfa Police Department

#### Date

DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMADR				

#### Indicate the date the worksheet was prepared.

- □ Use the format MM/DD/YY.
- □ Record a single date only.
  - If several inspection dates are important for the development of the Scope of Work, document the dates and inspections in the Scope of Work block or in a narrative.
- □ If the PW was prepared after the date(s) of inspection, enter the date the PW was prepared and reference the date of inspection under the "% Work Complete" block.

# Category

DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMADR				

Indicate the category of the project according to FEMA specified work categories (A, B, C, D, E, F, or G).

□ Record the letter associated with the primary category of work that best represents the project:

Category	Туре	Description
Α	Emergency	Debris Removal
В	Emergency	Emergency Protective Measures
С	Permanent	Roads and Bridges
D	Permanent	Water Control Facilities
E	Permanent	Buildings and Equipment
F	Permanent	Utilities
G	Permanent	Parks, Recreational Facilities, Other

- □ If a project includes work from **more than one category**, the primary work category should be recorded.
  - **Example**: If a park area sustained equal damages to roadways, parking lots, pavilions, and playground equipment and the applicant wishes to combine these sites on one PW, it would be reasonable to consider this a Category G PW. However, if the majority of park damage was related to roadways, culverts, and small bridges, as well as some picnic benches, then it would be more reasonable to consider this a Category C PW. Confer with the PAC Crew Leader if guidance is needed.

□ Do not combine **emergency and permanent work categories** on one PW unless one category is only incidental to the majority of the work being completed.

- **Example**: Assume that in order to begin repairs to a bridge, some debris removal around the pilings must be completed first. In this case, the debris removal could be included as a line item in the Category C PW because it is integral to the bridge repairs.
- However, if there was a need for major debris removal from the river upstream and/or downstream from the bridge, then separate PWs would be completed for the debris removal project (Category A) and the bridge repair project (Category C).
- □ If categories of work are combined on a single PW, the different categories should be represented in the PW Damage Description and Dimensions and the Scope of Work blocks.

- Remember to evaluate specific emergency work versus permanent work eligibility issues separately if combining emergency and permanent work on one PW. For example:
  - Separate force account labor to only allow regular time for permanent work
  - Evaluate the cost effectiveness of Hazard Mitigation only against the permanent work eligible costs
- □ If there are unusual circumstances that require combining different site categories into a single project, provide a brief statement in the Scope of Work block, explaining the circumstances.

# **Damaged Facility**

DAMAGED FACILITY	WORK COMPLETE AS OF:
	:%

#### Identify the facility and describe its primary function.

- □ If the project is limited to a **single site**, record the name of the facility and its basic function (if necessary) in this block. For instance:
  - County Road 66
  - City Memorial Hospital
  - Town Building C Community Center
- □ If the project consists of **multiple sites and categories**, a general facility name can be provided in the Damaged Facility block with a reference to "See Below." Detailed facility information can then be provided in the Damage Description and Dimensions block.
- □ If the project involves **Emergency Work (Category A or B)** such as debris removal or police or fire response efforts, identify both the location and type of response. For example:
  - Debris Removal City of Charles Sector A
  - Police, Fire, and Rescue Charles County Courthouse
- □ If services are provided over time and **multiple PWs** are to be prepared for **distinct durations**, identify the duration in this block to readily distinguish the project. For example:
  - Police Response June 12-15, 2005
  - Police Response June 16-20, 2005.

# Work Complete As Of

DAMAGED FACILITY	WORK COMPLETE AS OF:

# Indicate the date the work was assessed and the percentage of the work completed on that date.

- □ This block is of particular importance to the State for Grant Management activities.
- □ Include the date you visited the site or reviewed the work documentation. This may or may not be the same date provided in the Date block.
- □ In general, the percentage of work complete represents the physical completion status of the work for the date indicated and should accurately represent the project scope and cost estimate data at that time.
- □ Record the date in the following format: MM/DD/YY.
- Determine the percentage (%) of work complete by:
  - Visiting the site.
  - Reviewing any relevant work and cost documents such as contracts, partial payment information, invoices.
  - Determining where the ongoing project work is within the timeframe for completing the entire project.
  - Discussing project status with the applicant.
- □ **Multiple Sites.** If there are several sites, an average "% of work complete" can be estimated and recorded and the actual percent of work complete can be noted for each site in the Scope of Work block.
- □ If any percentage of work is complete:
  - Actual costs should be provided for the work complete.
  - Cost documentation for the actual costs should be provided.
  - The Scope of Work and Project Cost blocks should separate the details for "Work Completed" and "Work to Be Completed."

#### Tips for Assessing Percent Complete:

□ What if the work is only half-completed (50%) but the majority of costs (80%) have already been incurred? If a project was scheduled to be completed in six months and is on schedule at month three, it would be reasonable to state that the work is 50% complete. However, upon reviewing the cost documentation you find that that the first three months of work was labor intensive and 80% of the costs were incurred during that period. Keep the percent of work completed at 50%, but explain in the Scope of Work block why the eligible costs are 80% incurred

(labor intensive work was completed in the first three months; the project is not labor intensive for the remainder of the project).

□ Use Common Sense. Assume a temporary ferry landing was constructed as an eligible emergency transportation measure. The PW includes the construction and dismantling of the landing. The construction of the landing is complete and is expected to be operational for 18 months. The cost to construct the landing was \$3,000,000 and the estimated cost to dismantle it is \$303,000. It would be reasonable to assume the construction is 90% of the work completed since the remaining work represents 10% of the cost for the entire project. It is also logical that the construction of the ferry landing under emergency conditions would be the bulk of the entire project.

# **Applicant**

APPLICANT	COUNTY

# Indicate the name of the government or legal entity to which the funds will be awarded.

- □ Record the name of the applicant in the same manner that the Applicant is listed in NEMIS/EMMIE.
  - You can obtain this information from the PAC Crew Leader assigned to the applicant or through NEMIS/EMMIE.

□ Do not abbreviate applicant names.

• **Example**: LA County could refer to Los Alamos County or Los Angeles County.

# County

APPLICANT	COUNTY

#### Indicate the name of the county where the damage facility is located.

- □ One of the general work eligibility requirements is that an item of work must be located within a **designated disaster area**. Designated disaster areas are established at the county level; therefore, it is important to correctly identify and list the county where the work will be performed.
- □ If the damage is located in **multiple counties** and the applicant wishes to combine the work in accordance with Project Formulation guidelines, either confer with the State, or record "Multi-County" in this block and identify the specific counties in the Damage Description and Scope of Work blocks.
  - **Example**: An electrical utility has power distribution lines in 20 counties of the State. Ten of those counties are declared or designated as major disaster

areas. List "Multi-County" in the County block and identify the 10 counties affected in the Damage Description block. If the utility had damaged lines in a county that was not declared, then that portion of work is not eligible. The work in the ineligible county should be mentioned in the Scope of Work block and the reason for ineligibility documented.

Do not abbreviate county names

#### Location

LOCATION	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE

#### Indicate the location of the project.

□ The location should be specific enough to enable field personnel to easily locate the facility on a map or in the field.

□ Location information can be:

- A street address including street number, street name, city, state, and zip code (typically buildings). Providing the zip code along with the street address would allow mapping via geo-coding in case the latitude and longitude coordinates could not be collected or were inaccurately recorded.
  - Example: 21 Main Street, Charleston, NM, 87654
- A reference to a nearby **intersection** (typically used for road damage, bridge, pumping station, etc.).
  - **Example:** 0.5 mile west of the intersection of Bird Blvd. and Stout Dr.
- A reference to a sector
  - **Example:** northwest sector bounded by Canal St., Main St., and NW 34 Blvd.
- A reference to activities taking place on a "county-wide," "city-wide," or "jurisdiction-wide" basis, or for State agencies, a reference to "Statewide" activities. These are the least preferred location descriptions and should be used with discretion; for instance, for certain widespread emergency protective measures.
  - For example, a city may have completed emergency evacuation measures prior to a hurricane. "City-wide" may be entered into the Location box if a more specific location is not reasonable; however, the Damage Description should include more information about where the evacuations took place, such as coastal areas or retirement communities in the floodplain.
- □ If the project consists of a **single site** or several sites at a **single location** (for example, building repairs and associated parking lot work), then record the single location in the Location block.
- □ If the project consists of **several sites** with distinct addresses or locations (for example, several school buildings throughout the county belonging to the County

School Board), then record the location (as well as latitude/longitude) for each site individually in the Damage Description and Dimensions block. Note in the Location Block, "Multiple building sites (8) – see below" or "see attached site summary spreadsheet."

- □ Do not use facility names that could change with time as the only source of identification. For example:
  - Business names.
  - Names of parks, schools, or other facilities.

# Tip for Identifying Location:

Ask yourself: If someone who is unfamiliar with the disaster had to locate the project in the field two years from now, could he/she do it based on my PW location description?

# Latitude and Longitude

LOCATION	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE

#### Record the latitude and longitude coordinates for the project.

- □ If the project consists of a **single site** or several sites at a **single location** (for example, building repairs and associated parking lot work), record the GPS coordinate readings in the appropriate block.
- □ If the project consists of **multiple sites** with distinct addresses or locations (for example, multiple road washouts throughout the northwest quadrant of the county), or for emergency services the latitude/longitude for each site may be recorded in the Damage Description and Dimensions block. Record one of the primary location points in the Latitude and Longitude blocks and reference this specific location in the Damage Description and Dimensions and on a location map.
- For emergency protective measures where the Location has been identified as "County-Wide" or "City-Wide," provide a single latitude/longitude for a primary location and define the location in the Damage Description and Dimensions block. Most commonly, the County Administration Building, City Hall, or EOC are used as the data point location.
- □ For **lengths of roadway**, select a location at the beginning or end of the roadway, or some other milestone, and describe the location in the Damage Description and Dimensions section.
- □ The following guidance is general. Confirm formats at each assignment.
  - Use the following format: Latitude 62.88547 Longitude –149.09554.
  - Negative coordinates are allowable: easting values are negative in the Americas; the minus sign must be in front of the longitude coordinate.

- Leading zeros (0) before the decimal are acceptable, but not required.
- The numeric value must be a single, five-digit decimal degree value (NOT degrees, minutes and seconds 30° 15′ 45°).
- Three numbers before and up to five numbers after the decimal point are allowable (XXX.XXXXX).

# □ Examples:

Single Site

LATITUDE 10.48398	LONGITUDE -154.53920

Multiple Sites

LATITUDE 84.22147	LONGITUDE -80.12123
-------------------	---------------------

**Comment:** Multiple Sites example: Lat/Long recorded at the County Courthouse.

Record one of the primary location points, note in the Damage Description what the primary location is and record the other sites in the Damage Description block.

Do not record multiple location coordinates in these blocks.

# **Prepared By / Title / Signature**

PRFPARED BV.	тіті ғ.	SIGNATURE
I KEI AKED DI.		SIGNATURE.

#### Record the name, title, and signature of the person completing the PW.

□ Record your name and your FEMA assignment title in this block.

□ Record your signature on the hard copy of the completed PW.

□ If a team of Project Specialists and Technical Specialists develops the PW, put the lead preparers name on the PW and reference the other team members in a Narrative.

# Applicant Rep. / Title / Signature

APPLICANT REP:	TITLE:	SIGNATURE:

#### Record the name, title, and signature of the applicant's representative.

□ Record the name and title of the applicant's representative.

- □ The signature will generally indicate the applicant's concurrence with the PW as prepared. If the applicant does not concur with the PW, the items of non-concurrence should be described in the Scope of Work, a Narrative, or in an attached memorandum.
- An applicant's signature is not required in order to process the PW; however, it is good practice to have the applicant's concurrence with what is being submitted. Always check with your PA Group Supervisor for disaster-specific guidance regarding signatures on PWs.

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

Describe the disaster-related damage to the facility, including the cause of damage and the area affected. The primary components of the Damage Description and Dimensions block, which are discussed separately in this section, are as follows:

- $\Rightarrow$  Describe the cause of the damage
- $\Rightarrow$  Demonstrate that the applicant is responsible for performing the work
- ⇒ Describe the pre-disaster condition of the facility
- ⇒ Quantify specific disaster-related damages or emergency services provided
- ⇒ Identify latitude and longitude

#### Overview

Providing accurate and complete information for the Damage Description and Dimensions block is the most important purpose of the PW. This block documents observations and information, such as specific disaster-related damages, that cannot be otherwise verified after repairs to the facility are initiated. This information supports the basic eligibility determination and defines the expectations for the scope of work and associated costs.

#### Organizing and Documenting the Information on the PW

- Make sure the Damage Description and Dimensions block is consistent with the supporting information (e.g., sketches, photographs, etc.) that you attach to your PW.
- Organize your Damage Description and Dimensions (and Scope of Work) in short and concise paragraphs, or using a bulleted format. A bulleted format is often a good, clear approach. The Scope of Work should then follow the same order of work

so a clear correlation between the damaged elements and the repair work can be made.

□ If you need more space in the Damage Description and Dimensions block than is provided in the PW form, use the Continuation Sheet to continue your description. Make a statement at the end of the PW Damage Description and Dimensions block, such as "SEE CONTINUATION SHEET." On the continuation sheet, be sure to include a Damage Description and Dimensions heading.

# Describe the Cause of the Damage

To be eligible for assistance, the work must be required as a direct result of the declared disaster. Therefore, it is important to provide the specific cause of the reported damages.

Do not provide irrelevant information about the disaster that does not specifically pertain to the extent and type of damage.

- □ Damages must occur within the incident period for the disaster. In some instances, protective measures and other preparation activities performed within a reasonable and justified time in advance of the event may also be eligible.
  - If a flood crest on a major river is forecast a few weeks in advance, sandbagging and construction of temporary levees to protect the community may be eligible.
- □ If the disaster involved multiple hazards (i.e., a hurricane with high winds, storm surge, and wind-driven rain), it is important to describe the specific condition that caused the damages. Such information may be pertinent when assessing available insurance coverage. These types of damages should be described separately.
  - If an uninsured public building located in the 100-year floodplain is damaged by wind, the total cost of repairs is eligible. However, if the same building is damaged by a flood, the amount of assistance would be reduced by the maximum amount of flood insurance available under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Both scenarios could occur in the same disaster.
- Damage that results from a cause other than the designated event, such as a predisaster damaging event or work to correct inadequacies that existed prior to the disaster, is not eligible.
  - Widespread "alligator cracking" of roads is generally not eligible for repair because it indicates damage that was present before the disaster. However, cracking in specific areas due to uplift from soils saturated by floodwaters is eligible for repair.
- □ For emergency work to be eligible, to the PW must demonstrate that the disaster conditions caused an "**immediate threat**." Therefore, this block should briefly describe the threat and the threatened improved property.
- □ Provide a brief description of how the damage to the facility occurred, or what conditions of the disaster required the emergency services be provided.

- Examples:
  - On August 13, hurricane force winds caused ...
  - The earthquake forces and aftershocks resulted in ...
  - Floodwaters saturated the parking lot at the Miller Elementary School for a period of 16 hours, resulting in buckling and cracking of pavement ...
  - In preparation for the forecasted river flooding, the County placed sandbags along ...
- **Do not** provide irrelevant information about the disaster that does not specifically pertain to the extent and type of damages.
  - Examples:
    - The hurricane struck at 3:10 pm. The hurricane was reclassified as a Category 4 storm immediately prior to striking the coast ...

# Demonstrate that the applicant is responsible for performing the work

- □ To be eligible for assistance, work must be performed by an eligible applicant.
- □ In most cases, a county performing work on county-owned property does not require discussion to demonstrate legal responsibility, and as such, need not be addressed.
- □ However, the following questions should be asked and addressed accordingly:
  - Is the applicant responsible for performing the emergency services provided?
  - Does the applicant own the facility?
  - Is the facility leased by the applicant? If so, request a copy of the lease to determine the responsible party for repairs. The PAC Crew Leader or Project Specialist may request legal review from the Office of Chief Council (OCC) in the JFO to determine the responsible party.
  - Is the repair of the facility the responsibility of another Federal agency (such as FHWA for roads and bridges or USACE for levees and flood control facilities)?
  - Is the facility under construction?

# Describe the pre-disaster condition of the facility

- □ Eligible work includes restoring a facility to its pre-disaster condition, including any codes and standards applicable to the approved work. Therefore, it is first necessary to describe the pre-disaster condition (including design, function, and capacity) of the facility. This information will support the general eligibility of the facility itself.
- □ Describe the **pre-disaster design** of the facility, including footprint, configuration, size, materials, etc. The level of detail provided in this section should reflect the complexity of the facility and resulting damages. At a minimum, provide specific pre-disaster design information for each damaged element.

- For simple road damages, indicate the general road design.
  - **Example:** Miller Road is 14 feet wide with varying shoulder widths and has a compacted gravel surface approximately 2 inches thick over a compacted base.
- For more complex buildings or structures, provide a general description of the facility, and then a more detailed discussion of the specific damaged components, such as framing structure, column size and design, and roofing design. It is not necessary to provide detailed design information for nondamaged components. The year that the facility was constructed should also be included.
- Describe the pre-disaster function of the facility. If a facility was used as a warehouse prior to the disaster, only repairs required for this use may be made. Costs to modify the use of the facility (e.g., to convert the structure to offices) would not be eligible.
  - If the facility requires routine maintenance to perform its designed function, such as culverts, roads, bridges, and dams, it may be necessary to review predisaster maintenance or inspection reports to verify the pre-disaster condition and to assess eligible disaster damages.
  - Note that addressing the "function" may only be necessary if the applicant intends to change the function during repairs. These circumstances would most likely result in an Alternate or Improved Project.
- Describe the pre-disaster capacity of the facility. If a facility was designed for a certain capacity (e.g., schoolhouse designed for 500 students, pipeline designed for specific flow requirements), and the applicant intends to repair or replace the structure for increased capacity (e.g., 750 students due to increased population), the costs for the capacity increase would not be eligible.
  - Note that addressing the "capacity" may only be necessary if the applicant intends to increase the capacity during repairs. These circumstances would most likely result in an Alternate or Improved Project.
- □ Confirm that the facility was in **active use** at the time of the disaster. If not, assess and address the following questions in this section:
  - Was the facility only temporarily inoperative for repairs or remodeling?
  - Was the facility temporarily unoccupied between tenants?
  - Was future use by the applicant firmly established in an approved budget?
  - Can the applicant clearly demonstrate that there was intent to begin use within a reasonable period of time?
  - If the answers to all of these questions is no, note that the facility was not in active use at the time of the disaster.
- □ Confirm that the facility did not have an **alternate use** at the time of the disaster.

43

Describe other features of the facility or its location that may impact the eligible scope of work.

- Examples:
  - Is the facility a known historic structure?
  - Is the facility located in a known floodplain or Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit?
  - Is the facility in a location known to be prone to repetitive damage? Landslide prone area?
  - Is the facility under construction?

# Quantify specific disaster-related damages or emergency services needed

Remember that as the FEMA Project Specialist, you may be the only FEMA representative to visit the site. Once the repairs are initiated, it will not be possible to recreate or verify the actual extent of damages. Therefore, it is critical that you thoroughly document the observed damages through description, sketches, and photographs.

Provide a general assessment of the extent of damages (e.g., partially damaged, destroyed, eroded, washed out, etc.).

□ The damage must be described in terms of the facility, features, or items requiring repair.

- For example, for roof damage, indicate the type of roof covering. If the substructure, including the truss system was damaged, describe the size and location of each damaged portion of the roof, etc.
- □ All damaged elements must be clearly defined in quantitative terms with physical dimensions (e.g., length, width, depth, and capacity), not just total quantities.
- □ If damages were caused by multiple hazards (i.e., roof by wind, first floor by flooding, etc.), separate the damages and quantities by hazard.
- □ For emergency protective measures and debris removal, describe and quantify the damage in terms of the immediate threat to public health and safety or to improved property.
  - Describe the response needed (e.g., requires a shelter be provided to accommodate up to 100 persons, requires emergency shoring of 100 linear feet of retaining wall, requires removal of 5,700 CY of vegetative debris, etc.).
- □ If all or a portion of the damages have been repaired, describe the basis upon which you have confirmed that the damages were, in fact, disaster-related. Include any supporting documentation the applicant may have provided to substantiate this claim (e.g., photographs, etc.).
- □ Observed or reported ineligible damages should be documented to minimize later disputes relative to the extent of eligible repairs.

# Identify latitude and longitude

Describe the location where latitude and longitude were recorded.

- □ If the project has a jurisdiction-wide location or if the latitude and longitude were recorded at a location other than the facility, define the location where the latitude and longitude were recorded.
  - Latitude and Longitude recorded at the County Administration Building
  - GPS taken at City Hall
  - Lat/Long recorded at the EOC
- □ If the project was written for multiple sites, and a primary data point was recorded in the Latitude and Longitude blocks, identify the primary site and describe the other sites.
  - Multiple road washouts throughout the northwest quadrant of the county.
  - Primary location recorded at the Department of Public Works.
  - Site 1 was used for the primary location. Seventeen sites damaged; see attachment for list of sites and locations.

#### **Documentation Supporting Damage Description and Dimensions**

Any documentation necessary to illustrate and support the information provided in the Damage Description and Dimensions block should be provided as attachments to the PW and referenced within this block. Pertinent documents may include the following:

#### Required for each PW

- □ Site Location Map
- 🛛 FIRM
- □ Photographs of site, overall facility, specific damages, and conditions that demonstrate the presence of an immediate threat (if applicable)

#### If Applicable

- Drawings, sketches, and plans of pre-disaster facility design (to scale)
- □ Drawings and sketches of disaster-related damages (to scale)
- □ Calculation sheet detailing specific dimensions and quantities of damage
- □ Lease agreements for leased properties
- □ Facility maintenance records (e.g., for roads, engineered channels, debris basins, and other facilities requiring maintenance to ensure proper function)
- □ Facility inspection/safety reports (as may be available for bridges and dams)

# Tips for Reviewing the Damage Description and Dimensions:

- ✓ Make sure your Damage Description is legible, accurate, complete, and presents information in a logical and concise manner for a new reader.
- ✓ Upon completion of your damage description, put yourself in the position of someone who has not seen the damaged site. Could they recreate the situation upon reading your description?

# Examples:

# YES (Single Site – Multi-Hazards)

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

Hurricane storm surge, wind-driven rain, and wind damaged City Hall (concrete block construction, two-story building).

Two areas of asphalt shingle roofing (shingles only) were blown away (2 each – 20-ft x 15-ft areas located on the east side of roof), and two double-hung/double-glazed windows (30 in x 48 in each) on the  $2^{nd}$  floor of the east side of the building were broken by wind projectiles. Wind-driven rain entered the  $2^{nd}$  floor Council room through the broken windows and soaked the carpet and mat (25 ft x 20 ft). No apparent water damage to walls in the Council room was observed (no standing water marks).

Storm surge resulted in 1.0 ft of water on the first floor; there was no standing water damage since the surge receded quickly. First floor – linoleum floor in room measuring 50 ft x 65 ft is encrusted with salt.

No furniture or equipment was damaged since it had been temporarily removed for recent installation of linoleum floor. No standing water line on first floor observed. No damage evident to the 1st floor ceiling tiles as a result of the wet carpet on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor. Power is on at building.

# YES (Pre-Event Protective Measures)

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

On Friday, April 4, 2003, the City of Woodbury received a flood weather alert from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers predicting the Indian River would reach a flood crest of 3.5 ft above the 100-year flood elevation in the vicinity of the City of Woodbury by April 7<sup>th</sup>, due to the heavy rain conditions. During past similar events, the downtown and nearby areas of the City of Woodbury have been inundated by 2 to 3 ft of floodwater from the Indian River. By April 7th, the flood crest occurred as predicted and receded by April 9th. Kinner County was declared a disaster area on April 11th. The disaster incident period was designated from April 4th, when the alert was issued, through April 10th.

# YES (Demonstrates Eligible Facility)

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

Heavy rains generated by Tropical Storm Jamie resulted in extensive flooding in Santana Creek and its tributaries. The flooding and associated high water velocities caused sideslope washouts at numerous locations along the improved channel for Santana Creek, between Benson Road and Burntwoods Road. The alignment and slopes of Santana Creek had been improved as part of the stormwater management improvements in the 1990s. The original serpentine, and badly eroded, natural water course was straightened, profiled, and vegetated to improve its hydraulic capacity while remaining compatible with a naturalized landscape appearance (details vary along length). The channel is regularly maintained. Accordingly, the channel is considered an improved and maintained natural feature and is an eligible facility in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(d). The improvements performed on this channel do not meet the USACE definition of a flood control work and, therefore, the channel is not eligible for USACE funding.

Refer to continuation sheets for further location information and description of the damage at each site.

# YES (Multiple Sites)

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

Site 1: Fork River County Bridge

CR 66 @ Fork River; 10 miles west of the City of Tolco

LAT 10.339405 LONG -149.229993

Floodwaters overtopped a steel truss bridge (two-lane, 24-ft wide driving surface, 150-ft long, with 4-ft wide sidewalk on both sides) and washed away the bridge's 2-inch asphalt driving surface (24 ft x 150 ft), guardrails on both sides (2 x 150 ft) and concrete sidewalk on both sides (2 x 4 ft x 150 ft). Unpaved bridge approaches (two each – 20-ft long x 24-ft wide x 1.0 ft deep) and shoulders (four each – 20-ft long x 2-ft wide x 1.0 ft deep) eroded at both ends of bridge.

No visible structural damage to remaining bridge structure, although piers are partially submerged. No evidence of asphalt, sidewalk, or guardrail debris in the river in the immediate vicinity of the bridge.

Intermittent debris (tree limbs and branches) – 4 areas, approximately 4 ft x 16 ft each, remaining on bridge.

For public safety purposes, traffic had to be detoured to next bridge south.

Site 2: CR 66 Road Surface Damage

0.25 mile west of Fork River Bridge

LAT 10.338443 LONG -149.29982

Floodwaters washed away the asphalt roadway surface (2 in x 24 ft x 150 ft) and limestone base material (3 in x 26 ft x 150 ft). Shoulders have minimal erosion (2 inches or less) over a 150-ft x 4-ft area on both sides of the road. Floodwaters were minimal in this area (6 inches or less above road surface) because this area is at a higher elevation than the bridge.

**Discussion:** Remember there are several sites associated with this project; therefore, the damaged facility name, location, and latitude/longitude should be recorded in this block for each site. This is a Category C PW, but it also includes emergency protective measures and debris removal. The emergency work is being included in this single PW because it is incidental to the overall work associated with the project. If the applicant wishes the work to be covered under separate PWs, that request can be considered in the Project Formulation process. Note that the limestone base material is wider than the asphalt paving; it is accepted design practice for the road base to be 1 to 2 feet wider than the paved surface.

# NO (Single Site)

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS

On September 9, 2001 at 3:30 p.m., Hurricane Magnum, a Category 3 storm, hit the coastal town of Seaside on the Gulf Coast of Florida and damaged the City Public Pool Building located at 1313 NW 13<sup>th</sup> St. Roof (22 ft x 50 ft) was damaged, first floor carpet and pad (600 sf) were saturated.

Why is this incorrect? It includes too much irrelevant information about the hurricane. What is important to know is what hazard caused the damage (i.e., was there wind damage, wind-driven rain damage, or flood (riverine or coastal surge) damage). We do not know the type of roof that was damaged or the extent to which it was damaged—was it the roof covering only, or sub-structure also? The first floor carpet and pad were saturated but was this due to flooding or wind-driven rain? No information is given to indicate whether there should be a concern about hidden damage behind the walls, such as a water mark or lost power. The address is redundant because it should have been provided in the Location block already.

# SCOPE OF WORK

#### SCOPE OF WORK

List the work that has been completed and work to be completed that is necessary to repair disaster-related damages. The primary components of the Scope of Work, which are discussed separately within this section, are as follows:

- ⇒ Describe the work necessary to remove and dispose of disaster-related debris, conduct emergency response measures, or repair or replace the disaster-damaged facility to the pre-disaster condition
- ⇒ Document "Work Completed" and "Work to Be Completed"
- ⇒ Describe any work that will restore a facility beyond its pre-disaster condition
- ⇒ Describe any Special Considerations that affect the Scope of Work
- ⇒ Document ineligible work and associated costs
- $\Rightarrow$  Describe the basis for the cost estimate

#### Overview

- □ Present the information in short paragraphs or bulleted lists.
- □ Use a Scope of Work Continuation Sheet, or separate Narrative, if the information is complex.

# Describe the work necessary to remove and dispose of disaster-related debris, conduct emergency response measures, or repair or replace the disaster-damaged facility to the pre-disaster condition

- □ For emergency work projects, discuss how the proposed work will reduce or eliminate the immediate threat.
  - Describe the specific services to be provided to reduce or eliminate the threat (i.e., labor, materials, equipment, and contract).
  - Indicate whether any work was or will be performed by volunteer labor, mutual aid agreements, etc.
- □ The Scope of Work to restore a facility to its pre-disaster condition must be determined for all permanent work, regardless of the applicant's intent.
  - This scope provides the basis for evaluating a request for any work that changes the pre-disaster condition and for other programmatic and regulatory evaluations.
  - Although a facility may be covered by insurance, the scope of work must be detailed to determine eligible costs prior to applying insurance proceeds.
- □ The Scope of Work must correspond directly to the cause of damage and the disaster-damaged elements identified in the Damage Description and Dimensions section above. If items were not identified as being damaged by the disaster, they are not eligible for repair.
- □ Organize the Scope of Work items to follow the presentation of the damaged items in the Damage Description and Dimensions block.

- □ All design assumptions, methods of repair, and calculations to support the work must be provided.
- □ The work should be specified in quantifiable (length, width, height, depth, capacity) and descriptive (brick, wood, asphalt) terms.

# Document "Work Completed" and "Work to Be Completed"

- □ If work has been initiated at a damaged site, it is necessary to separate work completed (Work Completed) from the work remaining (Work to Be Completed).
- □ For the portion of "Work Completed":
  - Begin the Scope of Work block with the phrase "Work Completed."
  - Describe in detail the work completed, as described above. To the extent possible, follow the organization of information provided in the Damage Description.
  - Since the completed work was most likely completed before your site inspection, identify how you determined the work was necessary.
  - Actual costs should be available from the applicant for work that is completed. If the costs are not available, state why.
  - Include the dates that the work was completed.

□ For projects that have "Work to Be Completed":

- Begin the section of the Scope of Work with the phrase "Work to Be Completed."
- Describe in detail the work to be completed, as described above. To the extent possible, follow the organization of information provided in the Damage Description.

# Describe any work that will restore a facility beyond its pre-disaster condition

- □ If the applicant proposes upgrades or changes to the pre-disaster condition, an explanation and justification for the proposed changes must be provided.
  - Relocation Projects: If the applicant requests, or if FEMA requires, a facility be relocated rather than reconstructed at the damaged site, the Scope of Work must include both a description and cost comparison of what is required to restore the facility at its original location, the basis for considering relocation, and the scope and associated costs for the relocation.
  - Replacement Projects: Eligibility for facility replacement is based on the Repair-to-Replacement Cost Ratio (referred to as the 50% rule). This analysis requires one detailed cost estimate to restore the facility to its pre-disaster condition, and another to replace the entire structure. Refer to FEMA Policy 9524.4 for details on completing this analysis. The Scope of Work should briefly describe the results of this analysis, and detailed calculations should be included as attachments.

- Alternate and Improved Projects: If the applicant intends to request an Alternate or Improved Project, the Scope of Work should carefully detail the repairs to pre-disaster condition. A description of the applicant's alternate or improved project, if known, may be included on a Narrative. Refer to FEMA Policy 9525.13 and the *PA Guide*, page 110-112 regarding the process for requesting Public Assistance for Alternate or Improved Projects.
- Hazard Mitigation Proposal (HMP): If the applicant requests a hazard mitigation measure to be included in the repair, complete the Hazard Mitigation Proposal form and submit the project to the PAC Crew Leader for review. During initial development of the PW, state in the Scope of Work only that the HMP is requested. Once an eligibility determination is made for the HMP, the scope for the HMP will be further integrated into the PW. Refer to FEMA Policy 9526.1.
- **Codes and Standards:** Indicate whether upgrades are required by Codes and Standards. Request a copy of the applicable code or standard from the applicant, complete an eligibility review based on FEMA regulations, and confirm the work is eligible in the Scope of Work section. If the work is not eligible, explain why.

# Describe any Special Considerations that affect the Scope of Work

- □ If a project has one or more Special Considerations issues (i.e., insurance, hazard mitigation, historic preservation, or environmental compliance issues), the issues should be appropriately identified and addressed in the Scope of Work block.
- □ Because most of these issues require review and resolution by a Technical Specialist, the Project Specialist is only responsible for identifying the issue and describing the impact on the scope of work or cost. Further information will be collected, reviewed, and addressed by the Technical Specialist.
- □ Section III provides additional information on addressing Special Considerations.

# Document ineligible work and associated costs

- □ If the applicant is requesting funding for work that does not meet FEMA's eligibility criteria, the item(s) should be identified in the Scope of Work as requested, but should be noted as ineligible. This will assist FEMA in further evaluation of these items, should the applicant appeal the determination.
- □ Be sure to discuss your recommendations for eligible work with the PAC Crew Leader.
- □ The primary basis for the ineligibility determination should be stated and, if available, the associated cost reported. If the discussion becomes lengthy, it may be appropriate to identify the issue in the Scope of Work section and provide the more detailed discussion in the Narrative. The discussion should include:
  - A brief description (scope) of the requested work and the basis for the request, as presented by the applicant.

- A summary of the costs supporting the applicant's request. Note that it is not necessary to review costs associated with ineligible work in detail, only to include them in the supporting documentation. Note that the cost information is included but was not reviewed in detail.
- An explanation of eligibility determination, supported by references to applicable sections of the Stafford Act, Title 44 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), the *PA Guide*, the *Policy Digest*, and other pertinent regulations or documents.

# Describe the basis for the cost estimate

- □ The Project Cost section of the PW is limited to providing the cost data. Therefore, a description of how the costs were determined should be included in the Scope of Work section.
- □ A statement should be provided to identify:
  - How the work will be (or was) performed (e.g., force account labor and equipment or by contract)
  - The methodology used to develop costs (e.g., force account rates, applicantprovided unit prices, contract or bid rates, R.S. Means, FEMA cost codes, etc.)
  - Why the costs are reasonable
- □ For work that is complete, a line item should be included in the Project Cost section stating "Work Completed" (see example below). Actual costs should be available from the applicant and used for the cost estimate. If actual costs are not available, document why and describe how the costs were estimated for this work.
- □ For work that is not yet completed, a line item should be included in the Project Cost section stating "Work to Be Completed" (see example below). The costs should be based on estimates.
- □ If applicant-provided unit prices or other cost formats are used, assess and describe the reasonableness of the costs. For example, are the costs based on historic costs for similar work or bid prices for similar current disaster work? Are they consistent with R.S. Means or other estimating tools?
- □ If a contract is in place for the work, describe the contract procurement method (i.e., normal or emergency methods).
- □ State whether the CEF was used. If the CEF was not used and the project was a large project, permanent work, and less than 90% complete, explain why it was not used.
- □ Refer to the Project Cost section for more information on presenting cost estimates.

# **Documentation Supporting Scope of Work**

Any documentation necessary to demonstrate and support the Scope of Work should be provided as an attachment to the PW and referenced within this block. Pertinent documents may include the following:

# COMPLETING THE PROJECT WORKSHEET

- □ Photographs of work completed, if any
- □ Engineering/Technical Reports
- □ Engineering Specifications for repair (such as DPW standard design drawings)
- Drawings and sketches of the completed or proposed repair (to scale)
- □ Calculation sheets detailing specific dimensions and quantities of work items
- □ Applicable codes and standards
- □ Relevant correspondence or information received from the applicant or State
- □ Justification for request of a relocation project, improved, or alternate project, including details of the proposed project
- □ Insurance policies
- □ Hazard mitigation proposals
- Documents supporting compliance with environmental or historic preservation issues
- □ Contracts or contractor bids
- □ Mutual aid agreements
- □ Rental agreements
- □ Receipts
- □ Time/equipment records (if applicable)
- □ CEF Spreadsheets

# Tip for Reviewing the Scope of Work:

- ✓ Make sure your Scope of Work is legible, accurate, complete, and presents information in a logical and concise manner for a new reader.
- ✓ Make sure the items of repair were identified as damaged in the Damage Description and Dimensions blocks and costs are provided for each component.
- ✓ Upon the completion of your Scope of Work, put yourself in the position of someone who has not seen the damaged site. Could they recreate the situation upon reading your description?

#### Examples:

# Yes (Multiple Sites)

# SCOPE OF WORK

The proposed scope of work for each of the affected sites is to restore the channel to its pre-disaster condition by placing structural fill in the eroded areas. Repaired slopes will be hyrdoseeded to restore vegetative slope design in order to stabilize the slope and minimize erosion. The quantities shown include effort for minor trimming of eroded areas to ensure ability to properly place and compact the new fill. The sites are readily

accessible from the top of the associated channel right-of-way and municipal streets so that temporary access roads for equipment are not required.

All work is yet to be started and will be performed by force account. Costs are estimated using FEMA cost codes.

<u>Site 1-</u>

- Place structural fill in the eroded areas = 80' L x 29' W x 2' D= 4640 CF/ 27 = 172 CY.
- Place 4" of topsoil over the fill = 80' L x 29' W = 2320 SF / 9 = 258 SY.

<u>Site 2-</u>

• Place structural fill in the eroded areas = 395' L x 8' W x 1' D = 3160 CF/ 27 = 117 CY.

• Place 4" of topsoil over the fill = 395' L x 8' W = 3160 SF / 9 = 351 SY. Site 3-

- Place structural fill in the eroded areas = 360' L x 8' W x 1' D = 2880 CF / 27 = 107 CY.
- Place 4" of topsoil over the fill = 360' L x 8' W = 2880 SF/ 9 = 320 SY.

**Discussion:** A general description is provided of the work to be performed, followed by specific details at each site. Dimensions are provided to support quantities.

# Yes (Change of Pre-disaster Design due to constructability issues)

# SCOPE OF WORK

To restore Smith Road and its embankment to its pre-disaster condition, it is necessary to replace the eroded gravel surface (Task 1, work complete), repair the eroded embankment (Task 2), reconstruct the two failed slopes (Task 3), and clean and reshape the roadway drainage ditches (Task 4). For work complete, actual costs of force account labor presented. For work to be completed, estimate based on Highway Department Basic Expense Standard List (HWF 119, see attached), FEMA Cost Code, or R.S. Means unit prices, as indicated.

Work Completed:

Task 1 (MP 0.7 to MP 2.7) - Replace and compact eroded surface aggregate on the roadway (86 tons) from MP 0.7 to MP 2.7. Applicant labor records (including fringe benefit rates), materials (aggregate) invoices, and equipment records reviewed with applicant and summarized on attached summary sheets. Work was completed from 3/13 to 3/19.

Work to be Completed:

<u>Task 2 (Smith Run at MP 1.381)</u> - Place select borrow fill in the eroded embankment area to fill and stabilize the slope (127 CY): Trim the irregular, eroded surface prior to placing fill material (approximate volume = 380 LF X 1 FT x 1 FT = 190 CF / 27 = 14 CY). Total volume of fill = 127 + 14 = 141 CY. Unit prices used in estimate based on R.S. Means.

Task 3 (MP 1.634 and MP 2.105) - The natural slope supporting the roadway and the

shoulder suffered localized failures due to saturated conditions caused by the high water levels in Smith Run and significant roadway runoff. The natural slopes exist on a 1 horizontal to 1 vertical slope, and cannot be restored to that configuration with man placed soils. Sufficient space is not available between Smith Run and the edge of the roadway to flatten the existing slide. Accordingly, the embankment is to be repaired using soldier piles and lagging. Unit price used in estimate based on FEMA cost code, and confirmed with applicant to be consistent with Highway Department costs. Cost includes minor repairs and regrading of roadway surface after soldier pile wall is installed.

Task 4 (MP 0.7 to MP 2.7) - Remove the accumulated stone aggregate and mixed debris from within the sections of debris, for a total of 1,000 LF. Unit price used in estimate based on HWF 119, Act. 287.

<u>Additional Scope Effort</u> - Flagging is necessary during the conduct of all roadway work. Total duration of work estimated at 96 hours. Unit price used in estimate based on HWF 119, Act. 813.

# Yes (Ineligible work)

# SCOPE OF WORK

In anticipation of the expected flood crest, the City constructed a temporary emergency sandbag berm along the Indian River at the City's Nature Park area as a pre-flood emergency protection measure. This section of Nature Park is currently undeveloped but construction of several park facilities (tennis courts, basketball court, picnic area) was planned to begin on April 15th. Only minor clearing of vegetation had begun at this site prior to the floods. The City constructed the berm to prevent erosion and saturation of the site, for fear the resulting damage would delay the scheduled construction of the proposed park facilities.

The constructed berm was 50-ft long and 4-ft high. Approximately 200 sandbags (24 in x 18 in x 6 in - each) were used as well as 11 CY of sand. Seven shovels and three rakes were broken while completing the work and had to be replaced. The applicant claimed costs associated with the construction of the berm at this location to be \$896.18 (force account labor to deliver materials and construct berm, 18 hours, \$235.48; temporary labor, \$400; equipment dump trucks and pickup trucks, 14 hours \$111.20; sandbags, sand, replace broken equipment, \$149.50). Detailed cost information is not provided in this PW as the work is ineligible, but cost information is available from the applicant.

The work to construct this berm is ineligible for Public Assistance funding. Emergency protective measures are only eligible when constructed to protect improved property as per 44 CFR Part 206.225(a). At the time of the flood, there was no public use of the area and there were no constructed improvements on the property. This was confirmed by a site visit and a meeting with the State Applicant Liaison and the City Public Works Director.

**Discussion:** The description documents the request of the applicant for funding of the project and an explanation of why the work is not eligible. The PAC Crew Leader, PA Group Supervisor, and others will be able to understand the basis of the recommendation of ineligibility. Recording the request on the PW then allows the applicant to appeal the determination, if so desired.

# Maybe (Emergency and Permanent Work; Work partially completed)

# SCOPE OF WORK

Site 1:

# Work completed:

Immediately after the bridge was damaged, City force account personnel were assigned to each end of the bridge for 24 hours (four patrol persons total, 12-hour shift each for one day) to safely detour traffic. Six flashing barricades rented from Joe's Barricades were put in place the following day (September 21st) to detour traffic and will remain in place until work is complete.

The bridge span has been cleared of vegetative debris (four areas – 4 ft x 16 ft each) by force account labor (one 3-person crew) and equipment (dump truck and loader) in preparation for repairs by contractor.

The City has an annual contract with Joe's Barricades that was in place prior to the disaster, in accordance with the City's established procurement procedures; since the rental costs were established prior to the disaster, they are considered reasonable.

# Work to be completed:

Fill and regrade unpaved approach ramps to bridge (two each – 25-ft long to match existing grade x 24-ft wide x 1-ft deep) and roadway shoulders (four each – 25-ft long x 2-ft wide x 1-ft deep).

Replace bridge driving surface (2 in x 24-ft wide, 200 ft including approach ramps); stripe (200 ft); install Class I guard rail (150 ft each side – bridge only); replace sidewalk (4 in x 4 ft x 200 ft, including approach ramps).

Bridge was in compliance with current codes prior to disaster and will be replaced inkind. The City is preparing to competitively bid the work. The City Engineering Department will prepare the plans and contract documents, obtain permits, and provide construction management and inspection services.

**Discussion:** This example provides sufficient description of work completed and to be completed. However, the Project Specialist should consider preparing a separate PW for the emergency work. Although the cost may be incidental to the permanent work, funding for the completed emergency work could be delayed during the more detailed review of the scope of repair.

# NO (Inadequate scope of work)

## SCOPE OF WORK

Return the bridge to pre-disaster condition.

**Why is this incorrect?** What is the required action? Repair or replace the bridge? Is this a wood or steel bridge? Is the driving surface timber, asphalt, steel grating? What is being repaired or replaced? How much repair or replacement will occur and what materials are involved? Are codes and standards relevant in this project?

# NO (Facility covered by insurance)

# SCOPE OF WORK

All work is covered by insurance. The applicant is requesting reimbursement for only the deductible.

**Why is this incorrect?** The Scope of Work should be completed even though there may be insurance coverage on the facility. A complete Scope of Work is critical in the event that the insurance settlement does not cover an item as expected, or if the applicant wishes to make additional claims. Also, a complete Scope of Work is necessary to support the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of a Hazard Mitigation Proposal and to ensure that project complies with all Federal environmental and historic preservation laws, regulations, and Executive Orders.

# SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS – FOUR QUESTIONS

Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site? 
Yes No
Special Considerations issues included? 
Yes No
Hazard Mitigation proposal included? 
Yes No
Is there insurance coverage on this facility? 
Yes No

Special Considerations is a program term used by Public Assistance to capture all program issues other than eligibility. On disasters, these are usually hazard mitigation, environmental compliance, historic preservation, floodplain management, and insurance issues. These four questions on the face of the PW summarize certain key issues regarding Special Considerations. If the answer to any of the following questions is "YES" or "UNSURE," an adequate explanation should be provided on the Special Considerations form.

Each of the four Special Considerations questions is described below. Section III of this document provides further discussion regarding Special Considerations and the Special Considerations Questions.

#### Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions on the site?

- □ This question should be answered "YES" if Question Number 4 of the Special Considerations Questions form has been checked YES. If Question Number 4 was marked UNSURE, then write "UNSURE" after "NO" and circle it.
- Remember that a change in the pre-disaster condition can include capacity, materials, location, function, use, or footprint. If any of these items have changed or could potentially change, then the response to this question should be recorded as "YES" or "UNSURE."
- □ This question would be answered "YES" or "UNSURE" if there are any off-site staging areas or temporary access roads proposed as part of the project.

#### Special Considerations issues included?

- □ Check this question as "YES" if there are "YES" or "UNSURE" responses to ANY question on the Special Considerations Questions form.
- □ Do NOT check "YES" just because the Special Considerations Questions form is attached. If all the responses to the Special Considerations Questions were "NO," then the response to this question would be "NO."

#### Hazard Mitigation Proposal included?

- □ Check "YES" if a Hazard Mitigation Proposal is included with the PW.
- □ Write "UNSURE" if the applicant requests technical assistance for the development of a Hazard Mitigation Proposal.

#### Is there insurance coverage on this facility?

- □ If the response to Question No. 1 of the Special Considerations Questions is "YES" or "UNSURE," then check "YES" or note "UNSURE."
- □ If this question has been answered as "YES" or "UNSURE," an adequate explanation should be provided on the Special Considerations Questions form.

PROJECT COST					
ITEM	CODE	NARRATIVE	QUANTITY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST
			/		
			/		
			/		
			/		
			/		
				TOTAL COST	

58

#### **PROJECT COST**

# Use these blocks to describe, quantify, and estimate the cost for each item of work.

#### General

- □ All costs should be supported by the Damage Description and Scope of Work. If an item was not identified as damaged and necessary for the specific repair, the associated costs will be found ineligible.
- □ Costs should be separated for Work Completed and Work to Be Completed, consistent with the items identified in the Scope of Work.
- □ Costs for damages should be separated by hazard (i.e., wind, flooding, etc.).
- □ A Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet is available if the number of items exceeds the number of rows available on the PW.
- □ If the CEF is being used to estimate large projects, check with the PAC Crew Leader or the PA Group Supervisor for the appropriate format for reporting estimates on the PW. Separate guidance documents and training materials are available regarding the CEF.

#### Item

□ Use this block to sequentially number (i.e., 1, 2, 3, etc.) each cost item recorded in the Project Cost block.

#### Code

- □ Use this block to record the appropriate FEMA Cost Code number for FEMA and non-FEMA cost and equipment rates.
- □ Use FEMA Cost Codes or FEMA Equipment Rates; otherwise use "9999" for non-FEMA cost codes such as R.S. Means or applicant costs. Use "0000" for all general text entries such as "Site 1" or "Work to Be Completed."
- □ Obtain the FEMA Cost Codes for the declared disaster by:
  - Requesting them from your assigned PAC Crew Leader.
  - Picking up a printout at the JFO or Field Personnel Briefing.
  - Printing a copy from EMMIE by accessing the PW toolbar (Browse) or clicking on the Cost Code icon.
- □ Obtain the FEMA Equipment Rates by:
  - Requesting them from your assigned PAC Crew Leader.
  - Picking up a printout at the JFO.
  - Printing a copy from the FEMA website, <u>www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/eqrates.shtm</u>.

#### Narrative

- □ Use this block to note the work, material, or service that best describes the work associated with the item cost.
- □ If using FEMA Cost or Equipment Rates, use the description provided in the listing (e.g. "FEMA Cost Code 9011 = Laborer Overtime;" do not write "Force Account Labor Overtime Only").
- □ If using non-FEMA Cost or Equipment Codes, briefly describe the work, material, or service (e.g., "42–IN-DIA. RCP" or "Prepare Site and Plant Seedlings").
- □ If using Contract, include a distinguishing reference to the contractor or type of work (e.g., "Contract Myer Drive Repair").

# Quantity/Unit

- □ Use this block to record the number of units and the units of measure.
- □ If using non-FEMA Cost or Equipment Codes, use the units (i.e., If, ea, cy, mile, etc.) provided in the listing. Your Scope of Work calculations will determine the quantity associated with the unit of work.
- □ If using non-FEMA Cost or Equipment Codes, your estimation or actual cost determination will designate the quantity and units to be noted.

# Unit Price

- □ Use this block to record the Unit Price.
- □ If using FEMA Cost or Equipment Rates, use the unit price provided in the listing. If the FEMA unit price must be changed, justify the change in the Scope of Work for the particular site affected.
- □ If using non-FEMA Cost or Equipment Rates, use the unit price provided. If using the applicant's unit costs, describe the source and provide cost justification in the attached documentation.
- □ This information can be developed from cost-to-date information, contracts, bids, applicant's experience in that particular type of work, or cost estimating reference documents, such as R.S. Means.
- □ There are restrictions regarding the use of non-FEMA equipment rates. Consult with the PAC Crew Leader as necessary.

#### Cost

- Use this block to record the total cost for each line item.
- Multiply the Quantity/Unit value by the Unit Price value to obtain the Cost for each line item.
- □ If using a Continuation Sheet for additional costs, include a line item on the PW indicating "Costs from Continuation Sheet" and report the total from that sheet.

□ Sum the individual costs to obtain the total project cost, including those costs reported on the Continuation Sheet.

# Example:

PROJECT COST					
ITEM	CODE	NARRATIVE	QUANTITY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COST
		Work Completed			
1	9007	Labor	1.00 / LS	\$964.03	\$964.03
2	9008	Equipment	1.00 / LS	\$427.77	\$427.77
3	9009	Materials	1.00 / LS	\$2,211.60	\$2,211.60
		Work to Be Completed			
4	9999	Prepare Site and Plant seedlings	232 / AC	\$60.00	\$13,920.00
5	9999	Contract – Miller Co.	1.00 / LS	\$9,800.00	\$9,800.00
6	9999	Less salvage for copper	1.00 / LS	<\$1,500.00>	<\$1,500.00>
		pipe			
			Total Cost	\$25,823.40	

# **VERSIONS / AMENDMENTS / CHANGE ORDERS**

- □ In NEMIS, updates to PWs are called Versions. EMMIE calls them Amendments or Change Orders.
- □ Original PWs are written when damages are identified.
- □ Subsequent versions of the Project Worksheet cannot be completed until the previous version of the Project Worksheet has been obligated.
  - If changes need to be made prior the Project Worksheet being obligated, revisions may be made to the current version and a new version is not necessary.
  - Different JFOs may have different procedures for version control. Verify the process with the PAC Crew Leader.
- □ Subsequent versions are written to modify a PW for four reasons.
  - To modify the Scope of Work
  - To add damaged elements
  - To change the Period of Performance (time extensions)
  - To modify the cost
- □ Subsequent versions of PWs are not normally permitted for small projects, unless there is a considerable change in the Scope of Work.

- □ Subsequent versions are :
  - Created in the same manner as the original PW.

- Written to address additional damages and/or changes to the Scope of Work not identified in original PW.
  - For example, a subsequent version can be written to add a Hazard Mitigation Proposal to the PW.
- Written to allow for time extensions.
- Written to reconcile previously estimated costs with actual, reasonable, and eligible costs at closeout.

Details regarding versions:

- Basic Project Information remains the same. This information will not be changed when submitted to the NEMIS/EMMIE system.
- The Damage Description and Dimensions does not and cannot change. NEMIS/EMMIE does not permit a change. All pertinent information must be placed in the Scope of Work.
- The first line of the Scope of Work and Cost section must indicate the Version Number and the opening statement should be "This Version is written to...."
  - ...modify the Scope of Work
  - ...extend the Period of Performance
  - ...increase the cost estimate
  - ...etc.
- Only include costs relative to the current version. Do not include previously obligated funds.

# **Checking the PW Data**

Review your work before submitting your PW to the PAC Crew Leader or JFO. Use the following guidelines as a basis for review.

- ✓ Are all blocks of the PW completed?
- ✓ Are the damaged elements consistent with the repair items and cost items?
- ✓ Is reference made to supporting documents?
- ✓ Do the details of the damages and repair items match the information on the sketches?
- Do the dates of damages coincide with the dates of work completed or documented site visits?
- ✓ Are sufficient photos provided to illustrate the key damages?
- ✓ Could someone read this in a couple of years and understand the project?
Appendix E provides a Project Worksheet Quality Review Checklist to be used for reviewing the content of your PW before submitting it to the PAC Crew Leader. This checklist may also be used by PAC Crew Leaders and others responsible for reviewing the PW to ensure all pertinent data has been provided.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

### **III. ADDRESSING SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

FEMA has developed the Special Considerations Questions form to aid in the identification of Special Considerations issues for each project. This form must be completed for every PW.

The Project Specialist and the PAC Crew Leader are responsible for completing this form in a manner that informs the Technical Specialists of the potential issues. The form is intended for issue identification only and is not a compliance document. Compliance is documented by the appropriate Technical Specialist on the PW in the appropriate EMMIE section.

The Special Considerations Questions form has nine questions that address the four basic Special Considerations issues. The FEMA Environmental and Historic Preservation Advisor (EHPA) can add additional questions to this form if the disaster presents important issues that must be captured. For instance, if the disaster has generated a large volume of debris, the Environmental Officer may add a question that asks if a debris staging area is proposed. Therefore, on every disaster assignment, ask whether additional questions have been added to this form.

When completing the form, provide comments wherever possible to explain a response of "Yes" or "Unsure." In some cases, a "No" response may warrant an explanation. Note that if the Project Specialist does not know the answer, an "Unsure" response is appropriate, a "No" is not appropriate. Make sure that the responses correlate to the information provided in the PW Damage Description and the Scope of Work. For instance, if a response indicates that a site is not located in a floodplain, and the PW Damage Description states that the facility was inundated with 6 feet of water from Bayou Creek, you may wish to confirm the response by locating the site on the applicable FIRM.

### **Special Consideration Questions**

### Question 1

## Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)

#### This question is intended to capture any potential insurance issues.

A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers an initial review by an EHP Specialist to determine the necessary level of environmental or historic preservation review.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Is the facility insurable?

- Is the facility insured? Was the facility damaged in past declared disasters and required to obtain and maintain insurance?
- Is the facility in a floodplain (Special Flood Hazard Area)?
- Does the facility have general hazard insurance or flood insurance or both?
- □ If the disaster was multi-hazard, i.e. flood and wind, then the PW Scope of Work should clearly identify which hazard caused which damage because the insurance coverage will be different.
- □ Guidance should be provided at the JFO regarding the existence of any unusual insurance situations. For example, some states have insurance on bridge facilities.
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - Locating the project on the appropriate FIRM.
  - Obtaining a copy of the insurance policy, including declaration pages and exclusion components.
  - Obtaining a copy of the insurance Statement of Loss and the settlement.
  - Obtaining past FEMA Public Assistance grant information to determine if previous insurance purchase requirements had been imposed for the receipt of Federal disaster recovery funds from a previous disaster. See the PAC Crew Leader assigned to the applicant to obtain this information.

#### YES

## 1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The applicant has a blanket insurance policy for its losses through Lloyds of London Policy No. 223-UT2234556.23. A copy of the policy and the statement of loss have been obtained and forwarded to the Insurance Specialist. This applicant has not been previously involved in a declared disaster.

#### YES

## 1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The applicant has an insurance policy for the damages associated with Sites 1 and 3 (Park restrooms) through NFIP Policy No. 223-UT2234556.23. A copy of the policy and the statement of loss has been obtained and forwarded to the Insurance Specialist. Sites 2 and 4 (parking lots) have no insurance coverage. This applicant has not been previously involved in a declared disaster. Comment: Confirm with the PAC Crew Leader assigned to the applicant if multiple sites will be recorded on a single form or on multiple forms.

#### NO

1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)

Yes No X Unsure Comments:

Why is this response inadequate? There is no indication as to why the response is "Unsure." An explanation will assist the Insurance Specialist in assessing the situation and developing the appropriate questions to ask the applicant's risk manager.

#### Question 2

## Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area, or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?

# This question is intended to capture any potential issues associated with Executive Orders (EOs) 11988, Floodplain Management and 11990, Protection of Wetlands.

Issues regarding flood insurance through the NFIP may be triggered by the response to this question. If the response to this question is "Yes" or "Unsure," then the Reconnaissance/Review Report for Floodplain Management form may need to be completed.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Is the damaged facility or item of work located within a 100-year or 500-year floodplain?
  - Is the damaged facility in a Coastal High Hazard Area/V Zone?
  - Are there any wetlands on or near the site?
  - Is the site subject to tides?
  - Will the project have potential upstream or downstream impacts?
  - Are there any surface water bodies on or near the site (ponds, lakes, rivers, estuaries, etc.)?
  - Will access to repair the facility cross a wetland or floodplain area?
  - Is the damaged facility classified as a critical facility as defined by 44 CFR §9.4?
  - If the project involves debris removal and disposal, are there debris staging areas that will be established in floodplain or coastal high hazard areas?

□ Important information resources for responding to this question include:

- Locating the project on the appropriate FIRM. Recording the FIRM's Community Panel Number and date (located on the front of the map) on the Special Considerations Questions form.
- FIRMs can be found on the FEMA website at the FEMA Map Service Center (www.msc.fema.gov). Instructions for creating a FIRMette are included in Appendix G. FIRMettes should be attached to each project.
- FIRMs can be obtained from the local building, zoning, or planning departments. FIRMs are also available at the JFO and digitized maps may be available through the FEMA Information and Planning area.
- Wetlands can be identified from wetland maps published by the Department of Interior. The Environmental Officer should be able to provide guidance regarding the applicability of these maps to the disaster.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for an environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - Clean Water Act
  - Coastal Barrier Resources Act
  - Endangered Species Act
  - EO 11988: Floodplain Management
  - EO 11990: Protection of Wetlands
  - Coastal Zone Management Act

YES

## 2. Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area, or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The disaster debris was removed from a coastal high hazard area (Newmans Beach - FIRM Panel No. 23480 dated January 9, 1997- Elev. 12.6). Debris was from the damaged homes along the beach. Debris was disposed at the licensed landfill (Permit No. 23945 – copy attached) in Clearwater City.

#### NO

## 2. Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area, or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?

68

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The damaged facility is located in the floodplain.

**Why is this response inadequate?** The comment provides no additional information. There is no statement of whether this is a 100- or 500-year floodplain or a coastal high hazard area. Is the facility considered a critical facility? There is no FIRM Panel Number or date.

### Question 3

#### Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?

#### This question is intended to identify whether there are potential Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) issues with a particular item of work or damaged facility. Federal funding is very limited in these areas.

These areas have been designated by Congress and are found along the Great Lakes and the Atlantic and Gulf coastal areas. Often, if a disaster has impacted many of these areas, a Technical Specialist will be assigned to assist the Project Specialist or PAC Crew Leader in resolving eligibility and compliance issues.

□ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:

- Is the project located in a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?
  - Do NOT assume that because a project is along a coastline that it is in one of these areas. The System Units are distinctly identified areas designated by Congress.
  - This information may be found on a FIRM.
- When was the facility constructed?
  - The date that construction was initiated is important because some projects could be "grandfathered" or exempted from the Act's requirements if they existed prior to the CBRA designation by Congress. This information can be provided by the local building permit official.
- Is the facility a critical link as defined by 44 CFR Subpart J Coastal Barrier Resources Act?
  - Critical links, such as some power lines and roads, may be exempt from these requirements. If applicable, be sure to describe how the damaged facility is part of a larger system.

□ Important information resources for responding to this question include:

- Locating the project site or item of work on the appropriate FIRM.
  - Coastal Barrier Resource System Units and Otherwise Protected Areas are designated on the FIRMs. Review the map key to identify the areas properly.

- If a site location is in question, confer with the local U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service office, as they maintain the official maps.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - Coastal Barrier Resources Act
    - Compliance will require notification or consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. There should be disaster-specific guidance from the PA Group Supervisor or the Environmental Officer on the forms and procedures to complete the compliance requirements.

#### YES

## 3. Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?

Yes No X Unsure Comments: Appears to be within a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit, but close to the edge of map. Copy of FIRM Panel No. 32239442 dated April 13, 1999 has been attached. The facility was constructed in 1966.

**Comments** – This information alerts the Technical Specialist to contact the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to establish whether the project is located within the System Unit. A complete address and another detailed location map (a street map rather than the FIRM) should be provided with the PW to assist the Technical Specialist and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in making a recommendation. The date will help determine if the project has been "grandfathered" or exempted from the requirements of CBRA.

#### YES

## 3. Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: Project is not located in either of these areas per FIRM No. 2394000 dated May 5, 2002.

#### NO

## 3. Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The project is located on the shore of Lake Ester in Kansas City, KS.

**Why is this response incorrect?** It is obvious that the Project Specialist did not look at the FIRM. Kansas City is not located along the Great Lakes or Coastal Atlantic/Gulf areas where the CBRA System Units are designated. If the FIRM for this area was reviewed, there would have been no System Units or Otherwise Protected Areas noted. The response should have been "No."

#### Question 4

#### Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the predisaster condition? (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use or function)

This question is intended to capture any potential National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) issues in the event that the project will not be returned to its pre-disaster condition.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - If the response to question 4 is "Yes" or "Unsure," describe what is changing about the project's pre-disaster design and why it is being changed (i.e., codes and standards, aesthetics, hazard mitigation, etc.).
  - If the response to question 4 is "Yes" or "Unsure," is the work completed? The PW Scope of Work should provide information on the work completed and the work to be completed.
- □ Will repair or construction involve ground disturbance outside the project footprint?
  - If the proposed project involves relocation, complete a Special Considerations Questions form for the proposed location.
  - If the pre-disaster design or condition will be changed by the applicant, it is extremely important for the Project Specialist to document, in the PW Scope of Work, the necessary work and cost to return the damaged facility to its predisaster condition.
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - Applicant's representative in charge of the repair or relocation of the project.
  - Original and new design information; plans and specifications.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:

- NEPA
- NHPA

#### YES

## 4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster condition? (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use or function)

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The city will replace the 6-inch terra cotta water pipe with 6-inch PVC per City Code 160.38 dated May 1, 1987. Copy attached to PW.

**Comment:** The Project Specialist should also establish that FEMA's criteria for eligible codes and standards have been satisfied. This can be documented in the PW Scope of Work.

#### YES

4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster condition? (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use or function)

Yes X No Unsure Comments: This PW covers emergency protective measures only.

#### YES

## 4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster condition? (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use or function)

Yes No X Unsure Comments: The damaged facility will be returned to its pre-disaster design; however, due to access limitations, a temporary access road approximately 1-mile long will be constructed through the adjacent woodlands. See attached map.

#### NO

## 4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster condition? (e.g., footprint, material, location, capacity, use or function)

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The County will change the shutters on the building.

Why is this response inadequate? The Project Specialist must be more specific as to how the shutters will be changed.

### Question 5

## Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

#### This question is intended to capture any hazard mitigation opportunities.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Is there any opportunity to repair the facility in such a manner that future similar damages can be minimized or avoided?
- □ Remember that Emergency Work Projects (debris removal and emergency protective measures) are not eligible for Hazard Mitigation.
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - The FEMA Response and Recovery Directorate Policy No. 9526.1, which gives examples of hazard mitigation projects and cost-effectiveness guidance.
- Documentation must support that the hazard mitigation proposal is:
  - Eligible
  - Technically feasible
  - Cost-effective
  - In compliance with Federal laws, regulations, and Executive Orders
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations.
  - NEPA
  - NHPA
- □ If mitigation is not described in the Scope of Work, then a brief description should be included in the comment field.

#### □ Examples:

#### YES

## 5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: The applicant has indicated that no hazard mitigation assistance is requested for this culvert because a hydrologic study is being undertaken to assess the entire watershed. Repair to the pre-disaster design. Any changes needed will be part of capital improvement plan.

**Comments:** Hazard mitigation is a priority for FEMA, and sometimes an explanation of why it is not being implemented for a project is useful for program effectiveness assessment.

#### YES

## 5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The applicant proposes to install hurricane shutters to decrease damage from future hurricane-force winds.

#### YES

## 5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The applicant requested hazard mitigation funds for radios to improve communication while performing emergency protective measures like those covered under this PW. Emergency work is not eligible for hazard mitigation. This was discussed with J. Alvcorex, Public Works Director, and the City may pursue a project under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program.

#### YES

## 5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: Sites 1, 2, and 3 have HMPs to increase size from single 24" CMP to single 36" CMP at each site. Sites 4 and 5 have HMPs for new headwalls for single 42" CMP at each location. Watershed calculations and Water Management District permits are attached.

#### NO

5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?

X Yes No Unsure Comments:

Why is this response inadequate? Does the applicant have a proposal or need assistance? If they have a proposal, what is it?

#### Question 6

Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?

#### This question is intended to capture any potential preservation issues.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Does the proposed action directly or indirectly affect a structure 50 years in age or older?
  - Are there nearby structures that are 50 years in age or older?
  - Is the property recognized locally or nationally as a place where something significant occurred?
  - Does the property have cultural significance?
  - Are there existing or potential archaeological artifacts on the property?
  - Will the repair involve construction or debris staging on or accessing through previously undisturbed property?
  - Will the repair involve ground disturbance outside of the project footprint?
- □ When in doubt about the historical significance of a facility, take digital pictures of the damaged component, the overall facility, and surrounding properties, and forward them to the appropriate Historic Preservation Specialist. A location marked on a U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle map, as well as an address would also help the Technical Specialist.
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - Historic Property listings provided by the EHPA and Historic Preservation Specialist, the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), or the applicant.
  - Disaster-specific guidance provided by the PA Group Supervisor or the EHPA.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - NHPA

#### YES

# 6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: Facility built in 1856; however, May 14, 1988 letters from SHPO and the Advisory Council indicate no historic significance. There have been substantial alterations to the facility over the past 10 years. Copies of letters are attached. Photos taken over the past 25 years are attached.

#### YES

# 6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: Heavy equipment will be used to remove large uprooted trees from the State-owned Hermitage site, which is on the National Register of Historic Places.

**Comment:** This comment alerts the Historic Preservation Specialist that there could be ground disturbance activities on a historic site. The Technical Specialist will need to review the removal methodology and may place conditions on the PW as to where and how the heavy equipment activity can take place.

#### NO

6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?

X Yes No Unsure Comments:

Why is this response inadequate? Information is needed about what is triggering the "Yes" response to this question and why.

#### NO

# 6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: The sanitary sewer lift station was built in 1988.

The Technical Specialist sees that the location block says the project is in the Carol Stream Park.

Why is this response incorrect? The Carol Stream Park is a historic National Landmark. The response should have been given as "Yes" and a comment provided about where in the park the lift station was located. If this fact was not obvious to the Project Specialist when the PW was prepared, then the Technical Specialist should confer with the Project Specialist and have the comment amended.

#### Question 7

## Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on, or near, the project site? Are there large tracts of forestland?

#### This question is intended to capture any potential environmental issues.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Does the site include streams, lakes, estuaries, or wetlands?
  - Have there been endangered species issues associated with the site?
  - Are there National, State, or local parks or open areas next to the site? If so, provide the names.
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - A site visit or delineation of the site on an aerial map is helpful. Local government environmental or public works staff can be resources for this information.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - Endangered Species Act
  - Wild and Scenic Rivers Act
  - National Historic Preservation Act
  - EO 11990 Protection of Wetlands

#### □ Examples:

#### YES

#### 7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on, or near, the project site? Are there large tracts of forestland?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The project is approximately ½ mile from the Wild Lands National Forest where the applicant states bald eagles have been sighted. There are no indications of pristine or undisturbed areas at the project per site visit on 2/3/99. The site has no trees.

#### NO

## 7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on, or near, the project site? Are there large tracts of forestland?

Yes No X Unsure Comments:

Why is this response inadequate? There is no indication given as to why the Project Specialist is unsure about his/her response.

#### Question 8

## Are there any hazardous materials at or adjacent to the damaged facility and/or item of work?

#### This question is intended to capture any potential hazardous materials issues.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Is there evidence of drums or other containers?
  - Are there any aboveground storage tanks?
  - Are there any underground storage tanks that will be impacted?
  - Has household or industrial debris been dumped on the site?
  - Has the site been used for commercial purposes, and if so, what type?
  - Is there evidence of soil staining on the site or oil slicks in water?
  - Are there a large number of animal carcasses that need to be disposed?
  - Could the cleanup operations possibly impact air quality?
  - Are there any noxious or foul odors at the site?
  - Is there evidence of dead or "burned" vegetation?
  - Was the facility constructed prior to 1975 (lead, asbestos)?

- Is demolition proposed?
- Will any previously undisturbed areas be impacted?
- □ Important information resources for responding to this question include:
  - Visual observations at the site.
  - The local fire marshal may have hazardous materials storage information for the site.
  - The age of the building facility would be an indicator for asbestos and lead.
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for review by an environmental specialist to determine the necessary level of environmental review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
  - Clean Air Act
  - Clean Water Act

### □ Examples:

#### YES

## 8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adjacent to the damaged facility and/or item of work?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: The flood inundated the radiation department of the hospital. Staff stated that all containers remained in the department and did not float off. Disposal will follow established hospital protocol for hazardous materials (see attached).

### NO

## 8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adjacent to the damaged facility and/or item of work?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: The Technical Specialist notes that the Project Specialist described the damaged facility as a landfill area eroded by floodwaters from an adjacent creek.

Why is this response inadequate? Common sense would indicate that there could be some potential hazardous waste issues present at this site. The Project Specialist should have justified his/her "No" response or noted "Unsure" and explained the scenario.

#### Question 9

## Are there any other environmentally or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?

## This question is intended to capture any other possible issues that could be associated with the project.

- □ The response to this question should consider and provide commentary on the following:
  - Will the project impact air quality? If so, are there schools, daycare centers, nursing homes, or hospitals near the site?
  - If there has been public controversy or interest associated with the proposed work or on or near the location of the facility, explain.
  - Is any portion of the project site active farmland?
  - Will the project impact a low-income or minority population?
- □ A "Yes" or "Unsure" response triggers the need for environmental or historic preservation compliance review. In particular, compliance may be necessary for the following Federal laws and regulations:
  - EO 12898: Environmental Justice
  - Farmland Protection Act
  - Public Involvement Requirements in NEPA, NHPA, EO 11988, and EO 11990

#### □ Examples:

#### YES

## 9. Are there any other environmentally or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?

Yes X No Unsure Comments: The project is being returned to its predisaster condition. The Public Works Director, J. Alvorex, indicates that there is no controversy.

#### YES

## 9. Are there any other environmentally or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?

X Yes No Unsure Comments: There have been recent news articles about the deteriorated condition that existed prior to the disaster, and public demonstrations have occurred as a result. See attached news articles.

#### YES

## 9. Are there any other environmentally or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?

Yes No X Unsure Comments: During site visit, saw several damaged signs along beach stating "Caution – sea turtle nests – do not disturb"

NO

## 9. Are there any other environmentally or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?

X Yes No Unsure Comments:

Why is this response inadequate? It does not explain what the issues are.

Appendix A – Public Assistance Program Information Resources

#### Key Links within the FEMA Website

FEMA Home page <u>www.fema.gov</u>

- FEMA Public Assistance www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/
- FEMA Public Assistance 9500 Series Publications (Policies) www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/9500toc.shtm
- FEMA Public Assistance Standard Operating Procedures www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/sop.shtm
- FEMA Independent Study Courses <u>http://training.fema.gov/IS/crslist.asp</u>
- FEMA Flood Map Service Center www.msc.fema.gov
- FEMA Cost Estimating Format www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/ceftoc.shtm

#### **Reference Documents**

Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, P.L. 93-288 as amended

Title 44 of Code of Federal Regulations

Public Assistance Policy Digest (FEMA 321), January 2008

Public Assistance Guide (FEMA 322), June 2007

### **Appendix B – Project Worksheet and Supplemental Forms**

The forms provided in this Appendix are the primary forms used in the development of the Project Worksheet. These forms, and other forms used in the PA Program, are also accessible through FEMA's DocNet form library (www.fema.gov/government/grant/pa/forms.shtm).

Document Number	Form Name					
FF90-91	Project Worksheet					
FF90-91A	PW – Damage Description and Scope of Work Continuation Sheet					
FF90-91B	PW – Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet					
FF90-91C	PW – Maps and Sketches Sheet					
FF90-91D	PW – Photo Sheet					
FF90-61	Hazard Mitigation Proposal					
FF90-120	Special Considerations Questions					
FF90-123	Force Account Labor Summary Record					
FF90-124	Force Account Materials Summary Record					
FF90-127	Force Account Equipment Summary Record					
FF90-125	Rented Equipment Summary Record					
FF90-126	Contract Work Summary Record					
FF90-128	Applicant's Benefits Calculation Worksheet					

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET
B BEBULABLE BUBBBLE BLACE ACTIBE

Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form.	reporting bu ded by perso of the collec ty, Federal E 0017). You a <b>NOTE: Do</b>	urden for this ns to generate tion, includir Emergency M are not requir <b>not send you</b>	PAPERWC form is estimatec e, maintain, disclu- g suggestions fo anagement Agen ed to respond to r completed que	<b>DRK BURDE</b> 1 to average 90 ose, or to provi r reducing the l cy, 500 C Stree this collection estionnaire to	<b>EN DISCLOSU</b> minutes per respo de information to burden to: Informa et, SW, Washingto of information unl this address.	RE NOTIC nse. Burden us. You may tion Collection, DC 20472 ess a valid O	E means the send component ons Manag , Paperwor MB numbo	time, effort and ments regarding ement, U.S. Dep k Reduction Pro er appears in the	financial resources the burden estimate artment of Homela ect (OMB Control upper right corner	e or any ind Number of this
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE		CATEGORY	
FFMA-		DB-								
DAMA	GED FACILI	<u>тү</u> тү					WORK	COMPLETE AS (	)F	
									o	6
APPLI	CANT				COUNTY			·		0
LOCAT	ΓΙΟΝ				<u> </u>			LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	E
DAMA	GE DESCRI	PTION AND [	DIMENSIONS							
Does	the Scope of	of Work cha	nge the pre-dis	aster conditio	ns at the site?	Yes		] No		
Is the	re insurance	e coverage c	on this facility?	Yes Yes	No No	Hazard M	itigation j	proposal includ		NO
					PROJECT CO	ST				
ITEM	CODE		NAF	RATIVE		QUANTIT	Y/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	COS	Т
								TOTAL COST		
PREPA	RED BY		TIT	LE			SIGNATU	RE		
APPI I	CANT REP.		тіт	LE			SIGNATU	RE		

#### PROJECT WORKSHEET INSTRUCTIONS

The Project Worksheet must be completed for each identified damaged project. A project may include damages more than one site.

After completing all Project Worksheets, submit the worksheets to your Public Assistance Coordinator.

#### **Identifying Information**

Disaster: Indicate the disaster declaration number as established by FEMA (i.e. "FEMA 1136-DR-TN", etc.).

Project No.: Indicate the project designation number you established to track the project in your system (i.e. 1,2,3, etc.).

PA ID No.: Indicate your Public Assistance identification number on this space. This is optional.

Date: Indicate the date the worksheet was prepared in MM/DD/YY format.

Category: Indicate the category of the project according to FEMA specified work categories (i.e., A,B,C,D,E,F,G). This is optional.

Applicant: Name of the government or other legal entity to which the funds will be awarded.

County: Name of the county where the damaged facility is located. If located in multiple counties, indicate "Multi-County."

Damage facility: Identify the facility and describe its basic function and pre-disaster condition.

**Work Complete as of:** Indicate the date the work was assessed in the format of MM/DD/YY and the percentage of work completed to that date. **Location:** This item can range anywhere from an "address," "intersection of...," "1 mile south of...on..." to "county wide." If damages are in

different locations or different counties please list each location. Include latitude and longitude of the project if known.

**Damage Description and Dimensions:** Describe the disaster-related damage to the facility, including the cause of the damage and the area or components affected.

Scope of Work: List work that has been completed, and work to be completed, which, is necessary to repair disaster-related damage.

**Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions of the site:** If the work described under the Scope of Work changes the site conditions (i.e. increases/decreases the size or function of the facility or does not replace damage components in kind with like materials), check (x) yes. If the Scope of Work returns the site to its pre-disaster configuration, capacity and dimensions check (x) no.

Special Considerations: If the project includes insurable work, and/or is affected by environmental (NEPA) or historic concerns, check (x) either the Yes or No box so that appropriate action can be initiated to avoid delays in funding. Refer to *Applicant Handbook* for further information. **Hazard Mitigation:** If the pre-disaster conditions at the site can be changed to prevent or reduce the disaster-related damage, check (x) Yes. If no opportunities for hazard mitigation exist check (x) no. Appropriate action will be initiated and avoid delays in funding. Refer to *Applicant* 

Handbook for further information.

**Is there insurance coverage on this facility:** Federal law requires that FEMA be notified of any entitlement for proceeds to repair disaster-related damages from insurance or any other source. Check (x) yes if any funding or proceeds can be received for the work within the Scope of Work from any source besides FEMA.

#### **Project Cost**

Item: Indicate the item number on the column (i.e. 1, 2, 3, etc.). Use additional forms as necessary to include all items.

**Code:** If using the FEMA cost codes, place the appropriate number here.

Narrative: Indicate the work, material or service that best describes the work (i.e. "force account labor overtime", "42 in. RCP", "sheet rock replacement", etc.).

Quantity/Unit: List the amount of units and the unit of measure ("48/cy", "32/lf", "6/ea", etc.).

**Unit Price:** Indicate the price per unit.

**Cost:** This item can be developed from cost to date, contracts, bids, applicant's experience in that particular repair work, books which lend themselves to work estimates, such as RS Means, or by using cost codes supplied by FEMA.

Total Cost: Record total cost of the project.

**Prepared By:** Record the name, title, and signature of the person completing the Project Worksheet. **Applicant Rep.:** Record the name, title, and signature of Applicant's representative.

#### **Records Requirements**

Please review the Applicant Handbook, FEMA 323 for detailed instructions and examples.

For all completed work, the applicant must keep the following records:

\*Force account labor documentation sheets identifying the employee, hours worked, date and location;

\*Force account equipment documentation sheets identifying specific equipment, operator, usage by hour/mile and cost used;

\*Material documentation sheets identifying the type of material, quantity used and cost;

\*Copies of all contracts for work and any lease/rental equipment costs.

For all estimated work, keep calculations, quantity estimates, pricing information, etc. as part of the records to document the "cost/estimate" for which funding is being requested.

PROJECT	WORKSHE	U.S. DEPARTMENT O FEDERAL EMERGENCY E <b>ET - Damage Descri</b>	F HOMELAND SECURITY MANAGEMENT AGENCY Dition and Scope of Wor	k Continuation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER		PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-	-DR-				
APPLICANT			COUNTY		
PREPARED BY:				TITLE:	

		U.S. DEPARTMENT O FEDERAL EMERGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Co	F HOMELAND SECURIT MANAGEMENT AGEN	CY Uation Sheet		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISAS	STER	PROJECT NO.	DA	TE	CATEGORY	
APPL	ICANT		COUNTY	I		<u> </u>
			PROJECT CO	ST		
ITEM	CODE	NARRATIVE			UNIT PRICE	COST
					TOTAL COST	
PREP	ARED BY:			TITLE:		

	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008			
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMADR				
APPLICANT		COUNTY		

	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008						
DISASTER	PROJECT WORK	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY			
FEMADR							
APPLICANT	<u>-</u> .	COUNTY	I				
	РНОТО		PHO	TO			
DESCRIPTION		DESCRIPTI	ON				
	DEPARTME FEDERAL EMEI HAZARD MITI	NT OF HOMELAND SE RGENCY MANAGEMEN GATION PROP(	CURITY NT AGENCY <b>DSAL (HMP</b>	)	Sheet	of	Sheets
--------------------------------	---	---	---	----------------------------	------------------------	---------------	------------------
NAME OF APPLICANT				CATEGORY	PW NO.		
SCODE OF WORK							
Joor L'or Workit.							
		ESTIM	ATE OF WOR	K			
QUANTITY	CODE	MATER ANI	D/OR DESCRIPT	ION	UNIT		COST Dollars)
						(1	/ondis)
				TOTAL (Not to be includ	ed in PW)		
RECOMMENDED BY (S	ignature)*		AGEN	CY		DATE	
CONCURRENT BY STA	TE INSPECTOR (Sign	ature)*	AGEN	ICY		DATE	
CONCURRENT BY LOC	CAL REPRESENTATIV	/E (Signature)*	AGEN	CY		DATE	
NOTE: * Signature by the work.	Federal Inspector is no	t an approval of this work	, and signature by	the State and local a	pplicant is not a comn	nitment to pe	rform the

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008								
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE						
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION							
Form must be filled out - for each project.									
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work h	nave insurance and/or is it an ins comments	surable risk? (e.g., buildings, eq	uipment, vehicles, etc.)						
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo Yes No Unsure Co	dplain or coastal high hazard ar comments	ea/or does it have an impact o	on a floodplain or wetland?						
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work locat Protected Area? Yes No Unsure Co	ted within or adjacent to a Coas comments	tal Barrier Resource System ເ	Jnit or an Otherwise						
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruc <i>function</i> ) Yes No Unsure Co	tion change the pre-disaster co comments	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or						
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation Yes No Unsure Co	n proposal or would the applicar comments	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?						
6. Is the damaged facility on the National Region other, similar buildings near the site? Yes No Unsure Ca	ister of Historic Places or the st	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there						
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? Ar comments	e there large tracts of forestla	nd?						
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar comments	nd/or item of work?							
9. Are there any other environmental or contro	oversial issues associated with t comments	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?						

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FORCE ACCOUNT LABOR SUMMARY RECORD							PAGE	OF	O.M.B. No Expires Oto	. 1660-0017 bber 31, 2008		
APPLICANT				PA ID	NO.			PROJECT NO. DISASTER				
LOCATION/SITE	ATION/SITE CATEGORY							PERIOD COVERIN	1G			
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORME	0											
NAME		ATES AND H		VORKE	DEACH	WEEK				COSTS	l	1
JOB TITLE	DATE							TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	BENEFIT RATE/HR	TOTAL HOURLY RATE	TOTAL COSTS
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
NAME	REG.											
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											
TOTAL COST FOR FORCE ACCOUNT LABOR REGULAR TIME \$								\$				
			тот	AL CO	ST FOF			OUNT LABOR OVE	ERTIME		<b></b>	\$
I CERTIFY THAT THE A	I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED FROM PAYROLL RECORDS, INVOICES, OR OTHER DOCUMENTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE FOR AUDIT.											
CERTIFIED TITLE DATE												

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MATERIALS SUMMARY RECORD						PAGE	OF		O.M.B. No. 160 Expires October	60-0017 * 31, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO.			DISAS	STER		
LOCATION/SITE		CATEGORY			PERIC	DD COVERIN	١G			
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED										
VENDOR	DESCRIP	TION	QUAN.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE	D. PURC	ATE HASED	DATE USED	INFO I <u>(CHECI</u> INVOICE	FROM <u>( ONE)</u> STOCK
		GRAND TO	TAL							
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOV	E INFORMATION WAS OF	TAINED FROM PAYR	OLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES, (		OCUMENTS	THAT AR	RE AVAILABI	LE FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED		TITLE							DATE	
FEMA Form 90-124, FEB 06		PREVIOUS EI								

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FORCE ACCOUNT EQUIPMENT SUMMARY RECORD						PAGI	Ξ	_ OF		O.M Expire	I.B. No. 1660- es October 31	0017 1, 2008		
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO.				DISASTER						
LOCATION/SITE				CA	TEGOR	Y				PERIOD	) COVERIN	IG		
TYPE OF EQUIPMENT INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE	EQUIPMENT CODE NUMBER	OPERA NAI	TOR'S ME	DATE		S AND	HOURS	USED E	ACH DA	Y	тот <i>і</i> ноці	AL E	COSTS QUIPMENT RATE	TOTAL COST
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				HOURS										
				G	RAND	тот	ALS				•			
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMAT	ION WAS OBTA	INED FROM F	AYROLL REC	ORDS,	INVOICE	ES, OR	OTHER	DOCUM	IENTS T	HAT ARE	AVAILABI	E FOR	AUDIT.	
						DA	ATE							

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY RENTED EQUIPMENT SUMMARY RECORD							OF	O.M.B. No Expires Oct	o. 1660-0017 tober 31, 2008
APPLICANT			PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO.		DISASTER		
LOCATION/SITE			1		CATEGORY		PERIOD COV	ERING	
	DATES AND	RATE P	ER HOUR	TOTAL	VENDOD		INVOICE	DATE AND	
Indicate size, Capacity, Horsepower, Make and Model as Appropriate	HOURS USED	W/OPR	W/OUT OPR	COST	VENDOR		NO.	AMOUNT PAID	CHECK NO.
GRAND TOTAL									
I CERTIFY THAT THE A	BOVE INFORMATIO	ON WAS OBT		PAYROLL RECO	RDS, INVOICES, OR OTHER	DOCUMENTS T	HAT ARE AVAII		ſ.
CERTIFIED			TITLE					DATE	
FEMA Form 90-125, FEB 06			PRE	VIOUS EDITION (	BSOLETE				

	DEPATRTMENT OF HOME FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAN CONTRACT WORK SUM		PAGE	_ OF	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008				
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO			DISASTER		
LOCATION/SITE			CATEGORY			PERIOD COVER	RING		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED									
DATES WORKED	CONTRACTOR		BILLING/IN NUMBI	VOICE ER	AN	IOUNT	с	OMMENTS - SCOPE	
GRAND TOTAL									
I CERTIFY THA	T THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS OB	TAINED FROM	M PAYROLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES,	OR OTHER [	DOCUMENTS T	HAT ARE AVAILA	ABLE FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED			TITLE					DATE	

	DEPATRTMENT OF HOME FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAN CONTRACT WORK SUM		PAGE	_ OF	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008				
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO			DISASTER		
LOCATION/SITE			CATEGORY			PERIOD COVER	RING		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED									
DATES WORKED	CONTRACTOR		BILLING/IN NUMBI	VOICE ER	AN	IOUNT	с	OMMENTS - SCOPE	
GRAND TOTAL									
I CERTIFY THA	T THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS OB	TAINED FROM	M PAYROLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES,	OR OTHER [	DOCUMENTS T	HAT ARE AVAILA	ABLE FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED			TITLE					DATE	

			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017
APPLICANT'S BENEFITS CALCUL		PAGE	OF Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT			PA ID NO.
DISASTER		JECT NO.	
FRINGE BENEFITS (by %)	REGULAR TIME		OVERTIME
HOLIDAYS			
VACATION LEAVE			
SICK LEAVE			
SOCIAL SECURITY			
MEDICARE			
UNEMPLOYMENT			
WORKER'S COMP.			
RETIREMENT			
HEALTH BENEFITS			
LIFE INS. BENEFITS	<u> </u>		
OTHER	I		
TOTAL in % of annual salary			
COMMENTS			

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE WAS TRANSCRIBED FROM PAYROLL RECORDS OR OTHER DOCUMENTS WHICH ARE AVAILABLE

Appendix C – Collecting Project Information Checklist

The Collecting Project Information Checklist is intended for use by the Project Specialist to assist in collecting project information while meeting with the applicant or on a site visit. Additional information may need to be collected for complex projects.

	COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST										
	In Su	n									
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Data							
Name of P	reparer:	DW	PW NO.	Date:							
Section	Block	r w Cuido	Content	Comments							
		Page(s)									
SAFETY	Safety	1 age(s)	<ul> <li>Caution!! Before visiting the site or entering a facility, determine if the facility is safe to visit and inspect.</li> <li>Ask the applicant if there are any safety inspection reports for the site.</li> <li>Ask the applicant if there are any known physical, biological, or chemical hazards that may require special precautions and/or equipment during the inspection.</li> <li>Look for cracks in roads or movements in slopes to indicate potential ground instability.</li> <li>Caution!! While inspecting the site, travel in teams, if possible, of at least three people. Be alert</li> </ul>								
			for potential hazards. Ensure that someone knows your whereabouts prior to inspections.								
DEFINING THE PROJECT	Meeting with the Applicant	8-9	<ul> <li>Ask questions and request specific documentation to define:</li> <li>Project Description</li> <li>Scope of Intended Work</li> <li>Pertinent Cost Information</li> <li>Always notify the State of applicant meetings.</li> <li>Discuss timeline for visiting site.</li> <li>If meeting first at the applicant's office, collect project information that may be available at the office before heading to the site.</li> </ul>								
	Project Definition	9-10	<ul> <li>Confirm the actual damage site location and specific facility.</li> <li>Confirm that the actual damage</li> </ul>								

	COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST										
	In Su	apport of	<b>Project Worksheet Preparatio</b>	n							
Name of R	leviewer:		Applicant:	Data							
Name of P	reparer:	DW	PW NO.	Date:							
Section	Block	Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments							
		Page(s)	<ul> <li>site is within a declared county. Sometimes (but not often) an applicant may own a facility outside the declared area.</li> <li>Locate the damage site on a map.</li> <li>Confirm that the damages were caused by the disaster and identify the specific hazard that caused them (flooding, wind, etc.).</li> <li>Separate damages by hazard type if multiple hazards affected the facility.</li> </ul>								
			<ul> <li>Request maintenance records for facilities that require routine maintenance of their designed function, such as roads, culverts, detention basins, bridges, and dams.</li> <li>Request Inspection/Safety Reports for facilities that undergo routine inspections,</li> </ul>								
			<ul> <li>such as bridges and dams.</li> <li>For emergency work, determine the conditions of the immediate threat that required the work to be performed.</li> </ul>								
			<ul> <li>Confirm that the applicant is legally responsible for performing the work.</li> <li>Is the applicant responsible for performing the emergency</li> </ul>								
			<ul> <li>Does the applicant own the property?</li> <li>Is the applicant a lessee or lessor of the property?</li> <li>Obtain a copy of the lease agreement to determine who is responsible for the repairs. Request legal review in the IFO</li> </ul>								
			<ul> <li>For roads and bridges,</li> </ul>								

	COLLECT	ING PRC	JECT INFORMATION CHE	CKLIST
	In Su	apport of	<b>Project Worksheet Preparation</b>	)n
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Deter
Name of P	reparer:	DW	PW NO.	Date:
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments
			determine whether the facility is under the authority of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). - Most State Departments of Transportation (DOT) have maps indicating whether a road is part of the Federal- Aid System.	
			<ul> <li>For levees and flood control channels, determine if the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) or Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is responsible for the repairs.</li> <li>The Federal agency may prepare a letter indicating their responsibility, or lack of responsibility.</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Is the facility under construction?</li> <li>Obtain a copy of the construction contract to determine who is responsible for the repairs. Request legal review in the JFO.</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>For PNP facilities, determine the primary use of the facility.</li> <li>For facilities of mixed use, determine the percentage of the various uses.</li> </ul>	
			Determine when the damages occurred. Damages must occur within the incident period. If damages occurred outside the defined period, discuss the circumstances with the PAC Crew Leader.	
			<ul> <li>Determine whether the facility was in active use at the time of the disaster. If not,</li> <li>Was the facility only temporarily inoperative for repairs or remodeling?</li> </ul>	

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST						
	In Support of Project Worksheet Preparation					
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Data		
Name of P	reparer:	DW	PW NO.	Date:		
Section	Block	F w Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments		
			<ul> <li>Was the facility temporarily unoccupied between tenants?</li> <li>Was future use by the applicant firmly established in an approved budget?</li> <li>Can the applicant clearly demonstrate that there was intent to begin use within a reasonable amount of time?</li> <li>Request drawings, plans, or other documentation to illustrate the pre-disaster condition of the facility.</li> <li>Drawings and plans will support the pre-disaster design of the facility for assessing the eligible scope of repair work.</li> <li>Determine the pre-disaster function of the facility being used as at the time of the disaster (school, warehouse, office)?</li> <li>Determine the pre-disaster capacity of the facility.</li> </ul>			
	Project Formulation	10-12	<ul> <li>Determine if the applicant wants to combine more than one damage site on one PW.</li> <li>If the applicant is requesting an illogical grouping of sites, discuss this with the PAC Crew Leader before proceeding.</li> <li>Discuss a numbering system to be entered on the PW as the Project Number (PW Reference Number).</li> <li>Methods for formulating projects:         <ul> <li>Type of damage</li> <li>System</li> <li>Jurisdiction</li> <li>Method of work</li> <li>Special Considerations/Complex</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST				
	In Su	apport of	<b>Project Worksheet Preparation</b>	n
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Dato:
Name of P	reparer.	PW		Date.
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments
		Page(s)		
			<ul> <li>Multiple Sites:</li> <li>Projects less than \$1,000.00 are not eligible</li> <li>Buildings (Category E) projects</li> <li>Other projects: (Categories C, D, F)</li> </ul>	
CONDUCTING THE SITE VISIT	Documenting the Damage Site Conditions: Identifying Basic Project Conditions	13	<ul> <li>Upon arrival at the site, conduct an overall walkthrough visual inspection of the site and the surrounding area.</li> <li>Differentiate between the physical damages you observe and those the applicant is requesting to be fixed.</li> <li>Look for indicators of pre-disaster damage.</li> <li>Look at the condition of the facility outside of the damaged location to assess the pre-disaster design and condition of the facility overall. For example, note any undamaged sections of roads, culverts, or slopes, etc.</li> </ul>	
RECORDING PROJECT DETAILS	Documenting the Damage Site Conditions:	13-16	<ul> <li>Take measurements of damaged area and specific facility components.</li> <li>Prepare detailed sketches.</li> <li>Determine the latitude and longitude at one or more locations at the facility.</li> <li>Obtain the GPS reading and document the location where the reading was taken on a map.</li> <li>If the project consists of multiple sites with distinct addresses or locations, the latitude/longitude for each site should be recorded.</li> <li>For debris removal, provide the latitude/longitude for the debris disposal location(s).</li> </ul>	

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST					
	In Su	apport of	Project Worksheet Preparation	n	
Name of R	leviewer:		Applicant:		
Name of P	reparer:	<b>DU</b> 7	PW NO.	Date:	
Section	Dlask	PW Cuido	Contont	Commonta	
Section	DIUCK		Content	Comments	
		Page(s)	<ul> <li>For emergency protective measures where the location has been identified as "County-Wide" or "City-Wide," identify a primary location.</li> <li>For lengths of roadway, select a location at the beginning or end of the roadway, or some other milestone.</li> <li>Photograph the site:         <ul> <li>Overall site view</li> <li>Specific damages from various views and angles</li> <li>Work completed, if any</li> <li>Adjacent undamaged areas of similar structures</li> <li>Document number, location, and date of photographs on a site plan, and indicate angle taken from</li> <li>Create and review FIRMette:                 <ul> <li>Determine if the site is in a Special Flood Hazard Area.</li> <li>Documentation:</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		
	Documenting the Damage Site Conditions: Identifying Damages	16-18	<ul> <li>Document the cause(s) of the damages.</li> <li>When did the damages occur?</li> <li>What disaster effect caused the damage?</li> <li>What type of disaster conditions resulted in an immediate threat?</li> <li>Document the action.</li> <li>What did the disaster do?</li> <li>What happened to the facility/ component?</li> </ul>		

<b>COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST</b>				
	In Su	upport of	Project Worksheet Preparation	)n
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant: PW No.	Date:
		PW		
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments
		Page(s)		
			<ul> <li>What was the result of the immediate threat?</li> </ul>	
			Document the dimensions/quantities of the damage.	
			What are the dimensions?	
			<ul> <li>How are Emergency Services quantified?</li> </ul>	
			Identify the damaged facility and/or components.	
			What is the facility?	
			<ul> <li>What are some examples of facilities/components?</li> </ul>	
			Document the impact.	
			What is the impact?	
			Who does it affect?	
			Determine the applicant's methodology for repairing the facility.	
			<ul> <li>Specific design standards?</li> </ul>	
NA N			Engineering consultant?	
N L			<ul> <li>Engineering or technical reports?</li> </ul>	
D O			Discuss how the work is to be performed.	
Ö			Force account or by contract?	
THE SC	Scope of Work	18-19	Determine whether the applicant intends to restore the facility to its pre-disaster condition. If not,	
- 9N II			<ul> <li>Does the applicant have a code or standard requiring a</li> </ul>	
			<ul><li>certain upgrade?</li><li>Is the applicant considering</li></ul>	
DE			relocating the facility?	
			<ul> <li>Does the applicant have a Hazard Mitigation Proposal for this project?</li> </ul>	

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST				
	In Su	apport of	Project Worksheet Preparation	)n
Name of F	leviewer:		Applicant:	
Name of T		PW		Date.
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments
		Page(s)		
			<ul> <li>Is the facility damaged to the extent that the applicant is requesting replacement rather than repair?</li> <li>Is the applicant considering an Alternate or Improved Project?</li> </ul>	
sc	Special Considerations	20	Are there any Special Considerations issues associated with the project? Use the Special Considerations Questions and collect pertinent information.	
COLLECTING COST INFORMATION	Work Completed vs. Work to be Completed	20-21	<ul> <li>For <u>Completed Work</u>, collect:</li> <li>Contracts or contractor bids</li> <li>Mutual aid agreements</li> <li>Rental agreements</li> <li>Receipts/Invoices</li> <li>Time/equipment records (if applicable)</li> <li>For <u>Work to be Completed</u>, collect:</li> <li>The applicant's cost information</li> <li>Time/equipment records (if applicable)</li> <li>Historical costs</li> <li>Average costs for similar work in the area</li> <li>Unit prices</li> <li>Force Account Labor</li> <li>Request a list of all employees involved in the disaster work.</li> <li>Request name, job title, job function, the day the work was performed, work dates, hours worked, and rate of Regular Time and Overtime pay.</li> </ul>	

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST					
	In Su	apport of	Project Worksheet Preparation		
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Date:	
		PW		Date.	
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments	
		Page(s)			
			Request a copy of the applicant's Overtime policy.		
			Fringe Benefits		
			Verify an applicant's fringe benefit rates.		
			Force Account Material		
			Materials generally include items taken from stock or purchased.		
			Materials claimed should include date and hours used, description of item, quantity, and unit cost.		
			□ Request receipts for purchases.		
			Force Account or Rented Equipment		
	Force Account	21-22	Ensure information for equipment includes type of equipment, size, and date (and/or hours) used.		
	Force Account 21-22		<ul> <li>Damage incurred to vehicles during search activities should first be submitted to the applicant's insurance carrier in order to prevent a duplication of benefits. If the vehicle affected is insured, a copy of the declaration page of the policy should be requested.</li> </ul>		
			Receipts for cell phone use may be considered only for calls made relating to the disaster.		
			Identify the sources of unit prices:		
	Unit Prices	23	<ul> <li>State or local data from previously completed projects</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>Commercial estimating sources (such as R.S. Means)</li> <li>EEMA cost codes</li> </ul>		
			Determine if the applicant has bid the work.		
	Contracts	24	<ul> <li>Review unit prices provided in contractor bids, if available.</li> </ul>		

COLLECTING PROJECT INFORMATION CHECKLIST				
	In Su	apport of	<b>Project Worksheet Preparation</b>	n
Name of R	eviewer:		Applicant:	Data
Name of P	reparer:	DW	PW NO.	Date:
Section	Block	Guide	Content	Comments
		Page(s)		
			<ul> <li>Bids must provide an itemization of unit prices in order to verify that the bid is for an eligible scope of work and to allow validation of the bid.</li> <li>Request a copy of the executed contract agreement.</li> <li>Request a copy of the invoice for work completed.</li> </ul>	
	Cost Estimating Format (CEF)	24-25	<ul> <li>Required if project is:</li> <li>Permanent work</li> <li>A large project</li> <li>90% or less complete</li> </ul>	
BEFORE LEAVING THE SITE	Before Leaving the Site	25	<ul> <li>Document all your observations before you leave the site. Don't wait until you return to the JFO or your hotel. Details will certainly be forgotten.</li> <li>Walk the site one more time to ensure you did not miss any damages and that you have sufficiently documented the site conditions.</li> <li>Be sure to ask the applicant any lingering questions you may have.</li> <li>Summarize for the applicant any outstanding questions you have and confirm they are aware of any documentation or information</li> </ul>	

Appendix D – Categorical Checklist

	CATEGORICAL CHEC	KLIST			
Name of	Preparer:	PW No:			
Applicant	Name: Ouestions	Date:	Documentation		
	EMERGENCY WORK CATE	GORIES	Documentation		
General (A&B)	General         Was the damage a direct result of the disaster?         Was the work located within the designated disaster area?         Was the work the legal responsibility of the applicant?         Is the applicant a Private Nonprofit organization?         Is the facility an eligible PNP facility?         Legal Responsibility	□ 29-31 □ 12 □ 23-26	<ul> <li>Records demonstrating presence of immediate threat</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Is another Federal agency responsible for the work?</li> <li>What type of work and costs are being claimed?</li> <li>Overtime Labor? (Only overtime is eligible for Categories A and B)</li> <li>Equipment? (Generators, vehicles, etc.)</li> <li>Materials? (Flashlights, plywood, etc.)</li> <li>Rental Equipment? (Generators, vehicles, chainsaws, air-conditioning units, pumps, etc.)</li> <li>Contracts? (Emergency debris removal, immediate mold remediation, contract temporary labor, etc.)</li> <li>Were any mutual aid agreements used?</li> <li>Was Direct Federal Assistance used?</li> <li>Were there any donated resources? (Volunteer labor, supplies, equipment, etc.)</li> <li>Other?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>44-53</li> <li>50-51</li> <li>76-78</li> <li>56</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Timesheets/documentation of overtime and regular time if requesting equipment reimbursement</li> <li>Applicant overtime/exempt employee pay policy</li> <li>Fringe benefit calculations</li> <li>Force account equipment records for work completed</li> <li>Receipts for materials/supplies</li> <li>Rental equipment agreements, receipts, contracts, leases</li> <li>Contracts</li> <li>Procurement policy</li> <li>Mutual aid agreements, contracts, and invoices</li> <li>Donated resources documentation</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Costs</li> <li>Reasonable and necessary?</li> <li>Are there historical costs?</li> <li>Are there average costs for similar work in the area?</li> <li>Are the costs comparable to national cost estimating databases?</li> <li>Are FEMA cost codes used?</li> <li>Applicant complied with Federal, State, and local procurement procedures?</li> </ul>	40-65			

	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
Name of	Preparer:	PW No:					
Applicant	Name:	Date:					
Cat		PA Guide	Documentation				
	salvage value, other grants, or cash donations?						
A	General Was/Is the debris removal the legal responsibility	□ 67-68	Private property: obtain Right-of Entry agreement,				
	<ul><li>of the applicant (i.e., public or private property)?</li><li>o Debris removal from private property must be</li></ul>		release from liability, and signed agreement from				
	<ul> <li>o If removed from private property, did the removal benefit the general public?</li> </ul>		available insurance proceeds will be pursued				
	□ Was the debris removal necessary to:		☐ Maintenance records if				
	<ul> <li>Eliminate an immediate threat to life, public health, or safety? (Consider ingress/egress)</li> </ul>		debris removal from improved property (e.g.,				
	<ul> <li>Eliminate an immediate threat of significant damage to improved public or private property?</li> </ul>		channels, basins, etc.)				
	• Ensure economic recovery of the affected area to the benefit of the community-at-large?		Documentation of environmental/historic review for disposal site or				
	• Mitigate the risk to life and property?		temporary staging areas				
	Was a debris management plan in place?						
	Did the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) enact its Emergency Relief program?						
	Debris Collection/Reduction:						
	How was the debris measured? (cubic yards or tons)						
	<ul><li>Did the applicant monitor the debris operations?</li><li>o Collection?</li></ul>						
	o Disposal?						
	<ul> <li>How did the applicant reduce the debris? (Incineration, chipping and grinding, recycling)</li> </ul>						
	□ Where is/are the debris site(s)?						
	o Temporary?						
	o Permanent?						
	□ Where did the applicant dispose of the debris?						
	Specific Types of Debris:	67-71	Note: Tree straightening				
	Vegetative debris? (Trees and woody debris)		and bracing are eligible if				
	Construction and demolition debris? (Damaged components of buildings and structures)		less costly than removal and disposal. If the applicant				
	Hazardous waste? (Must exhibit ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity)		straightens/braces, the tree is not eligible for				
	Any forms of Household Hazardous Waste or Electronic Waste?		removal if it dies. Diameter of stump				
	White goods? (Refrigerators, freezers, air conditioners, heat pumps, etc.)		Quantity of material needed to fill resultant hole				

CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST					
Name of	Preparer:		PW No:		
Applican	t Name:		Date:		
Cat		Questions	PA Guide	Documentation	
	L Debr Wetl	ris in wilderness areas? (Generally not eligible) ands?		Hazardous stump worksheet	
	Soil, prop	mud, and sand deposited on improved erty and public rights-of-way?		<ul> <li>Photos</li> <li>GPS/location of pearest</li> </ul>	
	o S fa SV	treets, sidewalks, sewers, water treatment acilities, drainage canals and basins, parks, and wimming pools.		building address	
	οW	Vas it a natural stream/unimproved property?			
	οН	low much was deposited due to the disaster?			
	οA	re maintenance records available?			
	N o d	Vere any inspections completed to identify lamages?			
	🛛 Vehi	cles and Vessels			
	οW	Vas the vehicle/vessel abandoned?			
	0 W ((	Vas the vehicle/vessel publicly owned? Generally not eligible)			
	o D tr	oid the applicant verify chain of custody, ransport, and disposal?			
	o D	Did the applicant take ownership?			
	o D re	id the applicant move the vehicle/vessel but equest the owner pickup and claim?			
	D Putre	escent debris?			
	□ Infe	ctious waste?			
	Cher debr	mical-, biological-, or radiological-contaminated			
	□ Norn	nal garbage collection? (Not eligible)			
	П Ната	ardous Trees (Leaners)			
	0 W d	Vas more than 50% of the crown damaged or lestroyed?			
	o W e	Vas the trunk split or did broken branches xpose the heartwood?			
	o D a	oid it fall or was it uprooted within a public-use rea?			
	οW	Vas it leaning at an angle greater than 30°?			
	🛛 Hang	gers (Hazardous Limbs)			
	οW	Vere they located on improved public property?			
	o W tł	Vere they greater than 2 inches in diameter at he point of breakage?			
	o W tł	Vere they still hanging in a tree and hreatening a public-use area?			
	🛛 Haza	ardous Tree Stumps			
	o W e	Vas more than 50% or more of the root-ball xposed?			
	o W	Vas it greater than 24 inches in diameter?			

	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
Name of	Preparer:	PW No:					
Applicant	Name:	Date:					
Cat	Questions (Measured 24 inches above the ground)	PA Guide	Documentation				
	(Measured 24 Inches above the ground)						
	right-of-way?						
В	General	□ 71					
	$\square$ Was the work necessary to:						
	<ul> <li>Eliminate or reduce an immediate threat to life</li> </ul>						
	public health, or safety?						
	<ul> <li>Eliminate or reduce an immediate threat of significant damage to improved public or private property through cost-effective measures?</li> </ul>						
	□ Is the applicant a hospital or medical facility?						
	<ul> <li>Medical/patient care, increased operating costs, loss of revenue, or supplies are not eligible.</li> </ul>						
	What types of activities did the eligible applicant perform?	□ 71-78 □ 54-55	For demolition, structural assessment and notice of				
	Warn public of risks and hazards?		demolition				
	□ Search and rescue efforts?		□ Cost comparison of lease				
	Emergency evacuations of medical and custodial care facilities?		temporary facilities				
	Utilization of emergency mass care and shelter operations?		Cost comparison of restoring emergency access and providing				
	Emergency measures to prevent further damage to or secure an eligible facility? (Boarding windows or doors, covering the roof, sandbagging, mold remediation, etc.)		temporary housing for restoring emergency access				
	Emergency repairs?						
	□ Utilized security or additional security?						
	Provided food, water, ice, and other essential needs at distribution points for use by the local population?						
	Used generators?						
	Rescued, cared for, or sheltered household pets?						
	Disposed of dead animals?						
	Protection against an influenza pandemic?						
	Provided temporary facilities for schools and essential community services?						
	<ul> <li>Was it more cost effective to purchase or rent the temporary facility?</li> </ul>						
	Opened and activated local or State Emergency Operations Center?						
	Demolished buildings that posed an immediate threat? (Public or private)						
	Emergency road clearance to establish emergency						
	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
-----------	--	----------	--	--	--	--	
Name of	Preparer:	PW No:					
Applicant	Name:	Date:					
Cat	Questions	PA Guide	Documentation				
	access? (Applicant must have legal authority)						
	Provided security patrols/barricaded flooded or hazardous streets and roads/used police vehicles with flashing lights?						
	Conducted safety inspections to establish whether a damaged structure poses an immediate threat?						
	□ Stabilized landslides? (See "Other" section below)						
	Emergency power generation for essential services?						
	Pumping?						
	<ul> <li>Of trapped floodwaters that threatened improved property?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Flooded basements? (Widespread flooding)</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Septic tanks or decontamination of wells if a widespread pollution threat?</li> </ul>						
	□ Used vector control?						
	Removed snow?						
	Protected beach erosion?						
	Constructed temporary levees, berms, dikes, and sandbagging (alone or on top of a levee)?						
	Completed buttressing, bracing, or shoring of a damaged structure to protect against further damage to the structure?						
	Placed sand on a beach to serve as protection of improved property from waves and flooding?						
	□ Other?						
	Landslide	□ 81-82	Geotechnical report				
	Did the disaster-caused landslide pose and immediate threat to life, public health, and safety, or to improved public or private property?		<ul> <li>Documentation that the site was stable prior to the disaster</li> </ul>				
	□ Is the site stable now?						
	□ Was the instability caused by the disaster?						
	□ Was the site unstable prior to the disaster?						
	Does the site need to be stabilized or restored?						
	Was it a natural slope?						
	□ Is a Geotechnical Specialist necessary?						
	□ Is earthwork required?						

	CATEGORICAL CHEC	KLIST
Name of Pre	eparer:	PW No:
Cat	Questions	PA Guide Documentation
	PERMANENT WORK CATE	GORIES
General (C-G)	General          Is the damage a direct result of the disaster?         Is the work located within the designated disaster area?         Is the work the legal responsibility of the applicant?         Is the applicant a Private Nonprofit organization?         Is the applicant an eligible PNP?         Was damage caused by lack of maintenance or negligence?         Was the facility in active use? (Or)         Temporarily inoperative?         Unoccupied for a short time between tenants/owners?         Was there intent to begin use of the facility soon?         Was the facility being used for purposed other than those for which it was designed?	<ul> <li>29-33</li> <li>Site Location Map</li> <li>Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)</li> <li>Photographs of site, overall facility, specific damages, and conditions that demonstrate the presence of an immediate threat (if applicable) or work completed, if any</li> <li>26-27</li> <li>26-27</li> <li>Drawings, sketches, and plans (to scale)</li> <li>pre-disaster facility design</li> <li>disaster-related damages</li> <li>completed or proposed repair</li> <li>Calculation sheet detailing specific dimensions and quantities of damage, and specific dimensions and quantities of work items</li> <li>Facility maintenance records (e.g., for roads, engineered channels, debris basins, and other facilities requiring maintenance to ensure proper function)</li> <li>Facility inspection/safety reports (e.g., for bridges and dams)</li> </ul>
	Legal Responsibility <ul> <li>Does the applicant own the facility?</li> </ul>	23     Relevant correspondence       or information received       from applicant or State
	<ul> <li>Is the applicant responsible for major repair?</li> <li>Was the facility under construction at the time of the event?</li> <li>Had the applicant accepted any work (or partially completed work)?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>28</li> <li>Relevant correspondence or information received from alternate funding agencies</li> <li>Lease agreements for</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Were Federal or non-Federal funds being</li> </ul>	

CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
Name of Pre	eparer:	PW No:				
Applicant N	ame:	Date:				
Cat	Questions	PA Guide	Documentation			
	<ul> <li>Used?</li> <li>Was the facility scheduled for replacement?</li> <li>Is another Federal agency responsible for the repairs?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Documentation to show responsibility for the facility under construction</li> <li>Documentation to show that any work had been accepted by the applicant</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>How will the work be completed?</li> <li>Force Account?</li> <li>Labor?</li> <li>Equipment? (Generators, vehicles, etc.) <ul> <li>Is equipment applicant-owned?</li> <li>FEMA, State, or applicant rates?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Material? (Flashlights, plywood, concrete, etc.)</li> <li>Rental? (Generators, vehicles, air-conditioning units, pumps, etc.)</li> <li>Contracts?</li> <li>Type of contract?</li> <li>Are engineering and design services necessary?</li> <li>Is oversight provided for the design phase to the completion of work?</li> </ul>	□ 51-53 □ 56-61 □ 61-62	<ul> <li>Force account labor/equipment records, if work is complete (including fringe benefit calculation, pay policy, etc.)</li> <li>Receipts for materials/supplies</li> <li>Rental equipment agreements, receipts, contracts, leases</li> <li>Contracts</li> <li>Engineering/ technical Reports</li> <li>Engineering specifications for repair (e.g., Department of Public Works standard design drawings)</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Potential Scope Applications</li> <li>□ Codes and Standards</li> <li>○ Is there a pre-disaster code in place which, if considered, would change the pre-disaster construction of the facility?</li> <li>- Does it apply to the repair work or restoration required?</li> <li>- Is it appropriate to the pre-disaster use of the facility?</li> <li>- Is it reasonable and in writing, and was it formally adopted prior to the disaster or a Federal requirement?</li> <li>- Does it apply uniformly to all facilities of the same type being repaired within the jurisdiction?</li> <li>- Was it enforced during the time it was in effect?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Applicable repair/reconstruction codes and standards</li> <li>50% Rule calculations</li> <li>Hazard mitigation proposals</li> <li>Justification for relocation project, improved or alternate project, including details of the proposed project</li> <li>Alternate/improved project requests and documentation</li> <li>Hazard Mitigation Proposal</li> </ul>			

	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST					
Name of Pro	eparer:	PW No:				
Applicant N	ame: Questions	Date: PA Guide	Documentation			
	<ul> <li>What are the repair costs? (Less design, demo, site work, and project management)</li> <li>What is the replacement cost? (Less demo, site work, project management)</li> <li>Does the applicant want to do an alternate/improved project?</li> <li>Are there any hazard mitigation opportunities?</li> <li>o Does the measure apply to the damaged element?</li> <li>o Is it cost effective?</li> <li>Relocation? (Temporary/Permanent)</li> <li>o Is it cost effective?</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Special Considerations</li> <li>Have Special Considerations been addressed?</li> <li>Is the facility insured?</li> <li>Are there any mitigation opportunities?</li> <li>Is the facility in a Special Flood Hazard Area?</li> <li>Are there any environmental issues?</li> <li>Is the facility a historic facility?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Special Considerations</li> <li>Insurance policies</li> <li>Relevant correspondence or information received from insurance carriers</li> <li>Documents (i.e., permits) supporting compliance with environmental or historic preservation laws/regulations/executiv e orders</li> <li>Hazard Mitigation Proposals</li> <li>FIRMs or FIRMettes</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Costs</li> <li>Reasonable and necessary?</li> <li>Are there historical costs?</li> <li>Are there average costs for similar work in the area?</li> <li>Are the costs comparable to national cost estimating databases?</li> <li>Are FEMA cost codes used?</li> <li>Applicant complied with Federal, State, and local procurement procedures?</li> <li>Reduced for actual or anticipated insurance, salvage value, other grants, or cash donations?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Timesheets/documentati on of regular and overtime</li> <li>Applicant employee pay policy</li> <li>Fringe benefit calculations</li> <li>Force account equipment usage records for work completed</li> <li>Receipts for materials/supplies</li> <li>Rental equipment agreements, receipts, contracts, leases</li> <li>Contracts</li> <li>Procurement policy</li> </ul>			

	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
Name of Pro	eparer:	PW No:					
Applicant N	ame: Questions	Date: PA Guida Documentation					
Cat							
	Is facility part of a Federal-Aid route (under the authority of FHWA)?	□ 79-82					
	Does the road service USACE or NRCS levees or dams? (Not eligible)						
	□ Is it a private road? (Generally not eligible)						
	What type of facility is it?	□ 79-82 □ Drawings, sketches and					
	□ Road/Bridge?	plans (to scale)					
	• What is the impact of the damage?	o pre-disaster facility design					
	<ul> <li>Road closed?</li> </ul>	o disaster-related					
	- Detour?	damages					
	– Only one lane open?	o completed or					
	• What are the details?	proposed repair					
	<ul> <li>Road - surfaces, bases, shoulders, ditches, drainage structures, low-water crossings</li> </ul>	Calculation sheet detailing specific					
	<ul> <li>Bridge - decking and pavement, piers, girders, abutments, slope protection, approaches</li> </ul>	dimensions and quantities of damage, and specific dimensions					
	• What are the dimensions?	and quantities of work					
	<ul> <li>Length, width, depth of materials, number of lanes, approaches, embankment</li> </ul>	items  Facility maintenance					
	<ul> <li>What was the pre-disaster condition of the road/bridge?</li> </ul>	records (roads, bridges, and other facilities requiring maintenance to					
	<ul> <li>Was any lighting damaged?</li> </ul>	ensure proper function)					
	o Were the guard rails damaged?	□ Facility inspection/safety					
	o Were signs blown down?	reports					
	Drainage Structure?	Road/Bridge design					
	<ul> <li>Type of structure? (CMP, RCP, box culvert, etc.)</li> </ul>	standards Hydrologic/Hydrology					
	<ul> <li>Dimensions of structure? (Diameter, length, width, height, etc.)</li> </ul>	Studies Geotechnical Report					
	<ul> <li>Was the structure adequate?</li> </ul>						
	o Should the size be increased?						
	<ul> <li>Is a hydrology study necessary?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Is the structure on the list of approved hazard mitigation measures?</li> </ul>						
	Landslide?						
	<ul> <li>Did the landslide damage or threaten an eligible facility?</li> </ul>						
	o Is there an immediate threat?						
	o Is the site stable?						
	o Was the instability caused by the disaster?						

	CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST						
Name of Pro	eparer:	PW No:					
Applicant N	ame:	Date:					
Cat	• Was the site unstable prior to the disaster?	PA Guide	Documentation				
	o Does the site need to be stabilized or restored?						
	<ul> <li>Was it a natural slope?</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Is a geotechnical specialist necessary?</li> </ul>						
	o Is earthwork required?						
D	Legal Responsibility	□ 23-26					
	Flood Control Works						
	<ul> <li>Is the facility the responsibility of the USACE</li> </ul>						
	OR NRCS?						
	What type of facility was damaged?	□ 82-83					
	Dam/reservoir						
	□ Engineered drainage channel						
	Aqueduct						
	Sediment basin						
	□ Shore protective device						
	□ Irrigation facility						
	Pumping facility						
	For cleaning out channels, basins, etc.	□ 82-83	□ Facility maintenance				
	□ What was the level of existing debris?		records (engineered				
	Is a regular cleaning schedule documented or are there maintenance records?		and other facilities				
	□ Is there an immediate threat of flooding due to		ensure proper function)				
	level of debris/sediment or of clogging or damaging intake structures?		□ Facility inspection/safety				
	How much debris/sediment was/will be removed?		reports				
	<ul> <li>Note: Debris/sediment removal may be</li> </ul>						
	considered Category A work.						
	<ul> <li>If all debris/sediment was removed and the removal was not eligible under Category A</li> </ul>						
	(i.e., necessary to eliminate immediate threat) and/or applicant cappot establish that facility						
	was clean immediately prior to event, treat						
	project as an improved project.						
E	Legal Responsibility	□ 83-85	Lease Agreements				
	□ Was the facility being leased?						
	What type of facility was damaged?	□ 83-85	Inventory of contents				
	Buildings/Contents?		□ Insurance Policies				
	• What is the impact of the damage?		o General				
	<ul> <li>Building closed?</li> </ul>		o Flood				

CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST					
Name of Pr	eparer:		PW No:		
Applicant N	ame:		Date:		
Cat		Questions	PA Guide	Documentation	
		<ul> <li>Lemporary facilities necessary for essential facilities2</li> </ul>		o Vehicle	
	0	What are the details?		O Uther	
		<ul> <li>Roofs, walls, doors, windows, floors, lights, HVAC systems, types of contents, etc.</li> </ul>			
	0	What are the dimensions?			
		<ul> <li>Length, width, height, quantities</li> </ul>			
	0	Did the facility have any contents?			
		<ul> <li>Is stabilization required for any damaged contents?</li> </ul>			
	0	What was the pre-disaster condition of the building/contents?			
	0	What was the function of the building?			
	0	Was the facility being used for a function other than the one it was originally designed for?			
	🗆 Ec	uipment/Vehicles?			
	0	Has the insurance policy been provided?			
	0	Was the equipment properly maintained?			
	0	Was the equipment purchased/leased for the disaster recovery?			
	0	Is the equipment being repaired or replaced?			
	0	How was it damaged?			
	0	Is a damage report available?			
	🗆 Is	the facility insured?			
	0	Has the applicant contacted the insurance carrier and filed a claim?			
	0	Was the facility damaged in a previous Federally-declared disaster?			
	0	Has the insurance policy been provided?			
	0	Is the jurisdiction/facility insured?			
	0	Is the facility insured under a flood insurance policy?			
F	What	type of facility was damaged?	□ 85		
	Πw	ater treatment plants and delivery systems			
	PC (N SU	ower generation and distribution facilities latural gas systems, wind turbines, generators, ibstations, power lines)			
	🗆 Se	ewage collection systems and treatment plants			
	🗆 Co	ommunications			

CATEGORICAL CHECKLIST					
Name of Pr	eparer:	PW No:			
Applicant N	ame:	Date:	Desume and at is a		
Cat	Questions				
	$\square$ is the applicant claiming costs for increased	$\square 54_{-55}$	Damage survey Video inspections		
	operating expenses?				
	Have surveys been done to look for known or unknown damages? (e.g., video survey)				
	o Were the damages known?				
	o Was it a general survey?				
	□ Was the facility shut down?				
	<ul> <li>Were temporary emergency services established?</li> </ul>				
G	Legal Responsibility	□ 86-87	Insurance Policies		
	Is the applicant a Private Nonprofit?	□ 20			
	<ul> <li>PNP-owned park and recreational facilities are</li> </ul>				
	not eligible, nor are the supporting facilities,				
	What type of facility was damaged?	Ц 86-87			
	Mass transit facilities (railways)				
	Playground equipment				
	□ Swimming pools				
	□ Bath houses				
	Tennis courts				
	Boat docks				
	Piers				
	Picnic tables				
	Golf courses				
	Fish hatcheries				
	Other facilities that do not fit in Categories C-F				
	What should be addressed?	□ 86-87	Lease Agreements		
	□ Is the facility being leased?		Drawings, sketches, and		
	Are there other types of facilities in the park and recreation area? (e.g., roads, buildings, utilities)		plans (to scale) o Pre-disaster facility		
	Beaches		design		
	<ul> <li>Is there an immediate threat to improved property?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Elevation, width, grain size, and slope</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Was the beach routinely maintained prior to</li> </ul>		□ Facility maintenance		
	the disaster, including re-nourishment of sand?		records		
	o Is this an improved beach?				
	Ground Covering				
	<ul> <li>Is grass or sod required for slope stabilization or to minimize erosion?</li> </ul>				

### NOTES SECTION

Appendix E – Project Worksheet Quality Review Checklist

PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST					
In Support of Project Worksheet Preparation					
Name of	Reviewer: Preparer:		Applicant:	Date:	
Section	Block	PW Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments	
	Disaster	30	Example: FEMA-1234-DR-AL		
	Project Number	31	Provide unique number meeting applicant and/or PAC Crew Leader format		
	PA ID No. (FIPS #)	31-32	Match Applicant Identification Number in NEMIS/EMMIE		
	Date	32	Indicate Date of PW Preparation in MM/DD/YY format		
_	Category	33-34	Identify primary category of work		
MATION	Damaged Facility	34	Indicate name of facility, type of work, and/or duration; indicate if multiple sites		
OJECT INFOR	Work Compete As Of	35-36	<ul> <li>Indicate, in the MM/DD/YY format, the date the work was assessed</li> <li>Identify percent of work complete as of this date (physical completion of work reflective of scope and cost)</li> </ul>		
SIC PF	Applicant	36	Indicate name as included in NEMIS/EMMIE, do not abbreviate		
BA	County	36-37	Indicate county where work is to be performed, do not abbreviate		
	Location	37-38	Indicate street address, sector, city- wide; indicate if multiple sites		
	Latitude and Longitude	38-39	<ul> <li>Reference primary location (Format: xx.xxxxx, -xxx.xxxx)</li> <li>Include additional locations in Damage Description and Dimensions</li> </ul>		
	Prepared By	39	Provide name, title, and signature		
	Applicant Rep.	39-40	Provide name, title, and signature		
NO	Damage Description and	40-48	Describe the cause of the damage— hazard type(s), when occurred		
XIPT	Dimensions		Demonstrate the applicant is responsible for the work		
GE DESC			Describe the pre-disaster condition of the facility (design, function, capacity, active use, under construction)		
DAMA			Quantify specific disaster-related damages or emergency services needed		

PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST					
In Support of Project Worksheet Preparation					
Name of Reviewer: Applicant:			Applicant:	Data:	
Name of		PW			
Section	Block	Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments	
			Reference lat/long location, if pertinent		
			Describe ineligible damages, if observed; ensure eligibility has been addressed		
			Refer to Narrative or Continuation Sheet, if provided		
			Reference location plans, sketches, photographs, other supporting documentation		
WORK	Scope of Work	48-57	Organize scope items consistent with Damage Description		
			Ensure work items, dimensions, and quantities match those provided in the Damage Description, the Project Costs, and all sketches and calculations; any discrepancies require explanation		
			Describe the work necessary to remove and dispose of disaster-related debris, conduct emergency response measures, or repair/replace the disaster-damaged facility to pre- disaster condition		
SCOPE OF			<ul> <li>Describe the work in quantifiable (length, width, height, depth, capacity) and descriptive (brick, wood, asphalt) terms</li> </ul>		
			Provide all design assumptions, methods of repair, and calculations to support the work		
			Separate Work Completed from Work to be Completed		
			For Work Complete, document: costs based on actual rates, dates work performed, who performed work (i.e., force account or contractor)		

	PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST					
Name of	Name of Reviewer: Applicant:					
Name of	Preparer:		PW No.	Date:		
Section	Block	PW Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments		
			For Work to be Completed, document: source of estimated costs (R.S. Means, cost codes, proposal, etc.), if emergency work, estimated date of completion, who will perform work (i.e., force account, contract, etc.)			
			Describe any work that will restore a facility beyond its pre-disaster condition (Relocation Projects, Replacement Projects, Alternate Projects, Improved Projects, Hazard Mitigation Proposals, upgrades required by codes and standards)			
			Describe any Special Considerations that affect the Scope of Work or Cost Estimate			
			Document ineligible work and associated costs; if portions of the applicant's claim are not eligible, reference FEMA policy			
			Describe the basis for the Cost Estimate (how the work was or will be performed, methodology used to develop costs, reasonableness of costs, procurement method for work by contract)			
			If other PWs have been prepared for the same facility, reference those PWs			
			Refer to Narrative or Continuation Sheet, if provided			
			Reference location plans, GPS, narratives, sketches, photographs, other supporting documentation			
ST	Project Cost	58-61	Separate Work Completed from Work to be Completed			
ЕСТ СО			Provide line item for all work items in Scope of Work			
PROJE			Use appropriate cost code and unit price reference			
			Refer to CEF documentation, if used			

PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST					
In Support of Project Worksheet Preparation					
Name of	Reviewer:				
Name of	Preparer:		PW No.	Date:	
Section	Block	Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments	
			Refer to the Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet, if provided		
			Document type of labor (overtime, regular time, temporary hires, volunteer, prison labor, etc.)		
	Project Cost – Force Account	21-22	Describe pay policy		
			Perform a 20% sampling of documentation for verification of costs claimed and note findings in PW		
		24	Identify contract type (Lump Sum, Unit Price, Time and Materials, Cost Plus Percentage of Cost, Master Services Agreement)		
	Project Cost –		Review procurement policy		
	Costs		Describe bid process or sole source		
			Comment on contract issues and state that the PW is subject to further review		
			Perform a reasonable cost analysis		
SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	Special Considerations - Four Questions	57-58	Indicate responses consistent with information provided on the Special Considerations Questions form		
	Special Considerations - Nine Questions		Identify any known or potential Special Considerations issues identified during discussion with the applicant or observation at the site		
		65-81	Explain any responses of "Yes" or "Unsure" or "No" (if needed)		
			Notify the PAC Crew Leader or JFO if a Technical Specialist is needed for resolution		

PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST In Support of Project Worksheet Preparation					
Name of	Name of Reviewer: Applicant:				
Name of	Preparer:		PW No	).	Date:
Section	Block	PW Guide Page(s)	Co	ntent	Comments
DOCUMENTATION	Supporting Documentation (as applicable to project)	15-16 45 52-53	<ul> <li>Site Location Map</li> <li>Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)</li> <li>Photographs of site, overall facility, specific damages, and conditions that demonstrate the presence of an immediate threat (if applicable)</li> <li>Photographs of work completed, if any</li> <li>Drawing, sketches, and plans of pre- disaster facility design (to scale)</li> <li>Drawings and sketches of disaster- related damages (to scale)</li> <li>Drawings and sketches of the completed or proposed repair (to scale)</li> <li>Engineering/ Technical Reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Facility maintenance records (roads, engineered channels, debris basins, and other facilities requiring maintenance to ensure proper function)</li> <li>Relevant correspondence or information received from the applicant or State</li> <li>Relevant correspondence or information received from alternate funding agencies</li> <li>50% rule calculations</li> <li>Insurance policies</li> <li>Hazard mitigation proposals</li> <li>Applicable codes and standards</li> </ul>	

PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST					
Name of Reviewer:     Applicant:					
Name of	Preparer:	PW	PW No	).	Date:
Section	Block	Guide Page(s)	Co	ntent	Comments
DOCUMENTATION (cont'd)	Supporting Documentation (as applicable to project)	15-16 45 52-53	<ul> <li>Calculation sheet detailing specific dimensions and quantities of damage, and specific dimensions and quantities of work items</li> <li>Engineering specifications for repair (such as Department of Public Works [DPW] standard design drawings)</li> <li>Lease agreements for leased properties</li> <li>Facility inspection/safe ty reports (e.g., for bridges and dams)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Justification for relocation project, improved project, or alternate project, including details of the proposed project</li> <li>Documents supporting compliance with environmental or historic preservation issues</li> <li>Contracts or contractor bids</li> <li>Mutual aid agreements</li> <li>Rental agreements</li> <li>Invoices/ receipts</li> <li>Time/equipment records (if applicable)</li> <li>Fringe Benefit Calculation Sheet</li> <li>Cost Estimating Format Spreadsheets</li> </ul>	

	PROJECT WORKSHEET QUALITY REVIEW CHECKLIST				
		In Suppor	rt of Project Worksheet Preparatio	n	
Name of	Reviewer:		Applicant:		
Name of	Preparer:		PW No.	Date:	
Section	Block	PW Guide Page(s)	Content	Comments	
SHEET			<ul> <li>Ensure that all items in the Cost</li> <li>Estimate have been identified in the</li> <li>Damage Description and Dimensions</li> <li>and Scope of Work</li> </ul>		
NORKS			Verify that the Project Worksheet has been formulated correctly based on the Category of Work		
PROJECT \	Project Worksheet – Overall Review		Confirm that all Special Considerations have been addressed and that the Project Worksheet has been formulated accordingly		
/ERALL			Verify that the Project Worksheet is eligible or that ineligibility has been addressed		
Ō			Ensure proper documentation is attached and supports the Project Worksheet		

**Appendix F** – Public Assistance **Special Considerations** Questions and Review**Tool** 

# **Appendix F.1 – Special Considerations Questions**

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITYO.M.B. No. 1660-0017FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCYExpires October 31, 2008SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS QUESTIONSExpires October 31, 2008					
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE		
	PROVERTING.		1/29/00		
	PROJECT NO.				
SAMFLE	IN/A	IN/A			
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.			
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work H	1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)         Yes       No         Unsure       Comments         Includes INSURANCE (Stafford Act Sections 311, 312, 406;				
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo Yes No Unsure C	odplain or coastal high hazard an comments <u>Includes FLOODPLA</u>	rea/or does it have an impact o IN MANAGEMENT (44 CFR Par	on a floodplain or wetland? ts 9, 59-77,		
206; EOs 11988, 11990) and OTHER FED	ERAL LAWS/REGS (Clean Water	Act, CBRA, Coastal Zone Mana	gement Act, ESA)		
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work loca Protected Area?	ted within or adjacent to a Coas	tal Barrier Resource System I	Jnit or an Otherwise		
Yes No Unsure C	includes FLOODPLAI	N MANAGEMENT (44 CFR Part	71) and		
OTHER FEDERAL LAWS/REGS (CBRA –	Coastal Barrier Resources Act; 44	4 CFR Part 206, Subpart J)			
<ol> <li>Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction</li> </ol>	ction change the pre-disaster co	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or		
Yes No Unsure C	comments Includes OTHER FED	ERAL LAWS/REGS (NEPA; NH	PA;		
Stafford Act Section 316, 44 CFR Part 10, F	RR Policy 9560.1; EO 12898; Farm	land Protection Policy Act et al.)			
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigatio	n proposal or would the applicat comments Includes HAZARD MIT	nt like technical assistance for IGATION (Stafford Act Sections	r a hazard mitigation proposal? 404, 406;		
44 CFR 206.226(c); RR Policy 9526.1) and (	OTHER FEDERAL LAWS/REGS (	NEPA – National Environmental	Policy Act et al.)		
6. Is the damaged facility on the National Reg other, similar buildings near the site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure C	omments	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there		
Historic Preservation Act; 36 CFR Part 800;	RR Policy 9560.3)	ERAL LAWS/REGS (NHPA – N	ational		
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? A comments	re there large tracts of forestla	nd?		
Species Act, Wild and Scenic Rivers Act; N	IHPA)	JERAL LAWS/REGS (ESA - EII			
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or ad	acent to the damaged facility an comments Includes OTHER FED	nd/or item of work? ERAL LAWS/REGS (Resource			
Conservation and Recovery Act; Clean Air A	Act)				
9 Are there any other environmental or control	wereial issues accoriated with t	he damaged facility and/or its	m of work?		
	Comments Includes OTHER FED	DERAL LAWS/REGS (EO 12898	; Farmland		
Protection Policy Act et al.)					
FEMA Form 90-120. FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	LETE			

|--|

Special Considerations Questions 1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g. buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.)	The response to the Special Considerations Question should also consider these points         * Is the facility insurable?         * Is the facility insurable?         * Is the facility insured?         * Has the facility been damaged in past disasters?         * Is the facility in the floodplain?         * Is the facility in a SFHA?         * Does the facility have flood insurance?	A "YES" to this question can trigger the following Federal Laws and Regulations Insurance: Stafford Act Section 311, 312, 406 44 CFR Subpart I 44 CFR Parts 59-77	Information Resources/ Documentation Locate the project on the appropriate Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRMs). Refer to the Insurance Review Standard Operating Procedure for guidance on insurance review requirements and procedures. Possible Supporting Documentation: * Copy of policy, including declarations pages and exclusion components
			* Insurance settlement
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?	<ul> <li>* Are there any wetlands on the site?</li> <li>* Is the site subject to tides?</li> <li>* Will the project have potential upstream or downstream impacts?</li> <li>* Are there any surface water bodies on or near the site (ponds, lakes, rivers, estuaries, etc.)?</li> <li>* Will access to repair the facility cross a wetland or floodplain area?</li> </ul>	Clean Water Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act Endangered Species Act EO 11988: Floodplain Management EO 11990: Protection of Wetlands Coastal Zone Management Act	Complete the Reconnaissance/ Review Report for Floodplain Management form (Review Form). Locate the project on the appropriate FIRM. Record the FIRM's Community Panel Number (located on the front of the FIRM) on the Review Form. FIRMs can be obtained from the local building, zoning, or planning departments. FIRMs are also available during disaster response at the Joint Field Office.

Special Considerations Questions 3. Is the damaged facility or item of work within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or otherwise protected area?	The response to the Special Considerations Question should also consider these points         * Is the project located in a CBRS unit?         * When was the facility constructed?         * Is the facility a critical link?	A "YES" to this question can trigger the following Federal Laws and Regulations Coastal Barrier Resources Act	Information Resources/ Documentation Locate the project on the appropriate FIRMs.
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster condition? (e.g. footprint, material, location, capacity, use, or function)	<ul> <li>If the response to question 4 is yes, obtain information about what is changing, about the project's pre-disaster design, and why it is being changed (i.e. codes and standards, aesthetics, etc.)</li> <li>If the response to question 4 is yes, is the work completed?</li> <li>If the change involves relocation, will the new location affect neighborhoods or communities with minority or low-income populations?</li> <li>If the change involves relocation, will the new location affect neighborhoods or communities with minority or low-income populations?</li> <li>If the change involves relocation, will the new location affect active farmland?</li> </ul>	National Environmental Policy Act National Historic Preservation Act Endangered Species Act Clean Air Act Clean Water Act All other Federal Laws and Regulations including: * EO 12898 – Environmental Justice * EO 11988 – Floodplain Management * EO11990 – Protection of Wetlands	<ul> <li>Applicant's representative in charge of the repair or relocation of the project.</li> <li>Proposed design information; plans and specifications.</li> <li>* Note: if relocation is involved, the Special Considerations Questions must be completed for the new location.</li> </ul>

5.	Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applicant like technical assistance for a hazard mitigation proposal?	The response to the Special Considerations Question should also consider these points * Is there any opportunity to repair the facility in such a manner that future similar damages can be minimized or avoided?	A "YES" to this question can trigger the following Federal Laws and Regulations National Environmental Policy Act National Historic Preservation Act All other Federal Laws and Regulations	Information Resources/ Documentation Refer to the Hazard Mitigation Policy (FEMA 9526.1, dated July 30, 2007) for examples of hazard mitigation projects. Documentation must support that project is: Technically feasible Cost-effective Environmentally sound
6.	Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the state historic listing? Is it older than 50 years? Are there more, similar buildings near the site?	<ul> <li>Does the proposed action directly or indirectly affect a structure 50 years or older?</li> <li>Are there nearby structures that are 50 years or older?</li> <li>Is the property recognized locally or nationally as a place where something significant occurred?</li> <li>Does the property have cultural significance?</li> <li>Are there existing or potential archaeological artifacts on the property?</li> </ul>	National Historic Preservation Act	Historic Review Standard Operating Procedure State Historic Preservation Officer
7.	Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on or near the project site? Are there large tracts of forestland?	<ul> <li>Does the site include streams, lakes, estuaries or wetlands?</li> <li>* Have there been endangered species issues associated</li> </ul>	Endangered Species Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act National Historic Preservation Act Farmland Protection Policy	Site visit or delineating the site on an aerial map is helpful. Local government environmental or public works staff can be resources for this information.

Special Considerations Questions	The response to the Special Considerations Question should also consider these points with the site? * Are there National, State or local parks or open areas next to the site?	A "YES" to this question can trigger the following Federal Laws and Regulations Act	Information Resources/ Documentation
8. Are there any hazardous materials on or adjacent to the damaged facility and/or item of work?	<ul> <li>Is there evidence of drums or other containers?</li> <li>Are there any above ground storage tanks?</li> <li>Will the proposed repairs affect any underground storage tanks?</li> <li>Has household or industrial debris been dumped on the site?</li> <li>Has the site been used for commercial purposes and if so, what type?</li> <li>Is there evidence of soil staining on the site or oil slicks in water?</li> <li>Could the "clean up" operations possibly impact air quality?</li> <li>Are there any noxious or foul odors at the site?</li> <li>Is there evidence of dead or "burned" vegetation?</li> <li>Is the facility 50 years or older?</li> </ul>	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Clean Air Act Clean Water Act	Visual observations of the site would be informative. The local fire marshal may have hazardous materials storage information for the site. The age of the building facility would be an indicator for asbestos and lead.

Special Considerations Questions	The response to the Special Considerations Question should also consider these points	A "YES" to this question can trigger the following Federal Laws and Regulations	Information Resources/ Documentation
9. Are there any other environmental or controversial issues associated with the damaged facility and/or item of work?	<ul> <li>Will the project impact air quality? If so, are there schools, daycare, nursing homes, or hospitals near the site?</li> <li>If there has been controversy associated with the facility, explain.</li> <li>Is any portion of the project site active farmland?</li> </ul>	National Environmental Policy         Act         National Historic Preservation         Act         Farmland Protection Policy         Act         All other Federal Laws and         Regulations including:         *       EO 12898 –         Environmental Justice         *       EO 11988 – Floodplain         Management         *       EO11990 – Protection of         Wetlands	

Appendix G – Instructions for Creating a FIRMette

## FIRMette Tutorial (www.msc.fema.gov)

A FIRMette is a full-scale section of a FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) that you create yourself online by selecting the desired area from an image of a Flood Insurance Rate Map. The FIRMette also includes the map title block, north arrow, and scale bar. There is no charge for making a FIRMette. And because a FIRMette is a full-scale section of an official FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, it can be used in all aspects of the NFIP, including floodplain management, flood insurance, and enforcement of mandatory flood insurance purchase requirements.

### Instructions for making a FIRMette:

- Step 1: Find your flood map
- Step 2: Make the FIRMette

# STEP 1 - Option A: Find Your Flood Map Using Product Search by Address

Use Product Search by Address to find the flood map for your street address:

1. Product Search by Address is located on right side the MSC home page.

🎯 FEM	A M	Map Service Center
Product Catalog   Map Searc	h   Quick Order   Digital Post Office   Help	
Log On User ID (email address) : Password :		Product Search by Address 1) Select a Product: Public Flood Map 2) Enter an Address: Street:
Log on Clear > Forgot Password? > Register	FEMA's Map Service Center FEMA's Map Service Center (MSC) has a new that is designed to provide you with the same functionality, but with more information and re	City:
FIRMette Tutorial	your disposal. The new MSC website is not official government source, but the premier s	only your ite for flood
Learn how to create FIRMettes. They're free!	hazard mapping information, products, and s hope our revamped website better serves yo professional needs. What has changed? The FEMA Flood Map Store (store.msc.fema. MSC informational website (msc.fema.gov) a merged into a single new MSC website: msc.fema.gov. You do not need to update yo bookmarks; the FEMA Flood Map Store URL you automatically to the new MSC website. F	ervices. We ur personal or Product Information Product Information How to Order Price List How do I find the flood map for my area? ur favorites or will redirect or more Need Assistance?

2. Select "Public Flood Map" from the drop-down product menu, enter your street address, then press the "Product Search" button:

Product Search by Address				
1) Select a Product:				
Public Flood Map 🗸				
2) Enter an Address:				
Street:				
City:				
State: Zip:				
Product Search				

3. The Search Results page will show an entry for your map panel.

### **Map Search Results**

Check the products that you would like to view or buy.

#### Map Panel Search Results

Map Item ID	Description	Effective Date	Show LOMC	View	Buy
1251440137D	SARASOTA CO*	05/01/1984			8

4. Click the "View" button to display your map in the Map Viewer. The Map Viewer will open in a new window:



You are now ready to proceed to Step 2: Make the FIRMette.

## **STEP 1 - Option C: Find Your Flood Map Using Map Search**

Using Map Search you can locate your flood map geographically by zooming in on a map of the U.S.

1. Click on "Map Search" on the menu bar



2. The Map Search window will be displayed. In the "Select a Product" drop-down list, select "Public Flood Map".



Click on the map to zoom in on your area. When you have zoomed in to the level at which the flood map layer becomes visible (the pink map panel borders), click on the "Point" tool on the toolbar, then click on your flood map.



G-4
# **STEP 2: Make the FIRMette**

1. Click on the "Make a FIRMette" button:



2. Click and drag the pink box to cover the area of the map that you want to be included in your FIRMette:





3. Select your paper size. (The default size is 8.5 X 11.)

4. If you wish to reposition the title block or north arrow, click the appropriate button to the left and then drag the pink box to cover the area you want included. This is usually not necessary.



- FEMA MSC Viewer
- 5. Select the format that the FIRMette will be saved as, either Adobe PDF or Tiff Image File.

6. Your FIRMette will be displayed. Click the "Save Your FIRMette" button to save the FIRMette to your computer. (Saving allows you to go back any time and print more copies without going through all of the steps again.)



G-7

7. In the "Save As" window, select the directory where your FIRMette will be saved, then click the Save button.



# Appendix H – Sample Project Worksheets

- Overview
- Category A Debris Removal
- Category B Temporary Emergency Berm
- Category C Gravel Roadway Damages
- Category D Channel (Improved and Maintained Natural Feature)
- Category E School Building
- Category E Vehicles and Mobile Equipment
- Category F1 Electrical Distribution System
- Category F2 Elevated Water Tank

# **OVERVIEW**

This document provides a collection of Sample Project Worksheets (PWs) that may be used as a reference when preparing PWs. The PWs address a variety of damages, facility types, scopes of repair, and methods of estimating costs, various programmatic and eligibility issues, and examples of supporting documentation.

These examples provide simple to moderate technical issues, and simple to more complex programmatic issues. While many actual PWs may be less complex, these PWs are intended to address multiple issues per example. The Project Worksheet Development Course provides additional examples of less detailed project scenarios.

The actual project scenarios used in the preparation of these PWs were developed from a blending of numerous projects. As a result, the supporting documentation has been developed to best support the scenarios; selecting maps, sketches, photographs and other documentation that best resemble the project description but may not be exact illustrations of the damages.

# **PW Scenarios**

# Category A – Debris Removal

Hurricane force winds severely damaged and destroyed trees. The Applicant is requesting funding to remove and dispose of the debris at the County landfill. Work was performed by contract and monitored by FEMA Debris Monitors.

## Category B – Temporary Emergency Berm

In anticipation of flooding predicted by the USACE, the Applicant constructed two sandbag berms. Work was performed by contract and force account labor. One berm was constructed to protect unimproved property. The Applicant also requests a Hazard Mitigation Proposal to construct floodwall panels to protect the area from further flooding. The PW addresses use of temporary employees, the ineligibility of the second berm, and the fact that Hazard Mitigation is not eligible for emergency work.

## Category C – Gravel Roadway Damages

Heavy rains and snow melt caused river flooding, resulting in erosion of the adjacent roadway at various locations. The PW indicates that the road is not a Federal-Aid Road, addresses Work Completed and Work to be Completed, force account labor, and various methods of determining unit prices for estimating costs.

# Category D – Channel (Improved and Maintained Natural Feature)

Tropical storm rains resulted in flooding of an improved natural channel, causing erosion and washouts are numerous locations. The PW provides discussion to support that the natural channel has been improved and maintained in order to be considered an eligible facility.

# Category E – School Building

A historic school building is damaged from disaster winds, rains, and flooding. The school is owned by the Catholic Church but used primarily for non-religious purposes. Damages and repairs related to differing hazards (wind and rain versus flooding) are discussed separately. A Hazard Mitigation Proposal is included and discussed. Discussion is provided relative to the facility eligibility, and references insurance and historic preservation information.

# **Category E – Vehicles and Mobile Equipment**

Flooding inundated the grounds of a transportation and maintenance facility, flooding and damaging numerous vehicles and mobile equipment. Some vehicles have been repaired or replaced, and other will be repaired. The Insurance specialist worked with the Project Officer to assess damages and determine eligible costs.

# Category F – Electrical Distribution System

An electric utility system is damaged by accumulated ice on trees, tree limbs, and on the electrical distribution system components. Work to restore the system components is performed by force account labor and contract.

## Category F – Elevated Water Tank

A 100,000 gallon elevated water tank is destroyed by high winds from a hurricane. Work to replace the facility will be completed by contract. The Project Specialist worked with the Estimating Specialist to prepare a Cost Estimating Format (CEF) estimate for the replacement.

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securi 1660-0 form.	reporting bu led by person of the collec ty, Federal E 0017). You a <b>NOTE: Do</b>	rden for this ns to generat tion, includin mergency M are not requin <b>not send you</b>	PAPERW form is estimate e, maintain, disc ng suggestions fi lanagement Age red to respond to ur completed qu	ORK BURDE ed to average 90 f lose, or to provid or reducing the b ney, 500 C Stree this collection of <b>aestionnaire to t</b>	EN DISCLOSUI minutes per responde unden to: Information to u urden to: Information t, SW, Washington of information unl his address.	<b>RE NOTIO</b> nse. Burder is. You ma tion Collect n, DC 2047 ess a valid (	CE n means the y send com ions Mana; 2, Paperwo OMB numb	e time, effort iments regar- gement, U.S rk Reduction per appears in	and fina ding the Departr Project n the upp	ncial reso burden es nent of H (OMB C ber right c	ources stimate or any omeland ontrol Number corner of this
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE	TE C		CATEGORY	
FEMA-	4001	dr- <u>ST</u>	PWS-/	A01	00-AAAA	1	0/1/2007		А		
DAMAGED FACILITY							WORK	COMPLETE	AS OF		
Debris Removal: 9/18/07 – 9/24/07						9,	/24/07 <u>:</u>		100	%	
APPLIC	CANT				COUNTY		-				
City	of Morrisv	ville			Union			-1	100 A.B. 1.107	_	
LOCAT	rion Wide (See	e Location	ı Map)					LATITU 39.06	DE 6391	LONG -77	ITUDE . <b>17819</b>
DAMA	GE DESCRI	PTION AND	DIMENSIONS							-	
On A occu curb team its re	ugust 13, f rred on city within city assigned t moval. <i>R</i>	nurricane for streets an owned righ to the city, f defer to the	orce winds sev ad at the city's ats-of-way by p the debris on the <i>Continuation</i>	verely damage Falls Road Pa private property the roads was Sheet for furthe	d and destroyed rk and Cemeter y owners. Base considered to p er discussion.	d trees thr y. Additic d on obse ose a thre	oughout t mally, wo rvations r eat to pub	he City of I ody debris nade by th lic health a	Morrisvi has be e FEM/ nd safe	ille. The en place A Debris ety, nece	e damage ed at the Monitoring essitating
The ( the p provi <b>Worl</b> Cont	City of Morr eriod 19 Se ded on sub <b>c Complete</b> ract costs f <i>r to the Col</i>	risville is co eptember to osequent P ed: for the remo ntinuation S	onducting an c o 24 Septemb Ws. Separate oval, transport Sheet for furth	on-going debris er. Previous d PWs will be p ation, storage, er discussion.	s operation. Thi costs are provide prepared for app , reduction, and	s PW incl ed on PW licant mod disposal d	udes the s 12, 22 a nitoring ef of 12,146	portion of t nd 47; con íforts. CY of cityv	he work tinuing vide, wo	c comple operation	eted during ons will be bris.
Does Speci Is the	the Scope c al Consider re insurance	of Work cha ations issue e coverage (	ange the pre-di es included? on this facility'	saster conditior X Yes ? X Yes	ns at the site?	D Yes Hazard N	s 🔽 Aitigation	No proposal in	cluded	? 🗖 Ye	s XNo
		25310	<u> </u>		PROJECT CO	ST					
ITEM	CODE		NA	RRATIVE		QUANTI	TY/UNIT	UNIT P	RICE		COST
		Work Co	ompleted								
1	9025	Contract	– Trade Con	struction Cor	mpany	12,146	/ CY	\$ 19	9.00	\$	230,774
								TOTAL CO	ST 🕨	\$	230,774
PREPA	RED BY Stone			TLE Project O	fficer		SIGNATU	TOTAL CO	ST 🕨	\$	230,774

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008					
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY		
<sub>FEMA-</sub> 4001 <sub>-DR-</sub> ST	PWS-A01	00-AAAA-00	А			
APPLICANT						
City of Morrisville		Union				

### DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

At the park, the debris was also found eligible for removal except in wooded or other areas not routinely used by the public. Quantities of debris were measured through documentation on load tickets. See further discussion in the Scope of Work below regarding use of load tickets.

The Lat/Long data was recorded at the entrance to the Falls Road Park. See location map for relationship of the Park to other work areas.

### SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

All work was performed under contract by Trade Construction Co. (copy provided in file). Contract costs are \$15.00 per cubic yard for storm related debris removal and \$4.00 a cubic yard for waste reduction and disposal (see contract).

The contract was competitively bid. Rates were reviewed by the FEMA Debris Specialist and found to be reasonable, and generally consistent with rates for similar work in surrounding communities.

The Applicant's monitors initiated all load tickets at the loading site and then finalized the tickets at the disposal site. The finalization included the entry on the load ticket of the percent of the truck that contained eligible debris.

The FEMA Debris Monitor performed periodic inspections during the collection and transport of the debris. The applicant provided a spreadsheet from the period 9/18/07 to 9/24/07 detailing the load ticket information, including date, ticket number, truck number, truck capacity in cubic yards, the percent of the truck that contained debris, and the actual cubic yards being dumped at the disposal site. The FEMA Debris Specialist reviewed the applicant's spreadsheet against a sampling of load tickets and found it to be consistent with the requested quantities. A copy of the spreadsheet and a sampling of load tickets are provided in the file. A summary of daily totals for this reporting period is provided with this PW.

All debris was taken to the Ludlow Waste Processing Facility for reduction (burning). A Department of Environmental Protection permit (Permit No. 17765-004-TA) allowing the Ludlow Waste Processing Facility to burn the debris is on file. Resulting ash was disposed of at the permitted County Landfill.

PREPARED BY: Jeff Stone

TITLE: Project Officer

FEMA Form 90-91A, FEB 06

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008						
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE				
City of Morrisville		00-AAAAA-00	10/1/2007				
PROJECT NAME Debris Removal: 9/19/07 – 9/24/07	PROJECT NAME     PROJECT NO.     LOCATION       Debris Removal: 9/19/07 – 9/24/07     PWS-A01     Citywide (see location map)						
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	20 10 14-10 10 10 10				
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work h	ave insurance and/or is it an ins omments	surable risk? <i>(e.g., buildings, eq</i>	uipment, vehicles, etc.)				
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floor X Yes No Unsure Co	dplain or coastal high hazard ar omments See attached I	ea/or does it have an impact o Flood Map: 240049 0125	on a floodplain or wetland? C				
<ul> <li>3. Is the damaged facility or item of work locat Protected Area?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure Comparison Of State State</li></ul>	ted within or adjacent to a Coas	tal Barrier Resource System l	Jnit or an Otherwise				
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruct	tion change the pre-disaster co	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or				
function) Yes X No Unsure Co	omments		A (20 SC)				
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation	n proposal or would the applicar omments	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?				
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Regionant of the second strain of the sec</li></ul>	ister of Historic Places or the st	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there				
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? Ar omments	e there large tracts of forestla	nd?				
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar omments	nd/or item of work?					
9. Are there any other environmental or contro	versial issues associated with t omments Debris reduction	he damaged facility and/or ite performed by burning.	m of work?				
A Department of Environmental Prote	ection permit (permit no. 17	765-004-TA) is on file all	owing burning at the site.				
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	FTF					



FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06



FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF I FEDERAL EMERGENCY M	HOMELAND SE			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSH	PA ID NC	<u>Sheet</u>	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA- 4001 -DR- ST	PWS-A01	00	)-AAAAA-00	10/1/2007	A
APPLICANT	1	COUNTY			•
City of Morrisville			Union		
DESCRIPTION					
			22001111011		
Typical truck load	ed debris		Cemetery I	Debris - Sample	

FEMA Form 90-91D, FEB 06

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOI FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAN	MELAND SE NAGEMENT	CURITY AGENCY		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSHEE PROJECT NO.	PA ID NC	).	DATE	CATEGORY
<sub>FEMA-</sub> _4001 <sub>-DR-</sub> _ST	PWS-A01	00	00-AAAAA-00 10/1/20		A
APPLICANT		COUNTY			4
City of Morrisville			Union		
Truck Loading C	Operation		Falls Roa	ad Debris - Sampl	le

FEMA Form 90-91D, FEB 06

DEPATRTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY CONTRACT WORK SUMMARY RECORD						PAGE1	_ OF1_	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008	
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO.			DISASTER		
City of Morrisville		00-AAA	AAA-00	PWS-	-A01		4001		
LOCATION/SITE				CATEGORY			PERIOD COVE	RING	
Citywide (see locatio	on map)			A			9/19/2	2007 to 9/24/2007	
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERF	ORMED								
Debris Removal, Redu	ction, and Disposal								
DATES WORKED	CONTRACTOR		BILLING/IN NUMBI	VOICE ER	AN	IOUNT	c	OMMENTS - SCOPE	
9/19/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	3	5,868.01	1,887.79 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
9/20/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	4	1,386.18	2,178.22 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
9/21/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	39	9,043.48	2,054.92 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
9/22/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	4	1,659.21	2,192.59 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
9/23/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	38	8,387.60	2,020.40 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
9/24/2007	Trade Construction Compa	any	Load Tickets o	on File	34	4,430.28	1,812.12 C ticket sum	CY as measured on load mary (\$19/CY)	
							Total 1	2,146 CY	
	GRAND TOTAL 230,774.76								
I CERTIFY THAT	THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS OB	TAINED FRO	M PAYROLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES, (	OR OTHER [	DOCUMENTS T	HAT ARE AVAILA	ABLE FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED			TITLE					DATE	
Kevin Harris			Supe	ervisor				9/25/2007	
FEMA Form 90-126, FEB 06 PREVIOUS EDITION OBSOLETE									

0.5. DEPARTIVIENT OF HOMELAND SECORT
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form.	reporting bu led by person of the collec ty, Federal E 0017). You a <b>NOTE: Do</b>	rden for this ns to generati tion, includin mergency M ire not requir <b>not send you</b>	PAPERWORK form is estimated to ave e, maintain, disclose, or ng suggestions for reduc anagement Agency, 500 ed to respond to this co ir completed question	BURDE erage 90 to provide ting the b 0 C Stree billection naire to t	EN DISCLOSUI minutes per responde de information to upurden to: Information t, SW, Washington of information unl this address.	<b>RE NOTIO</b> nse. Burden is. You ma tion Collect n, DC 2047 ess a valid	CE 1 means the y send com ions Manag 2, Paperwor OMB numb	time men geme ck Re er af	e, effort and fi ts regarding th nt, U.S. Depa eduction Proje opears in the u	nancial ne burde rtment o ect (OM opper rig	resources en estimate or any of Homeland B Control Number ght corner of this
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE		(	CATEG	ORY
FEMA-	4002 -	DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS-B02	B02 000-BBBBB-00			5/	5/15/2007		В	
DAMA	GED FACILI	ΓY					WORK	CON	IPLETE AS O	F	
Tem	porary En	nergency	Berm		-		5/1	/07		100	%
APPLIC City	cant of Woodb	ury Depar	tment of Public W	orks	COUNTY Kinne	r					
		- () ()						1	ATITUDE	LC	DNGITUDE
Dowi	ntown are		Street) and Natur	re Park					47.97126		-122.3033
On F Engi vicin <i>Refe</i>	Friday, Ap neers pre ity of the ( <i>r to the Co</i>	ril 4, 2007 dicting the City of Wo ntinuation S	, the City of Wood Indian River wou odbury by April 7th Sheet for further disc	bury re ld reac h due t cussion.	ceived a flood h a flood crest o the heavy ra	weather of 3.5 ft in condit	alert fror above the	n th e 1(	e US Arm <u>y</u> 00-year flo	/ Corp od ele	os of vation in the
SCOPI In an 200-f each Site A 200 down sand <i>Refe</i>	SCOPE OF WORK In anticipation of the predicted flooding, the City constructed two sandbag berms to protect adjacent properties from flooding; 200-ft. long near Mission Street in the downtown area (Site 1) and 50-ft. long in the vicinity of Nature Park (Site 2). Details of each site are provided below and on the continuation sheets. All work at both sites is complete at this time. <b>Site 1: Downtown Area, near Mission Street</b> A 200-FT long x 4-FT high sandbag berm was constructed by city forces to prevent flooding of the improved property within the downtown area near Mission Street. Approximately 850 sandbags (24 in x 18 in x 6 in each) were used as well as 45 CY of sand to construct the temporary emergency berm on Saturday, April 5, 2003. <i>Befer to the Continuation Sheet for further discussion</i>										
Does Specia Is the	the Scope o al Consider re insurance	of Work cha ations issue e coverage o	nge the pre-disaster of s included? X Y on this facility? X	condition (es (es	ns at the site?	U Yes Hazard N	s <u>x</u> Aitigation	] N prop	o oosal include	d? 🗙	Yes 🗖 No
					PROJECT CO	ST					
ITEM	CODE		NARRATI	VE		QUANT	TY/UNIT	Ŭ	JNIT PRICE		COST
		Work Co	ompleted								
1	9999	Tempor	ary Contract Labor	r – San	dbagging	1 / L	S	\$	1,600.00	\$	1,600.00
2	9007	Laborer	Overtime – Sandb	bagging	)	1 / L	S	\$	490.88	\$	490.88
3	9008	Equipme	ent – Dump Truck,	Pickup	)	1 / L	S	\$	205.20	\$	205.20
4	9009	Material	– Sand and Sand	bags		1/L	S	\$	361.00	\$	361.00
								то	TAL COST	\$	2,657.08
Jim	RED BY Smith		Pr	oject C	Officer		SIGNATU	RE			
APPLIC	CANT REP.		TITLE	-			SIGNATU	RE			

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISASTER	DATE	CATEGORY		
FEMA-4002 -DR-ST	PWS-B02	000-BBBBB-00	6/1/2007	В
APPLICANT		COUNTY		
City of Woodbury Depa	artment of Public Works	Kinner		

### DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

During past similar events, the downtown area of the City of Woodbury and nearby areas have been inundated by 2 to 3 ft. of floodwater from the Indian River. By April 7th, the flood crest occurred as predicted and receded by April 9th. Kinner County was declared a disaster area on April 11th. The disaster incident period was designated from April 4th, when the alert was issued, through April 10th.

Lat/Long taken at near Site 1 at the intersection of Mission Street and Lincoln Avenue.

### SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

### Site 1: Downtown Area, near Mission Street (cont.)

The berm was built to a height to allow at least 1-FT of freeboard at the maximum predicted flood level.

The temporary emergency sandbag berm was constructed as an emergency protective measure to protect improved property and is, therefore, eligible per 44 CFR Part 206.225(a).

Refer to the attached Force Account Summary Sheets for labor, equipment and materials used, and applicant Fringe Benefit Calculation. Force account labor was used to deliver all materials and to construct the berm (hand work and equipment operation). Also see discussion below regarding temporary employees. City dump truck and pickup trucks were used to construct the berm and to transport workers. Materials were provided from in-stock supplies.

The applicant stated that they hired temporary laborers to assist force account workers in constructing the berm because there was not enough time to organize and mobilize volunteers. The straight time and overtime paid to the temporary laborers are eligible because they were hired specifically for disaster-related work and their costs were not previously budgeted by the applicant (FEMA R&R Policy No. 9525.7 Section 7. C & F). The temporary laborers were hired through "The Temporary Worker Agency" in accordance with the applicant's hiring policies. The hourly rates charged were determined to be reasonable for the type of work accomplished based on documentation of past hiring of temporary laborers by the applicant. See attached invoice.

A 50% sampling of the applicants force account records (time cards, equipment cards, inventory lists) was completed. The records were found to be accurate, complete and appropriately documented for the work claimed, including the location of and the type of work completed. The City's Fringe Benefit Rates and Overtime Policy were reviewed and found to be applied appropriately to the costs claimed for the City's force account labor. Work was performed on a Saturday such that the employees had already worked their 40-hour weeks.

The sandbag berm is still in place. The removal of the berm is addressed under the PW 6 (Applicant Project Number 13) for debris removal.

Continued on next sheet

PREPARED BY: Jim Smith

TITLE: Project Officer

FEMA Form 90-91A, FEB 06

PROJECT WORKSHEE	nuation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA- 4002 -DR- ST	PWS-B02	000-BBBBB-00	6/1/2007	В
APPLICANT		COUNTY		
City of Woodbury Depa	artment of Public Works	Kinner		

### SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

### Hazard Mitigation Proposal

The applicant proposed installing a "C-Channel" along the Downtown riverside sidewalk where the temporary emergency sandbag berm was constructed. Floodwall panels would be secured within the "C-channel" whenever there was a threat of a flood. The applicant states that this would be less expensive than placing and removing temporary emergency sandbag berms in future events. Under the Public Assistance Program, hazard mitigation measures can only be approved for eligible damaged facilities (permanent work), not emergency work (44CFR Part 206.226(d)). Because the temporary emergency sandbag berm is considered emergency protective measures, hazard mitigation funding cannot be approved. It is suggested that the applicant present this project to the State Hazard Mitigation Officer for consideration under the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program authorized by Section 404 of the Stafford Act.

#### Site 2: City Nature Park Area

In anticipation of the expected flood crest, the City also constructed a temporary emergency sandbag berm along the Indian River at the City's Nature Park area as a pre-flood emergency protection measure. A 50-FT long x 4-FT high sandbag berm was constructed. Approximately 200 sandbags (24-in x 18-in x 6-in - each) were used as well as 11 CY of sand. Seven shovels and three rakes were broken while completing the work and had to be replaced.

The applicant claimed costs associated with the construction of the berm at this location to be \$896.18 (force account labor to deliver materials and construct berm, 18 hours, \$235.48; temporary labor, \$400; equipment dump trucks and pickup trucks, 14 hours \$111.20; sandbags, sand, replace broken equipment, \$149.50). Detailed cost information is not provided in this PW as the work is found to be ineligible, see below, but the information was reviewed, found to be reasonable, and is available from the applicant.

This section of Nature Park is currently undeveloped but construction of several park facilities (tennis courts, basketball court, picnic area) was planned to begin on April 15th. Only minor clearing of vegetation had begun at this site prior to the floods. The City constructed the berm to prevent erosion and saturation of the site, for fear the resulting damage would delay the scheduled construction of the proposed park facilities.

The work to construct this berm is found to be ineligible for Public Assistance funding. Emergency protective measures are only eligible when constructed to protect improved property as per 44 CFR Part 206.225(a). At the time of the flood, there was no public use of the area and there were no constructed improvements on the property. This was confirmed by a site visit and a meeting with the State Applicant Liaison and the City Public Works Director.

PREPARED BY: Jim Smith

TITLE: Project Officer

FEMA Form 90-91A, FEB 06

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	T OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY SIDERATIONS QUESTIONS	(	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE
City of Woodbury Department of Pub	lic Works	000-BBBBB-00	6/1/2007
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION	
Temporary Emergency Berm	PWS-B02	Mission St. and Natu	ıre Park
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work h	nave insurance and/or is it an ins comments	surable risk? <i>(</i> e.g., <i>buildings, eq</i>	uipment, vehicles, etc.)
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo	dplain or coastal high hazard ar comments	ea/or does it have an impact o	on a floodplain or wetland?
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work loca	ted within or adjacent to a Coas	tal Barrier Resource System l	Jnit or an Otherwise
Protected Area?	comments		
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruct	tion change the pre-disaster co	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or
function) Yes X No Unsure C	comments		
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation	n proposal or would the applica	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?
X Yes No Unsure C	comments City proposed in	nstalling a "C Channel" ar	nd purchasing panels
to function as flood walls. Cat B wo	ork not eligible for Hazard N	litigation - see discussion	in Scope of Work.
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Reg other, similar buildings near the site?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	ister of Historic Places or the st comments	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? An comments Sandbagging cor	re there large tracts of forestla nducted adjacent to Indiar	nd? 1 River.
However, the River was undisturbed	during this effort. All work	performed within maintair	ned City right-of-way.
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar comments	nd/or item of work?	
9. Are there any other environmental or contro Yes X No Unsure C	oversial issues associated with t comments	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	LETE	



FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06



	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAN	IELAND SECU AGEMENT AC	JRITY SENCY		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.		DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4002 -DR-ST	PWS-B02		BBBBB-00	6/1/2007	В
City of Woodbury Depa	artment of Public Works		Kinner		
	_				
Photo 1 - Mission S	Street		Photo 2 - M	ission Street	

FEMA Form 90-91D, FEB 06

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM	IELAND SEC AGEMENT A			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	oneet	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4002_DR-ST	PWS-B02	000	)-BBBBB-00	6/1/2007	В
APPLICANT		COUNTY			•
City of Woodbury Depa	artment of Public Works	-	Kinner		
			DESCRIPTION	ΡΗΟΤΟ	
Photo 3 - Nature	Park				

FEMA Form 90-91D, FEB 06



100896

INVOICE	_
---------	---

	tomor			
Cus	lomer			
Name	City of Woodbury - P	ublic Works Dep	partment	
Address	PO Box 233			
City	Woodbury	State ST	ZIP 08096	
Phone	1-888-002-00000			

Woodbury, ST 08096 1-888-000-000 fax 1-888-001-0000

 \_\_\_\_\_
 Form Design Date
 11/13/2006

 \_\_\_\_\_
 Invoice Date
 April 12, 2007

 \_\_\_\_\_
 Rep
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 \_\_\_\_\_
 FOB
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

Qty	Description		Unit Price	TOTAL
1	Laborers - Downtown Flood Protection Berm: 20 laborer @ \$10/hr for 8 hrs on 4/5/07		\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00
1	Laborers - City Nature Park Flood Protection Berm: 5 laborers @ \$10/hr for 8 hrs on 4/5/07		\$400.00	\$400.00
	See attached individual time sheets completed as p the direction of the City.	er		
	PAID: CITY CHECK 298 dated 4/15/07			
— D/	nument Detaile	<u>.</u>	Subtotal	\$2,000.00
	Cooh	Snipp	Ing & Handling	\$0.00
	Check	Taxes	Sidle	
	Credit Card		TOTAL	\$2,000.00
Name				
CC #		Off	ice Use Only	
	Expires		-	

FEL	PAGE 1	OF 1	O.M.B. No Expires Ot	). 1660-0017 ober 31, 2008							
APPLICANT City of Woodbury Department of Public Works PA ID NO. PROJECT NO. PWS-E							-B02 DISASTER FEMA 4002-DR-ST				
LOCATION/SITE Downtown area (Mission Stre	et) and N	Nature Park			CATEGORY B			PERIOD COVERI 4/5/2007	<sup>NG</sup> to 4/5/2007		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Temporary Emergency Sandbagging along Indian River near Mission Street in Downtown Area.											
NAME		DATES AND HOUR	WORKED	EACH WEEK			COSTS				
JOB TITLE	DATE			4/5	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	BENEFIT RATE/HR	TOTAL HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURLY RATE		
NAME Davey Crocker	REG.				0						
JOB TITLE Equipment Operator I	О. Т.			8	8	\$ 12.50	\$ 1.08	\$ 13.58	\$ 108.64		
NAME Nancy Nunn	REG.				0						
JOB TITLE Supervisor	О. Т.			8	8	\$ 14.00	\$ 1.20	\$ 15.20	\$ 121.60		
NAME Ozzie Opal	REG.				0						
JOB TITLE Laborer – fill sandbags	О. Т.			8	8	\$ 10.00	\$ 0.86	\$ 10.86	\$ 86.88		
NAME Debra Sykes	REG.				0						
JOB TITLE Laborer – fill sandbags	О. Т.			8	8	\$ 10.00	\$ 0.86	\$ 10.86	\$ 86.88		
Johnny Oman	REG.				0						
<sup>JOB</sup> Laborer – fill sandbags	О. Т.			8	8	\$ 10.00	\$ 0.86	\$ 10.86	\$ 86.88		
NAME	REG.										
JOB TITLE	О. Т.										
		тс	TAL COST	FOR FORCE AC	COUNT LABOR REGU	ILAR TIME	-		\$		
		T	DTAL COS	T FOR FORCE AC	COUNT LABOR OVE	RTIME	-		<b>\$</b> 490.88		
I CERTIFY THAT THE A	BOVE INFO	RMATION WAS OBT	AINED FRO	M PAYROLL RECO	RDS, INVOICES, OR OTH	IER DOCUMENT	IS THAT ARE A	VAILABLE FOR AUD	ЛТ.		
CERTIFIED John Adams			Т	ITLE Superv	isor, DPW			DATE 5/15/2007			
FEMA Form 90-123, FEB 06		EMA Form 90-123, FEB 06 PREVIOUS EDTION OBSOLETE									

		_			
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAN FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGE APPLICANT'S BENEFITS CALCUL	OF	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008			
APPLICANT City of Woodbury Department of Pu		PA ID NO. 000-BBBBB-00			
DISASTER FEMA 4002-DR-ST		PROJECT NO.	WS-B02		
FRINGE BENEFITS (by %)	REGULAR TI	ME		OVERTIME	
HOLIDAYS	2.00%			0.00%	
VACATION LEAVE	4.00%			0.00%	
SICK LEAVE	4.00%			0.00\$	
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.20%			6.20%	
MEDICARE	1.45%			1.45%	
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.50%			0.50%	
WORKER'S COMP.	0.50%			0.50%	
RETIREMENT	4.00%	0.00%			
HEALTH BENEFITS	3.00%	0.00%			
LIFE INS. BENEFITS	1.00%		0.00%		
OTHER					
TOTAL in % of annual salary	26.65%			8.65%	
As per State law, the City is required to for overtime. See the City's Human Res	pay the noted rates for sources Policy Manual,	unemployment Section 98.123	and worke 4.	r's compensation	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE WAS	TRANSCRIBED FROM PAYRC	OLL RECORDS OR O		IENTS WHICH ARE AVAILABLE	
CERTIFIED BY Debbie Dare	Assistant City F	inancial Officer		DATE 5/15/2007	
FEMA Form 90-128, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDTIO	N OBSOLETE			

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FORCE ACCOUNT EQUIPMENT SUMMARY RECORD PAGE OF										- Ex	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008			
APPLICANT PA ID NO. PROJECT NO.									DISASTER					
City of Woodbury Department of Public W	Vorks	000-В	BBBB-00			PWS	-B02				FEMA 4	4002	DR-ST	
LOCATION/SITE Downtown area (Mission Street) and Natur	re Park			C.	ATEGOR	Y B			PE	ERIOD CO 4	vering /5/2007	to 4	/5/2007	
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED														
Temporary Emergency Sandbagging along Indian River near Mission Street in Downtown Area.														
TYPE OF EQUIPMENT		OPERA	TOR'S		DATE	SAND	IOURS	JSED EACH	DAY				COSTS	
INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE	EQUIPMENT CODE NUMBER	NAI	ME	DATE 4/5					TOTAL HOURS	EQI	JIPMENT RATE	TOTAL COST		
<b>10 CY Dump Truck, City # 1237</b> Delivered sand, sandbags from DPW yard	8721	Crock	ker	HOURS					8		8	\$	24.00	\$192.00
<b>0.5 TN pickup truck, City #1532</b> Transport laborers*	8800	Nunn		HOURS					20		20	\$	0.33	\$ 6.60
0.5 TN pickup truck, City #1543 Transport laborers*	8800	Opal		HOURS	í.				20		20	\$	0.33	\$ 6.60
				HOURS	i.									
*Since trucks were used to transport staff, used FEMA Cost Code 8806 rather				HOURS										
than full applicant rate, intended for heavier use.				HOURS	;									
				HOURS										
				C	GRAND	ΤΟΤΑ	LS			→	48			205.20
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMAT	ION WAS OBTA	INED FROM F	PAYROLL REC	ORDS	, INVOICE	ES, OR (	OTHER [	DOCUMENT	S THAT	FARE AV	AILABLE F	OR A	UDIT.	
CERTIFIED John Adams	CERTIFIED     TITLE     DATE       John Adams     Supervisor, DPW     5/15/2007									2007				
FEMA Form 90-127, FEB 06			PREVIOUS E			ETE								

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MATERIALS SUMMARY RECORD PAGE 1 OF 1									O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008	
APPLICANT City of Woodbury Department of Public Works 000-BBBBB-00					PROJECT NO. DISASTER PWS-B02 FEMA 4002-DR-ST					
LOCATION/SITE Downtown area (Mission Stree	t) and Nature Park			CATEGORY	В	PI	ERIOD COVERI 4/5/	NG 2007 to 4/5/20	007	
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Temporary Emergency Sand	DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Temporary Emergency Sandbagging along Indian River near Mission Street in Downtown Area.									
VENDOR	DESCRIP	TION	QUAN.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL PRICE	DATE PURCHASI	ED DATE	INFO <u>(CHEC</u> INVOICE	FROM <u>K ONE)</u> STOCK	
DPW Compound	Sand to fill san	dbags, CY	45	\$ 5.00	\$ 225.00	1/17/20	07 4/5/20	07	x	
DPW Compound	Sandbags, eac	ch	850	\$ 0.16	\$ 136.00	1/17/20	07 4/5/20	07	x	
		GRAND TO	TAL		\$361.00					
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOV	E INFORMATION WAS OF		OLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES,	OR OTHER DOCU	MENTS THA	T ARE AVAILAE	LE FOR AUDIT.		
CERTIFIED John Adams		TITLE	Supervisor	, DPW				DATE 5/15/20	007	
FEMA Form 90-124, FEB 06		PREVIOUS E	DTION OBS	DLETE						

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form.	PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE vubic reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 90 minutes per response. Burden means the time, effort and financial resources xpended by persons to generate, maintain, disclose, or to provide information to us. You may send comments regarding the burden estimate or any spect of the collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Information Collections Management, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB Control Number 660-0017). You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB number appears in the upper right corner of this form. NOTE: Do not send your completed questionnaire to this address.											
DISAS	TER		PROJECT N	0.	PA ID NO.		DATE	9	CATE	GORY		
FEMA-	4003 -	DR- ST	PWS	S-C03	000-CCC	C-00	4/	16/2007	С	;		
DAMA	GED FACILI	<u> </u>					WORK	COMPLETE AS C	DF			
CR 3	32, Smith	Road and	Smith Rui	n Embankment	S		4/1	6/07		6%		
APPLIC	CANT				COUNTY							
Rochester Co. Highway Department Rochester												
	FION							LATITUDE	1	LONGITUDE		
Smith	n Road, IV	IP 0.70 to r	VIP 2.70					39.62367	,	-81.32946		
Heave their road	vy rains a banks ca way servi er to the C	nd snow m using dama ng several	elt resultin age to 14 communi	ng from the late sections of the ties in Rochest <i>r further discu</i> s	e winter storms adjacent Smi er County. ssion.	s caused th Road.	Smith Ru Smith R	un and its trib oad is a rural	utarie , grav	es to overflow vel-surfaced		
To re grave (Tas comp to be FEM <i>Refe</i> Does	To restore Smith Road and its embankment to its pre-disaster condition, it is necessary to replace the eroded gravel surface (Task 1, work complete), repair the eroded embankment (Task 2), reconstruct the two failed slopes (Task 3), and clean and reshape the roadway drainage ditches (Task 4), as described further below. For completed work, actual costs presented. All work to be performed by force account labor and equipment. For work to be completed, estimate based on Highway Department Basic Expense Standard List (HWF 119, see attached), FEMA Cost Code, or R.S. Means unit prices, as indicated.											
Specia Is the	al Consider re insuranco	ations issues e coverage oi	included? n this facili	X Yes ty? Yes	No No	Hazard N	/litigation p	proposal include	ed?	Yes X No		
					PROJECT CO	ST						
ITEM	CODE		P	IARRATI∨E		QUANTI	TY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE		COST		
		Work Co	mpleted	(Task 1):								
1	9007	Labor (see	e attached	summary)		1/L	S	\$1,774.50	)	\$1,774.50		
2	9008	Equipmen	it (see atta	ached summary	y)	1/L	.S	\$1,681.00	)	\$1,681.00		
<u>.</u> 3	9009	iviateriais (	(see attac	ned summary)		1/L	.5	\$1,118.00	)	\$1,118.00		
		Work to b	e Compl	eted								
4	9999	Excavate/trim eroded embankment areas - T2 14 / CY \$2.51 \$35.14								\$35.14		
5	9999	Place sele	Place select borrow fill - T2         141 / CY         \$28.90         \$4,074.90									
6 7	3328	Install piling and lagging to retain slope - T3 100 / LF \$400.00 \$40,000.00								\$40,000.00		
/ 8	9999	Flagging f	or Mainte	Depris from dite		001		້ (1.61 ຊາງ ຂະ	.	\$2 161 20		
-0	3333	i iayyiriy i			012,0,4	907		φΖΖ.00	,	ψ2,104.00		
						1		TOTAL COST		\$52,658.34		
PREPA	RED BY		ĺ		officer		SIGNATU	RE				
APPLIC	CANT REP.			TITLE			SIGNATUR	RE				

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008						
DISASTER	DISASTER PROJECT NO. PA ID NO. DATE							
<sub>FEMA-</sub> 4003 <sub>-DR-</sub> ST	PWS-C03	000-CCCCC-00	4/16/2007	С				
APPLICANT		-						
Rochester Co. Highwa	y Department	Rochester						

### DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

The roadway is 14-FT wide with varying shoulder widths and has a compacted gravel surface approximately 2-in thick over a compacted base (Refer to Figure 1). Smith Road is not a Federal-Aid road.

Latitude and Longitude readings above refer to MP 0.0

Damage to Smith Road occurred at numerous locations between Milepost (MP) 0.7 and 2.7. Damages included erosion of the gravel surface (Task 1), localized embankment erosion (Task 2), and embankment slope failures at two locations (Task 3). Much of the eroded aggregate was deposited within the roadway drainage ditch (Task 4).

Task 1 (MP 0.7 to MP 2.7) - Loss of roadway aggregate surface - The storm flow eroded the aggregate surface of the roadway. The loss of roadway surface aggregate extended over the full length cited and occurred in both large and small, scattered areas. The estimated quantity of aggregate is 9700 SF (See attached summary "Task 1") x 2" thick (0.17 ft) (Quantity = 9700 SF x 0.17 FT = 1649 CF / 27 = 61 CY). Estimated weight = 61 CY x 1.4 Ton/CY = 86 Tons.

**Task 2 (MP 1.381) - Embankment erosion -** Beginning at the MP 1.381, the roadway embankment was eroded for a distance of 380 LF over an average section area of 3-FT wide x 3-FT deep (Quantity = 380 LF x 3-FT x 3-FT = 3420 CF / 27 = 127 CY). The eroded embankment surface is irregular and will require trimming prior to replacement of material. See Scope of Work for additional quantity estimate.

**Task 3 (MP 1.634 and MP 2.105) - Embankment failure -** Embankment failure within the natural slopes supporting the roadway and its shoulder occurred at two locations (as indicated) along the length of the roadway. Beginning at the mileposts indicated, the slope failure lengths were 40-FT and 60-FT respectively. The estimated embankment height is 15 FT and depth of failure surface is, on average, 3 FT. Volume of eroded material = (40-FT + 60-FT) x 15 x 3-FT = 4500 CF = 167 CY.

Task 4 (MP 0.7 to MP 2.7) - Blockage of ditches - Aggregate mixed with other disaster debris was deposited within approximately 1000 LF of the drainage ditch along the damaged roadway section, as well as in the adjacent unmaintained areas. Based on a review of the applicant's maintenance records, it was determined that the ditches had been cleaned within the last two months, such that the present condition is determined to be a result of the disaster. The resulting condition did not pose an immediate threat to the adjacent property, but its removal from within the ditches is determined necessary to restore their drainage function. Removal of aggregate deposited in the adjacent unmaintained areas is not eligible as it does not impact the drainage function of the ditches. Due to the resulting mixed-nature of the aggregate, it is determined that the aggregate cannot be reused for road surface restoration.

No Hazard Mitigation opportunities have been identified.

PREPARED BY: Henry Jones

TITLE: Project Officer

FEMA Form 90-91A, FEB 06
PROJECT WOR	KSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF FEDERAL EMERGENCY T - Damage Descrip	HOMELAND SECURITY MANAGEMENT AGENCY tion and Scope of Work	Continuation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008							
DISASTER		PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY							
FEMA- 4003 -DR-	ST	PWS-C03	000-CCCC-	00 4/16/200	)7 C							
APPLICANT			COUNTY									
Rochester Co. Highway Department Rochester												
SCOPE OF W	SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)											
Refer to dama	age de	scriptions and dimer	nsions for calculations of	of quantities.								
Work Comple	ete											
Task 1 (MP 0 from MP 0.7 to records (inclu- applicant and	o MP 2 o MP 2 ding fr summ	<b>IP 2.7) -</b> Replace a 2.7, see attachment inge benefit rates), rharized on attached	nd compact eroded sur "TAST 1" for specif loc materials (aggregate) ir summary sheets. Work	face aggregate on t ations and dimension voices and equipm was completed fro	the roadway (86 tons) ons. Applicant labor ent records reviewed with om 3/13 to 3/19.							
Work to be C	comple	eted										
<b>Task 2 (Smith Run at MP 1.381)</b> - Place select borrow fill in the eroded embankment area to fill and stabilize the slope (127 CY): Trim the irregular, eroded surface prior to placing fill material (approximate volume = $380 \text{ LF X 1-FT x 1-FT} = 190 \text{ CF} / 27 = 14 \text{ CY}$ ). Total volume of fill = $127 + 14 = 141 \text{ CY}$ . Unit prices used in estimate based on R.S. Means.												
Task 3 (MP 1.634 and MP 2.105) - The natural slope supporting the roadway and the shoulder suffered localized failures due to saturated conditions caused by the high water levels in Smith Run and significant roadway runoff. The natural slopes exist on a 1 horizontal to 1 vertical slope, and cannot be restored to that configuration with man placed soils. Sufficient space is not available between Smith Run and the edge of the roadway to flatten the existing slide. Accordingly, the embankment is to be repaired using soldier piles and lagging. Unit price used in estimate based on FEMA cost code, and confirmed with applicant to be consistent with Highway Department costs. Cost includes minor repairs and regrading to roadway surface after soldier pile wall is installed.												

**Task 4 (MP 0.7 to MP 2.7) -** Remove the accumulated stone aggregate and mixed debris from within the sections of debris, for a total of 1,000 LF. Unit price used in estimate based on HWF 119, Act. 287.

Additional Scope Effort - Flagging is necessary during the conduct of all roadway work. Total duration of work estimated at 96 hours. Unit price used in estimate based on HWF 119, Act. 813.

PREPARED BY: Henry Jones

TITLE: Project Officer

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	T OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENC' SIDERATIONS QUESTIONS	Ý	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	DATE
Rochester Co, Highway Departmen	t	000-000-000	4/16/2007
			4/10/2001
CR 32 Smith Road and Smith	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION	
Run Embankments	PWS-C03	Smith Road MP (	0.70 to MP 2.70
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	
1. Does the damaged facility of item of work h	ave insurance and/or is it an in omments	Surable fisk? (e.g., buildings, eq	uipment, vehicles, etc.)
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo	dplain or coastal high hazard a	rea/or does it have an impact o	on a floodplain or wetland?
X Yes No Unsure C	comments Local stream floo	oding area not identified of	n FIRM map no. 4753:
see attached Elooding caused by ur	nusual storm and obstructe	d storm water ditches and	d culverts
		שמופו שונרובא מוונ	
deter alle aver average proposition average of a constraint of the second s	the biologies and the second	an democratic of a structure areas and	et anto a weather as
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work local	ted within or adjacent to a Coas	stal Barrier Resource System U	Jnit or an Otherwise
Protected Area?			
	omments		
A Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruct	tion change the pre-disaster co	ndition? (e.a. footprint material	location canacity use or
function)	tion change the pre-disaster co	indition (e.g., iooipinit, material,	location, capacity, use of
X Yes No Unsure C	comments		
Install soldier piles and lagging to st	tabilize slope failures		
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation Yes X No Unsure C	n proposal or would the applica comments	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?
6 Is the damaged facility on the National Peg	ister of Historic Places or the st	tate historic listing? le it older t	han 50 years? Are there
other, similar buildings near the site?		ate motorio noting: 15 it older t	nan oo youro: mo more
∏Yes X No ☐ Unsure C	comments		
7 Are there any pricting or undisturbed areas	on or near the project cite? A	re there large tracts of forestla	nd2
Yes X No Unsure C	con, or near, the project site? A comments	re mere large tracts of lorestia	nu :
	on nono.		
	a and all all management	NUM NAVA MA VIENN	
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility a	nd/or item of work?	
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		
Q Are there any other any increased as a set	worsial issues associated with	the demograd facility and the iter	m of work?
	oversial issues associated with t	the damaged facility and/of ite	
	ommenta		
FEMA Form 90-120 FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	IFTE	



Rochester Co. Highway Department CR 32, Smith Road and Smith Run Embankments PWS-C03 FEMA 4003-DR-ST Figure 1 Henry Jones Project Officer







	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM	MELAND SE			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO	),	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA- <u>4003</u> -DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS-C03	000	0-CCCC-00	4/16/2007	C
APPLICANT Rochester Co. Highwa	v Department	COUNTY	Rochester		
Photo 1 - Loss of C	aravei Covering			indankment Ero	sion

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF FEDERAL EMERGENCY N	HOMELAND SI	ECURITY AGENCY		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008					
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSP	PA ID N	0.	DATE	CATEGORY					
сема 4003 рр ST	PWS-C03	00	0-CCCCC-00	4/16/2007	С					
APPLICANT		COUNTY	(							
Rochester Co Highwa	av Department	and a set of	Rochester							
				ΡΗΟΤΟ	)					
Photo 3 - Emba	nkment Failure									

FE FOR	DEPARTIN DERAL EM	IERGENC	HOM Y MA BOR	IELANI Anage <b>Sum</b>	D SECU EMENT	JRITY AGEN <b>( REC</b>	CY DRD		PAGE	OF	1	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires Otober 31, 2008				
APPLICANT Rochester Co. Highway [	Departme	nt			PA ID 0	NO. 00-C(	CCCC-00	PROJECT NO. PV	VS-C03			DIS	ASTER FEMA 4	003 <sup>.</sup>	-DR-ST	
LOCATION/SITE Smith Road, MP 0.70 to I	MP 2.7							CATEGORY C					PERIOD COVERING 3/13/2007 to 3/19/2007			
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORME Task 1 – Repair ro	D Dadway aq	ggregate	sur	face												
NAME		DATES AN	о но	URSW	ORKED	EACH	WEEK			С	OSTS					
JOB TITLE	DATE	3/1	3	3/17	3/18	3/19		TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	BE RA	NEFIT TE/HR		TOTAL HOURLY RATE		TOTAL COSTS	
NAME John Smith	REG.	16	;	8	8	8		40	\$ 20.00	\$	1.00	\$	21.00	\$	840.00	
JOB TITLE Equipment Operator	О. Т.				1	1		2	\$ 30.00	\$	1.50	\$	31.50	\$	63.00	
NAME Jim Jones	REG.	1	6	8	8	8		40	\$ 20.00	\$	1.00	\$	21.00	\$	840.00	
JOB TITLE Highway Laborer	way Laborer О. т.					1		1	\$ 30.00	\$	1.50	\$	31.50	\$	31.50	
NAME	REG.															
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											~				
NAME	REG.															
JOB TITLE	О. Т.															
NAME	REG.															
JOB TITLE	О. Т.					-										
NAME	REG.															
JOB TITLE	О. Т.															
TOTAL COST FOR FORCE ACCOUNT LABOR REGULAR TIME										\$	1,680.00					
				тот	AL CO	ST FOR	R FORCE ACC	OUNT LABOR OVER	RTIME		-			\$	94.50	
I CERTIFY THAT THE A	BOVE INFO	RMATION	WAS	OBTAI	NED FR		ROLL RECORD	S, INVOICES, OR OTH		STHA	T ARE A	AILA	BLE FOR AUD	Т.		
CERTIFIED TITLE John Adams St							Supervis	or				DATI	E 3/20/2007			
FEMA Form 90-123, FEB 06						PR	VIOUS EDTION	OBSOLETE								

DE FEDER <b>N</b>	PARTMENT OF HOMEL RAL EMERGENCY MAN	AND SECURITY AGEMENT AGENC <sup>\</sup> <b>RY RECORD</b>	Y			PAGE	<u> </u>	F	E	O.M.B. No. 166 Expires October	0-0017 31, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO.			D	DISASTER			
Rochester Co. Highway Depart	ment	000-CCCC	C-00	PW	/S-C03			FE	EMA 4	4003-DR-ST	•
LOCATION/SITE Smith Road, MP 0.70 to MP 2.7	7			CATEGORY	С		F	PERIOD COV	ERING 13/20	07 to 3/19/2	007
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED											
Task 1 – Repair roadway	y aggregate surface										
VENDOR	DESCRIP	QUAN.	UNIT PRICE	TOTA PRICE	L	DATE PURCHAS	DAT SED USE	E D	INFO F <u>(CHECK</u> INVOICE	ROM (ONE) STOCK	
Hwy Dept Supply Yard	Aggregate, Roadway	86	\$ 13.00	\$ 1,11	8.00	N/A	3/13/2	2007		x	
		GRAND TO	TAL	ļ	\$1,118.	00					
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOV	E INFORMATION WAS OF	TAINED FROM PAYR	OLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES,	OR OTHER	DOCUM	IENTS THA	AT ARE AVAI	ABLE	FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED John Adams		TITLE	Super	visor					DA 3	TE 3/20/2007	
FEMA Form 90-124, FEB 06		PREVIOUS EI		DLETE							

CCCC-00	CAT	DJECT N F EGORY	10. PWS-	C03		DISASTER				
	CAT	F EGORY	PWS-	C03						
	CAT	EGORY	_	000		F	EMA 4	003-DR-ST		
			<i>,</i>			PERIOD COV	/ERING			
	•		С			3/	3/13/2007 to 3/19/2007			
						•				
		DATES	AND	IOURS	USED EACH	AY		COSTS		
ATOR'S AME D.	DATE	3/13	3/17	3/18	3/19		TOTAL HOURS	EQUIPMENT RATE	TOTAL COST	
nith HC	IOURS	16	8	9	9		42	\$ 20.50	\$861.00	
nes HC	IOURS	16	8	8	0		41	\$ 20.00	\$820.00	
нс	IOURS									
нс	IOURS									
нс	IOURS									
н	IOURS									
нс	IOURS									
	GF	RAND	ΤΟΤΑ	LS	1	$\rightarrow$	83		\$1,681.00	
PAYROLL RECOR	DRDS, IN	VVOICE	S, OR	OTHER	DOCUMENTS	THAT ARE AVA		OR AUDIT.		
CERTIFIED     TITLE     DAT       John Adams     Supervisor     DAT							DATE 3/20/2007			
	TOR'S VE ith ith ies	TOR'S VE DATE DATE ith HOURS NeS HOURS HOURS HOURS HOURS CONTINUE SUPERVISOR	TOR'S VIE DATES DATE 3/13 DATE 3/13 DATE 3/13 HOURS 16 HOURS 16 HOURS 16 HOURS 16 HOURS 10 HOURS	DATE SANDE         UATE       3/13       3/17         ith       HOURS       16       8         ies       HOURS       16       8         hes       HOURS       16       8         hes       HOURS       16       8         hes       HOURS       16       8         hes       HOURS       16       8         heours       16       8       16         heours       16       8       16         heours       16       8       16         heours       16       8       16         Hours       16       8       16         Hours       16       16       16         Payroll RECORDS, INVOICES, OR       16       16         TITLE       Supervisor       16	DATE JATE SAND HOURS         DATE       3/13       3/17       3/18         ith       HOURS       16       8       9         ies       HOURS       16       8       8         hes       HOURS       16       8       8         hes       HOURS       16       8       8         hes       HOURS       16       8       8         heours       16       8       8       16         heours       16       8       8       16         heours       16       8       8       16         heours       16       8       9       16         Heours       16       8       16       16         Heours       16       16       16	DATE SAND HOURS USED EACH IT         IDATE       3/13       3/17       3/18       3/19         ith       HOURS       16       8       9       9         ith       HOURS       16       8       9       9         ith       HOURS       16       8       8       0         HOURS       16       8       8       0       16         HOURS       16       8       8       0       16         HOURS       16       16       16       16       16         HOURS       16       16       16       16       16       16         HOURS       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16         HOURS       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16	DATES AND HOURS USED EACH DAY           DATE         3/13         3/17         3/18         3/19	DATES AND HOURS USED EACH DAY           DATE         3/13         3/17         3/18         3/19         TOTAL HOURS           ith         HOURS         16         8         9         9         42           ith         HOURS         16         8         9         9         42           ith         HOURS         16         8         8         0         41           HOURS         16         8         8         0         41         41           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	DATE AND HOURS USED EACH DAY         COSTS           DATE         3/13         3/17         3/18         3/19         TOTAL HOURS         EQUIPMENT RATE           ith         HOURS         16         8         9         9         42         \$ 20.00           ith         HOURS         16         8         8         0         41         \$ 20.00           ies         HOURS         16         8         8         0         41         \$ 20.00           HOURS         16         8         8         0         41         \$ 20.00           HOURS         16         8         8         0         41         \$ 20.00           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1           HOURS         1         1	

ARTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTENANCE				BASIC EXPEN	ISE STANDA	RDS LIST				JOB N REPOR	UMBER -	HWF119 07/02/
0648	1		LABOR	- 1	EQ	JIPMEN	т	1	м	ATERI	ALS	
TITLE	UNIT	HOURS PER ACCOMP	RATE PER HOUR	COST PER ACCOMP	HOURS PER ACCOMP	RATE PER HOUR	COST PER ACCOMP	MMS	UNIT	UNITS PER ACCOMP	COST PER UNIT	COST PER ACCOMP
INSTALL PIPE CULVERT \$43.3000 COST/AC	LF COMP	0.8200	21.0000	17.2200	1.0000	5.0800	5.0800	999	DL	1.0000	21.0000	21.0
									•	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERI	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 (AL COST	0.0
SUBSURFACE DRAINS \$17.1000 COST/AC	LF	0.5300	21.0000	11,1300	1.0000	1 9700	1 9700		DI I	1 0000	6 0000	6 0
а 14							1.9700		υ	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0
DUMPED ROCK DITCHES	TN	5								MATERI	LAL CUST	4.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COMP	0.9600	21.0000	20.1600	1.0000	3.3614	3.3614	999	DL	1.0000	10.0000	10.0
	$\square$					1 <sup>34</sup>				0.0000 0.0000 MATERI	0.0000 0.0000 AL COST	0.0 0.0 0.0
RIPRAPPING EMBANK \$36.2383 COST/ACC	COMP	0.9100	21.0000	19.1100	1.0000	4.1283	4.1283	999	DĹ	1.0000	13.0000	13.0
									1.5.5	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERI	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 CAL COST	0.0 0.0 0.0 <u>0.0</u> 13.0
INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT \$31.0000 CDST/ACC	EH	1.0000	21.0000	21.0000	1.0000	5.0000	5.0000	999	DL	1.0000	5.0000	5.0
PEN DITON OF										0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERI	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 AL COST	0.0
\$1.8080 COST/ACC		0.0480	21.0000	1.0080	1.0000	0.3000	0.3000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.5000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.50
		2	÷		5 <sup>21</sup> <sup>21</sup>					MATERI	AL 1031	0.5
	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTENANCE 0648 IITLE INSTALL PIPE CULVERT \$43.3000 COST/AC SUBSURFACE DRAINS \$17.1000 COST/AC DUMPED ROCK DITCHES \$33.5214 COST/AC RIPRAPPING EMBANK \$36.2383 COST/ACC INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT \$31.0000 COST/ACC REM DITCH OBSTACLES \$1.8080 COST/ACC	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SIDN - MAINTENANCE 0648 IINSTALL PIPE CULVERT LF \$43.3000 COST/ACCOMP SUBSURFACE DRAINS LF \$17.1000 COST/ACCOMP DUMPED ROCK DITCHES TN \$33.5214 COST/ACCOMP RIPRAPPING EMBANK TN \$36.2383 COST/ACCOMP INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT EH \$31.0000 COST/ACCOMP REM DITCH OBSTACLES LF \$1.8080 COST/ACCOMP	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTENANCE 0648 TITLE UNIT PER ACCOMP INSTALL PIPE CULVERT LF \$43.3000 COST/ACCOMP 0.8200 SUBSURFACE DRAINS LF \$17.1000 COST/ACCOMP 0.5300 DUMPED ROCK DITCHES TN \$33.5214 COST/ACCOMP 0.9600 RIPRAPPING EMBANK TN \$36.2383 COST/ACCOMP 0.9100 INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT EH \$31.0000 COST/ACCOMP 1.0000 REM DITCH OBSTACLES LF \$1.8080 COST/ACCOMP 0.0480	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTENANCE         0648       L A B O R HOURS RATE PER PER ACCOMP HOUR         TITLE       UNIT PER PER MEAS ACCOMP HOUR         INSTALL PIPE CULVERT LF \$43.3000 COST/ACCOMP       0.8200 21.0000         SUBSURFACE DRAINS LF \$17.1000 COST/ACCOMP       0.5300 21.0000         DUMPED ROCK DITCHES TN \$33.5214 COST/ACCOMP       0.9600 21.0000         RIPRAPPING EMBANK TN \$36.2383 COST/ACCOMP       0.9100 21.0000         INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT EM \$31.0000 COST/ACCOMP       1.0000 21.0000         REM DITCH OBSTACLES LF \$1.8080 COST/ACCOMP       0.0480 21.0000	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTENANCE       DAINTEMANCE       DAINTEMANCE         0648       L A B O R         HOURS RATE COST PER PER PER PER ACCOMP         TITLE       UNIT MEAS ACCOMP       DOBO ROCK PER ACCOMP         INSTALL PIPE CULVERT LF \$43.3000 COST/ACCOMP       0.8200 21.0000 17.2200         SUBSURFACE DRAINS LF \$17.1000 COST/ACCOMP       0.5300 21.0000 17.2200         DUMPED ROCK DITCHES TN \$33.5214 COST/ACCOMP       0.9600 21.0000 20.1600         RIPRAPPING EMBANK \$36.2383 COST/ACCOMP       0.9100 21.0000 19.1100         INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT EH \$31.0000 COST/ACCOMP       1.0000 21.0000 21.0000         REM DITCH OBSTACLES LF \$1.8080 COST/ACCOMP       0.0480 21.0000 1.0080	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS       MAINTENANCE         0648       L A B D R       E Q T         TITLE       UNIT       PERS       PER       PER         1NSTALL PIPE CULVERT LF       %43.3000       0.8200       21.0000       17.2200       1.0000         SUBSURFACE DRAIMS       LF       0.5300       21.0000       11.1300       1.0000         DUMPED ROCK DITCHES       TN       0.9600       21.0000       10.000       1.0000         RIPRAPPING EMBANK       TN       0.9100       21.0000       19.1100       1.0000         RIPRAPPING EMBANK       TN       0.9100       21.0000       19.1100       1.0000         RIPRAPPING EMBANK       TN       0.9100       21.0000       19.1100       1.0000         RIPRAPPING EMBANK       TN       0.9100       21.0000       1.0000       1.0000         REM DITCH OBSTACLES       LF       0.0480       21.0000       1.0080       1.0000	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS       BASIC EXPENSE STANDARDS LIST         SION - MAINTENANCE       LABOR       EQUIPHESIST         0668       LABOR       EQUIPHESIST         TITLE       UNIT       PER PER 943.3000       COST / ACCOMP       HOURS       RATE PER 943.3000         SUBSURFACE DRAIWS       LF 917.1000       0.8200       21.0000       17.2200       1.0000       5.0800         DUMPED ROCK DITCHES       TN 933.5214       COST / ACCOMP       0.95300       21.0000       1.0000       3.3614         RIPRAPPING EMBANK       TN 936.2383       COST / ACCOMP       0.9100       21.0000       19.1100       1.0000       4.1283         INSTAL NON-BR STRUCT       EH 931.0000       COST / ACCOMP       0.9100       21.0000       1.0000       5.0000         REM DITCH OBSTACLES       LF 91.8000       COST / ACCOMP       0.9480       21.0000       1.0000       5.0000	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SION - MAINTEMANCE       DASIC EXPENSE STANDARDS LIST         0648       L A B O R       E Q U I P M E N T         HDURS FER       PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SUM: MAINTENANCE       MAINTENANCE       MAINTENANCE       MAINTENANCE         0648       L A B O R       E Q U I P H E H T         111LE       UNIT MESS ACCOMP       PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER	RTMENT - HIGHWAYS SIGNT - MAINTENANCE         Descrete standards List           D648         LABOR         EQUIPMENS         EQUIPMENS <thequipmens< th=""> <thequipmens< th=""> <thequ< td=""><td>BYTHENT - HIGHWAYS SUBJUR - MAINTENANCE         BASIC EXPENSES STANDARDS LIST         NEPU XEPU           0648         L A B O R         E Q U I P M E N T         H A T E R I WAST           TITLE         UNIT MORS         PARE ACCOMP         FOURS         RATE PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PE</td><td>BYTHENT - HIGHWAYS SUBJUR MIGHWAYS 10000         BASIC EXPERTMENT PARTY ENANCE         BASIC EXPERTMENT PARTY ENANCE         Construction of the state of the st</td></thequ<></thequipmens<></thequipmens<>	BYTHENT - HIGHWAYS SUBJUR - MAINTENANCE         BASIC EXPENSES STANDARDS LIST         NEPU XEPU           0648         L A B O R         E Q U I P M E N T         H A T E R I WAST           TITLE         UNIT MORS         PARE ACCOMP         FOURS         RATE PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PER PE	BYTHENT - HIGHWAYS SUBJUR MIGHWAYS 10000         BASIC EXPERTMENT PARTY ENANCE         BASIC EXPERTMENT PARTY ENANCE         Construction of the state of the st

July 2008

		1	HOUDE				o i r n c i	* 1		. M	ATERI	ALS	
ACT	TITLE	UNIT	PER	PER	PER	PER ACCOMP	RATE PER HOUR	COST PER ACCOMP	MMS MATL	UNIT	UNITS PER	PER	COST
807	GRIEVANCE (HAINT) \$21.7430 COST/AC	EH COMP	1.0000	21.0000	21.0000	1.0000	0.6930	0.6930	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERI	0.0500 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 AL COST	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
	\$23.0000 COST/AC	EH I COMP	1.0000	21.0000	21.0000	1.0000	1.5000	1.5000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.5000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.5
811	UNPRODUCT EQUIPMENT \$1.0000 COST/AC	DL COMP	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
812	RENT/MISC EXPENSES \$1.0000 COST/ACC	DL	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERIA	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0
814	\$22.5500 COST/ACC	EH COMP	1.0000	21.0000	21.0000	1.0000	1.5000	1.5000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERIA	0.0500 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 L COST	0.0
	\$28.5000 COST/ACC	OMP	1.0000	21.0000	21.0000	1.0000	7.5000	7.5000	999	DL	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 MATERIA	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 L COST	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

TASK	1 - LOCATI	ON AND SI		AGED
	RUAL	JWAT SUR		
SITE	MILE POST	LENGTH	WIDTH	AREA
	**	FT	FT	SF
1	0.80	30	14	420
2	1.10	20	10	200
3	1.40	55	12	660
4	1.65	75	14	1050
5	1.80	90	10	900
6	1.95	120	10	1200
7	2.00	85	14	1190
8	2.15	45	12	540
9	2.20	95	10	950
10	2.25	25	14	350
11	2.35	80	12	960
12	2.40	19	10	190
13	2.45	40	8	320
14	2.50	55	14	770
TO	TAL		9700	

\*\* Mile posts are listed for the beginning of the damaged surface

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securi 1660-0 form.	reporting bu led by person of the collec ty, Federal E 017). You a <b>NOTE: Do</b>	rden for this ns to generat tion, includin mergency M rre not requin <b>not send you</b>	PAPERWORK BURDE form is estimated to average 90 e, maintain, disclose, or to provi- ng suggestions for reducing the t lanagement Agency, 500 C Stree red to respond to this collection <b>ir completed questionnaire to</b>	EN DISCLOSUI minutes per respor de information to u purden to: Informat et, SW, Washingtor of information unlu this address.	<b>RE NOTIO</b> nse. Burder is. You ma ion Collect 1, DC 2047 ess a valid (	CE n means the ti y send comm ions Manage 2, Paperwork OMB number	me, effort and ents regarding ment, U.S. Dep Reduction Pro appears in the	finane the br partme ject ( uppe	cial reso urden es ent of H OMB C r right c	ources stimate or any omeland ontrol Number corner of this			
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.		DATE		CAT	EGORY				
FEMA-	4004 -	DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS-D04	000-DDD	DD-00	9/9/	/2006		D				
DAMA	GED FACILI	ΓY		•		WORK C	COMPLETE AS OF						
Sant	ana Cree	k Improve	d Drainage Channel			9/9/2	9/9/2006 : 0 %						
APPLIC	CANT			COUNTY									
City	of Marqui	S		Sioux			The st permittenent start			22.4140/48.0112.011			
LOCA	TION ana Creek	Drainade	Channel				LATITUDE 29 4734	1	LONG	ITUDE 59641			
DAMA	GE DESCRI						20.1101		00				
Heav flood Santa impro <i>Refe</i>	ry rains ger ing and ass ana Creek oved as par r to the Co	nerated by sociated hig between B rt of storm ntinuation S	Tropical Storm Jamie result gh water velocities caused s enson Road and Burntwood water management improve Sheet for further discussion.	ed in extensive f side slope washo Is Road. The all ments in the 19	looding in outs at nin gnment a 90s.	e Santana C le (9) location nd slopes o	reek and its ons along the f Santana C	tribut imp reek	taries. roved ( had be	The channel for een			
The p struc the s prope desc temp No w <i>Refe</i>	broposed s tural fill in t lope and m erly place a riptions. Th orary acce ork is com r to the Col	cope of wo he eroded inimize ero and compace ne sites are ss roads fo pleted. Co <i>ntinuation</i> \$	rk for each of the affected s areas. Repaired slopes will osion. The quantities shown ct the new fill. Sites requirin e readily accessible from the r equipment are not require sts are estimated using FEN Sheet for further discussion.	ites is to restore be hyrdoseede include effort fo g only topsoil ar top of the asso d. //A cost codes.	the chann d to restor or minor tri ad hydrose ciated cha	nel to it pre- re vegetative imming of e eeding are i annel right-c	disaster con e slope desig roded areas dentified in t of-way and m	ditior gn in to as he in nunici	n by pla order f ssure a dividua pal str	acing to stabilize ability to al eets so that			
Does Speci Is the	the Scope c al Consider re insurance	of Work cha ations issue e coverage (	inge the pre-disaster condition is included? X Yes on this facility? Yes	ns at the site? No No	🔲 Yes Hazard N	s 🔀 Aitigation pr	No oposal inclue	led?	Ye	s XNo			
				PROJECT CO	ST			_					
ITEM	CODE		NARRATI∨E		QUANTI	TY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE			COST			
		Work to	be Completed										
1	4930	Place a	and compact structural fill		7020 /	′ CY \$	14.0	0	\$	98,280			
2	3390	Place t	opsoil, seed grass (hydro	seed)	7506 /	′ SY \$	1.0	0	\$	7,506			
		Refer to	Summary Chart for Individu	ual Quantities									
						т	OTAL COST	• 9	6	105,786			
PREPA	RED BY			Officer		SIGNATURE	E						
APPLIC	CANT REP.					SIGNATURE							

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
гема- <u>4004</u> -dr- ST	PWS-D04	000-DDDDD-00	9/9/2006	D
APPLICANT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COUNTY		
City of Marquis		Sioux		

### DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

The original serpentine and badly eroded natural water course was straightened, profiled and vegetated to improve its hydraulic capacity while remaining compatible with a naturalized landscape appearance (details vary along length). The channel is regularly maintained.

The main GPS is recorded at Site 1 of 9. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Specific directions to individual nine sites follow.

Accordingly, the channel is considered an improved and maintained natural feature and is an eligible facility in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(d). The improvements performed on this channel do not meet the USACE definition of a flood control work; therefore, the channel is not eligible for USACE funding.

Refer to Figures 1 and 2 for the general location and configuration and Summary attachment for an Excel table of site-specific damages.

Site 1 - 29.47341, -98.59641. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Benson Road. Go east 0.20 miles and walk south to GPS location.

Damage:

• Slope erosion and washout: 80-FT length x 29-FT wide x 2-FT depth = 4640 CF/ 27 = 172 CY.

• Topsoil erosion (4-in depth): 80-FT length x 29-FT wide = 2320 SF/ 9 = 258 SY

Site 2 - 29.47271, -98.59874. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Danmark Rd. Go 0.10 miles east and then walk north to GPS location.

Damage:

Slope erosion and washout: 395-FT length x 8-FT wide x 1-FT depth = 3160 CF/ 27 = 117 CY.

• Topsoil erosion (4-in depth): 395-FT length x 8-FT wide = 3160 SF/ 9 = 351 SY

Site 3 - 29.47216, -98.59641. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Danmark Rd. Go 0.25 miles east and then walk north to the GPS location. Damage:

• Slope erosion and washout: 360-FT length x 8-FT wide x 1-FT depth = 2880 CF/ 27 = 107 CY.

• Topsoil erosion (4-in depth): 360-FT length x 8-FT wide = 2880 SF/ 9 = 320 SY

Site 4 - 29.47127, -98.59862. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Danmark Rd. Go 0.30 miles east and then walk north to GPS location.

Damage:

• Slope erosion and washout: 161-FT length x 34-FT wide x 1-FT depth = 5474 CF/ 27 = 203 CY.

• Topsoil erosion (4-in depth): 161-FT length x 34-FT wide = 5474 SF/ 9 = 608 SY

**Site 5 - 29.47059**, **-98.59800**. Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Horseshoe Bend Rd. Go 0.15 miles east and then walk south to GPS location. Damage:

• Only topsoil erosion at this location. Topsoil erosion (4-in depth): 65-FT length x 35-FT wide = 2275 SF/9 = 253 SY

**Site 6 - 29.47078, -98.59799.** Proceed east from I-600 on Marshall Ave. to Miller Road. Go south to Horseshoe Bend Rd. Go 0.15 miles east and then walk south to the GPS location.

Damage:

• There is only topsoil erosion at this location. Topsoil erosion (4-in depth) 50-FT length x 22-FT wide = 1100 SF/ 9 = 122 SY

See continuation sheet for Sites 7 through Site 9 Damage Description and Dimensions

PREPARED BY: Joe Jones

TITLE: Project Officer

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY O.M.B. №. 1660-0017											
PROJECT WORKSHEE	T - Damage Description a	and Scope of Work Contin	uation Sheet	Expires October 31, 2008							
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY							
FEMA- <u>4004</u> -DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS-D04	000-DDDDD-00	9/9/2006	D							
APPLICANT											
		Sioux									
DAMAGE DESCRIPTIO	N AND DIMENSIONS (Con	tinued)									
<b>Site 7 - 29.46577, -98.59</b> Damage:	612. Proceed 0.90 miles ea	ast on Navajo Rd. from I-60	0. Walk north to G	PS location.							
<ul> <li>Slope erosion and wash</li> <li>Topsoil erosion (4-in de</li> </ul>	nout: 300-FT length x 9.5-F pth): 300-FT length x 9.5-F	T wide x 1-FT depth = 2850 T wide = 2850 SF/ 9 = 317	CF/ 27 = 106 CY SY								
Site 8 - 29.45891, -98.59 walk east to GPS location Damage:	1849. Proceed east on Nava n.	ajo Rd from I-600 to Burntwo	oods Rd. Go 0.40	miles south and then							
<ul> <li>Slope erosion and wash</li> <li>Topsoil erosion (4-in de</li> </ul>	nout: 1000-FT length x 30-F pth): 1000-FT length x 30-F	T wide x 3-FT depth = 90,0 T wide = 30,000 SF/ 9 = 33	00 CF/ 27 = 3333 33 SY	CY.							
Site 9 - 29.46083, -98.59 walk east to GPS location Damage:	9841. Proceed east on Nava n.	ajo Rd from I-600 to Burntwo	oods Rd. Go 0.30	miles south and then							
<ul> <li>Slope erosion and wash</li> <li>Channel bottom erosion</li> <li>Total erosion and wash</li> <li>Topsoil erosion (4-in de</li> </ul>	nout: 700-FT length x 25-FT n and washout: 700-FT leng out = 2593 + 389 = 2982 C <sup>V</sup> epth) 700-FT length x 25-FT	Γ wide x 4-FT depth = 70,00 gth x 15-FT wide x 1-FT dep Υ. wide = 17,500 SF/ 9 = 1944	0 CF/ 27 = 2593 ( th = 10,500 CF/ 2 4 SY	CY. 7 = 389 CY.							
SCOPE OF WORK (Con Refer to the Damage De	ntinued) - escription and Dimensions	s for detailed dimensions	and quantity cald	culations.							
<b>Site 1</b> - Place structural f Place 4" of topsoil over th	ill in the eroded areas = 172 ne fill and protect the new sl	2 CY. ope cover using seeded gra	iss and mulch = 2	58 SY.							
Site 2 - Place structural f Place 4" of topsoil over th	ill in the eroded areas = 117 ne fill and protect the new sl	7 CY. ope cover using seeded gra	ass and mulch = 3	51 SY.							
<b>Site 3</b> -Place structural fi Place 4" of topsoil over th	II in the eroded areas = 107 ne fill and protect the new sl	CY. ope cover using seeded gra	iss and mulch = 32	20 SY).							
<b>Site 4</b> - Place structural f Place 4" of topsoil over t	ill in the eroded areas = 203 the fill and protect the new s	3 CY. lope cover using seeded gr	ass and mulch = 6	608 SY.							
<b>Site 5</b> - Place 4" of topso SY.	il over the eroded area and	protect the new slope cove	r using seeded gra	ass and mulch = 253							
<b>Site 6 -</b> Place 4" of topso SY.	il over the eroded area and	protect the new slope cove	r using seeded gra	ass and mulch = 122							
<b>Site 7</b> - Place structural f Place 4" of topsoil over t	Site 7 - Place structural fill in the eroded areas = 106 CY. Place 4" of topsoil over the fill and protect the new slope cover using seeded grass and mulch = 317 SY.										
<b>Site 8</b> - Place structural fill in the eroded areas = 3333 CY. Place 4" of topsoil over the fill and protect the new slope cover using seeded grass and mulch = 3333 SY.											
<b>Site 9 -</b> Place structural fill in the eroded slope areas = 2593 CY. Place structural fill in the eroded channel bottom areas = 389 CY. Total structural fill = 2593 + 389 = 2982 CY. Place 4" of topsoil over the fill and protect the new slope cover using seeded grass and mulch = 1944 SY.											
PREPARED BY: Joe Jones	PREPARED BY: Joe Jones TITLE: Project Officer										

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY IDERATIONS QUESTIONS	<i>,</i>	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT			DATE
	DDD ISOT NO		9/9/2006
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.		
Santana Creek Improved Drainage Channel	PWS-D04	Santana Creek Dra	ainage Channel
F(	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work h	ave insurance and/or is it an ins omments	surable risk? <i>(e.g., buildings, eq</i>	uipment, vehicles, etc.)
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floo	dplain or coastal high hazard ar	ea/or does it have an impact o	on a floodplain or wetland?
	omments		
Sites are located in an "AE" zone	e of the 100 year floodplain	. See FIRM Map No. 48	029C0428 F.
3. Is the damaged facility or item of work locat	ted within or adjacent to a Coas	tal Barrier Resource System l	Jnit or an Otherwise
Protected Area?			
Yes No Unsure C	omments		
4 Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruct	tion change the pre-disaster co	ndition? (e.a. tootorint material	location, canacity, use or
function)	tion change the pre-disaster co	nanion (e.g., looquini, material,	iocation, capacity, use of
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation	proposal or would the applicat	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		a hazaru miliyalion proposari
6 Is the damaged facility on the National Reg	ister of Historic Places or the st	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there
other, similar buildings near the site?			nan oo years: The there
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? A	e there large tracts of forestla	nd?
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar	nd/or item of work?	
Yes X No Unsure C	omments		
9 Are there any other environmental or control	versial issues associated with t	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ Unsure C	omments	tially indicated that there	Noro landfills
adjacent to the channel alignment	ubsequently, it has been d	etermined that they are n	ot in danger
	ubsequentiy, it has been u	etermined that they are h	or in danger.
FEMA Form 90-120 FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	FIF	



FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06

SU Al	SUMMARY CHART ALL QUANTITIES								
LOCATION STRUCTURAL TOPSOIL & FILL - CY SEEDING - S									
Site 1	172	258							
Site 2	117	351							
Site 3	107	320							
Site 4	203	608							
Site 5	0	253							
Site 6	0	122							
Site 7	106	317							
Site 8	3333	3333							
Site 9	2982	1944							
Total	7020	7506							
\$/UNIT	\$14.00	\$1.00							
<b>Total Cost</b>	\$98,280.00	\$7,506.00							



**Project Worksheet Development Guide** 



	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA	ELAND SE			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSHEET PROJECT NO.	- Photo PA ID NC	<u>Sheet</u>	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4004 -DR-ST	PWS-D04	00	0-DDDDD-00	9/9/2006	D
APPLICANT		COUNTY	Sioux		
			Sidux		
		. Marcal D			
and the second second	and the second second				Mer and the
Rest and the second			COLE/X		
	CERT AND MARKA	NI THE STATE	CARLE AND	U.S. CLARK	
		534			The Western
	The states				ALL CONTRACTOR
				A Martin	A DE LA DE C
				State State	
	MAN INSTALL	sik .			
		学生であ		Service Ser	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
	Mary Mary	Andrea -	and a setting	and the second second	A Starl
				Sam 20	
and the second state	26 10	45'AM		Store 12 1	26 10:46AN
	at a find of the	· Sige		Const Charles	AND THE REAL
DESCRIPTION			DESCRIPTION		
Photo 1 - Sample s	cour		Photo 2 - Sa	ample scour	

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA	ELAND SEG AGEMENT /	CURITY AGENCY		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSHEET	- Photo	Sheet	DATE	CATEGORY
<sub>ЕЕМА-</sub> 4004 <sub>-DB-</sub> ST	PWS-D04	00	0-DDDDD-00	D	
APPLICANT		COUNTY		<b>4</b> .	Į
City of Marquis			Sioux		
					En Transe
DESCRIPTION			DESCRIPTION		
Photo 3 - Sample s	scour		Photo 4 - Sa	ample scour	

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET

DISASTER       PROJECT NO.       PA ID NO.       DATE       CATEGORY         FEMA_4005_OR_ST       PWS-E05       000-EEEEE-00       10/1/02007       E         DAMAGED FACILITY       WORK COMPLETE AS OF       10/1/07       1       \$5         DAPLICANT       COUNTY       Clarke       10/1/07       1       \$5         COCATION       COUNTY       Clarke       10/1/07       1       \$5         DAMAGED ESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       COUNTY       35.15434       -110.456         DAMAGED ESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       During the declared event, the OId School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede'S Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek. St. Bede'S Elementary School is an eligit Private NOn-Profit Educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private NOn-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private NO-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private NO-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private No-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private No-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private No-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private No-Profit Educinal facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221 (e) and R&R Policy 952.1.3, Private No-Properiod             Nor Completed	Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form. I	iblic reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 90 minutes per response. Burden means the time, effort and financial resources spended by persons to generate, maintain, disclose, or to provide information to us. You may send comments regarding the burden estimate or any pect of the collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Information Collections Management, U.S. Department of Homeland ecurity, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB Control Number 560-0017). You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB number appears in the upper right corner of this mm. <b>NOTE: Do not send your completed questionnaire to this address</b> .											
FEMA_4005DR-ST     PWS-E05     000-EEEEE-00     10/1/2007     E       DAMAGED FACULITY     WORK COMPLETE AS OF     10/1/07	DISAS	TER		PROJECT N	0.	PA ID NO.		DATE		0	CATEG	ORY	
DAMAGED FACILITY       WORK COMPLETE AS OF         OId School Classroom Building       10/1/07       :       1       %         APPLICANT       COUNTY       Clarke       LATITUDE       35.15434       :101.456         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus       was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek, St. Bede's Elementary School is an eligilip Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility in accordance with 42 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational basis for cost estimates. Additionally, the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).         Work Completed:       Voluteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. applicant is assistance with this work. The applicant also hired a contractor to remove tree imbs from the damaged windows and place plywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250.         Special Considerations issues included?       I Yes       No         Hazard Mitigati	FEMA-	4005 -t	or₋ ST	PWS	S-E05	000-EEE	EE-00	1	10/1/2007 E				
Old School Classroom Building       10/1/07 : 19         APPUCANT       COUNTY         St. Bede's Elementary School       Clarke         LOCATION       LATITUDE         125 River Road, Clarkesville       COUNTY         DURINGE DESCHIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       LATITUDE         During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School is an eligit private Non-Profit Educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit Eacility Eligibility. The damage is described on the continuation sheet.         SCOPE OF WORK       Scope of work includes all elements necessary to restore the facility to pre-disaster condition. See the continuation sh the project's scope of work and basis for cost estimates. Additionally, the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).         Work Completed:       Volunteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. applicant is not requesting assistance with this work. The applicant also hird a contractor to remove tree limbs from the damaged windows and place plywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Dees the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       Yes       No         Hazard Mitigation proposal included?       Yes       No         Is there insurance cove	DAMA	GED FACILIT	Υ					WORK	СОМ	PLETE AS O	F		
APPLICANT       COUNTY         St. Bede's Elementary School       Clarke         125 River Road, Clarkesville       35.15434       -110.456         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School campus for students from kindergarten to fifth grade. The school is an eligit Private Non-Profit education for students from kindergarten to fifth grade. The school is an eligit Private Non-Profit education for cost described on the continuation sheet.         SCOFE OF WORK       Score of work includes all elements necessary to restore the facility to pre-disaster condition. See the continuation of the grade distingtion Proposal).         Work Completed:       Voluteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. The scope of work includes? If yes is an or requesting assistance with this work. The applicant also hired a contractor to remove tree limbs from the damaged windows and place plywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Dees the Scope of Work included? Y yes is no requesting assistance with this work. The applicant also hired a contractor to remove tree limbs from the damaged windows and place plywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Dees the Scope of Work included? Y yes is no requesting assistance with fifting trace coverage on this facility? Yes is no requesting assistance with fifting trace coveraged the facility? T	Old School Classroom Building							1	%				
St. Bede's Elementary School       Clarke         LOCATION       LATITUDE       LATITUDE         LOCATION       125 River Road, Clarkesville       35.15434       -110.456         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Prinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Prinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Prinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School is an eligit Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational proposal.         Wonk Completed:       Volumetary the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).         Volumeters removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. See ontinuation sheet         Voet Completed:       No         Jose the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       Y es       No         Boes the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditi	APPLIC	CANT				COUNTY							
LCCATION       LCNATIONE       LCNATIONE       ADMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS         During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary School is an eligil Private Non-Profit Facility Eligibility. The damage is described on the continuation sheet.       Sc.0FE OF WORK         SCOPE OF WORK       Scope of work includes all elements necessary to restore the facility to pre-disaster condition. See the continuation st the projects scope of work and basis for cost estimates. Additionally, the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).         Work Completed:       Volunteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. applicant is not requesting assistance with this work. The applicant also hired a contractor to remove tree limbs from the damaged windows and place phywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       Yes       No         Prepicat Cost       Yes       No         1 9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1/LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250.00         2 9999       Uniload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1/LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3 9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1/LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2	St. B	ede's Ele	mentary S	School		Clarke	e		-1.		1.0.0		
DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS         During the declared event, the Old School Classroom Building, part of St. Bede's Elementary School campus was affected by high winds, heavy rains, and flooding from nearby Pinnacle Creek. St. Bede's Elementary Sc. provides primarily non-religious education for students from kindergarten to fifth grade. The school is an eligit Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profit educational facility, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.221(e) and R&R Policy 9521.3, Private Non-Profited:         Scope of work and basis for cost estimates. Additionally, the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).       Work Completed:         Volunteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. applicant is not requesting assistance with this work. The applicant also hired a contractor to remove tree limbs from the damaged windows and place phywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       X Yes       No         Is there insurance coverage on this facility?       Y yes       No       Is accopted windows and place phywood barrin's over windows       1/LS	125 F	River Road	d, Clarkes	sville						atitude <b>35.15434</b>	LC	NGITUDE -110.4563	8
The scope of work includes all elements necessary to restore the facility to pre-disaster condition. See the continuation sf the project's scope of work and basis for cost estimates. Additionally, the applicant has proposed hazard mitigation for u (see attached Hazard Mitigation Proposal).         Work Completed:       Volunteers removed carpet from the basement, removed excess mud from the basement, and cleaned tables and chairs. applicant is not requesting assistance with this work. The applicant is base more tree limbs from the damaged windows and place plywood barriers over the exterior of the windows. The total cost of this work was \$2,250. See continuation sheet         Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       X Yes       No         Bacard Mitigation proposal included?       X Yes       No         Special Considerations issues included?       X Yes       No         Is there insurance coverage on this facility?       Y yes       No <b>TEM</b> CODE       NARRATIVE       QUANTITY/UNIT       UNIT PRICE       COST         1       9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1 / LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250         2       9999       Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1 / LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$ 0.20       \$ 100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library	Durir was provi Priva Non-	ng the dec affected b ides prima ate Non-Pr Profit Fac OF WORK	lared eve y high wir rily non-re ofit educa ility Eligib	nt, the Old nds, heavy eligious ec ational faci ility. <i>The</i> o	I School Classr rains, and floo lucation for stud lity, in accordan damage is desc	oom Building, iding from nea dents from kin nce with 44 Cf cribed on the c	part of S rby Pinna dergarter R 206.2 continuat	it. Bede's acle Cree n to fifth ( 21(e) an <i>ion shee</i>	s Ele ek. S grad d R8 <u>t.</u>	mentary S it. Bede's e. The sc &R Policy :	School Eleme hool is 9521.3	campus, entary Scho s an eligibl 3, Private	ool le
Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?       X Yes       No         Special Considerations issues included?       X Yes       No         Is there insurance coverage on this facility?       Yes       No         PROJECT COST         ITEM CODE NARRATIVE QUANTITY/UNIT UNIT PRICE COST         Unit Project Cost       Unit Price       Cost         1       9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1 / LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250         1       9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1 / LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250         2       9999       Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1 / LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$ 0.20       \$ 100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$ 200.00       \$ 200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 45         6       9999       Remove plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         7       9	The s the pi (see a <b>Work</b> Volun applic dama <i>See c</i>	scope of wo roject's sco attached H <b>Complete</b> nteers remo cant is not aged windo continuation	ork includes pe of work azard Mitig ed: oved carper requesting ws and pla or sheet	s all elemer and basis gation Propo t from the b assistance ace plywood	nts necessary to for cost estimate osal). easement, remove with this work. T I barriers over the	restore the facili s. Additionally, ed excess mud The applicant al: e exterior of the	ity to pre-o , the appli- from the to so hired a windows.	disaster co cant has p basement contracto The tota	onditi propc , and pr to r l cos	on. See the sed hazard cleaned ta remove tree t of this wo	e conti d mitiga bles ai e limbs rk was	nuation she ation for util nd chairs. 1 from the \$2,250.	et for ities The
PROJECT COST         ITEM       CODE       NARRATIVE       QUANTITY/UNIT       UNIT PRICE       COST	Does t Specia Is ther	the Scope o al Consider re insurance	f Work cha ations issue coverage c	inge the pre- is included? on this facili	-disaster condition X Yes ity? X Yes	ns at the site?	X Yes Hazard N	s ditigation	] No	o osal include	ed? 🗙	Yes 🔲	No
ITEMCODENARRATIVEQUANTITY/UNITUNIT PRICECOST19999Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows1 / LS\$ 2,250.00\$ 2,25019999Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows1 / LS\$ 2,250.00\$ 2,25029999Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves1 / LS\$ 1,500.00\$ 1,50039999Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad500 / SF\$ 0.20\$ 10049999Remove and dispose of library books1 / LS\$ 200.00\$ 20059999Remove plaster50 / SF\$ 0.91\$ 4569999Replace plaster6 / SY\$ 21.66\$ 12979999Paint wall250 / SF\$ 0.38\$ 9579999Paint wall\$ 32,039\$ 32,039TOTAL COST ► \$ 0.38\$ 95SIGNATURE						PROJECT CO	ST						
Work Completed       Work Completed         1       9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1 / LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250         Work to Be Completed Main Floor Library       Work to Be Completed Main Floor Library       Image: Completed Main Floor Library         2       9999       Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1 / LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$ 0.20       \$ 100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$ 200.00       \$ 200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 455         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 955         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 32,039         TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET         TOTAL cost ▶ \$ 36,359         SIGNATURE	ITEM	CODE		ז	NARRATIVE		QUANT	TY/UNIT	U	NIT PRICE		COST	
1       9999       Contract - Remove tree limbs and cover windows       1 / LS       \$ 2,250.00       \$ 2,250         Work to Be Completed Main Floor Library			Work C	ompleted									
Work to Be Completed Main Floor Library       Image: Completed Main Floor Library         2       9999       Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1 / LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$ 0.20       \$ 100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$ 200.00       \$ 200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 45         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       0.38       \$ 955         7       9999       Paint wall       \$ 32,039       \$ 32,039         7       PREPARED BY       TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET       \$ 32,039         TOTAL COST > \$ 36,359	1	9999	Contract	t - Remove	tree limbs and co	over windows	1/L	S	\$	2,250.00	) \$	2,250.0	00
2       9999       Unload, move, clean, re-load library shelves       1 / LS       \$ 1,500.00       \$ 1,500         3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$ 0.20       \$ 100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$ 200.00       \$ 200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 45         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 32,039         TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET         TOTAL COST ▶ \$ 36,359         SIGNATURE	-		Work to	Be Com	pleted Main	Floor Library							
3       9999       Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad       500 / SF       \$       0.20       \$       100         4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$       200.00       \$       200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$       0.91       \$       45         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$       21.66       \$       129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$       0.38       \$       95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$       0.38       \$       95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$       0.38       \$       95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$       0.38       \$       95         7       9999       Paint wall       \$       32,039       \$       \$       32,039         TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET       \$       \$       36,359         PREPARED BY Lynn Smith       TITLE       \$       \$       \$       \$       \$       36,359	2	9999	Unload	. move. cle	ean, re-load libr	arv shelves	1/L	3	\$	1.500.00	) \$	1.500.0	00
4       9999       Remove and dispose of library books       1 / LS       \$ 200.00       \$ 200         5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 45         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         9       TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET       \$ 32,039       \$ 32,039         TOTAL COST ▶ \$ 36,359         PREPARED BY Lynn Smith       TITLE         SIGNATURE	3	9999	Remove and dispose of library carpet/pad			arpet/pad	500 /	SF	\$	0.20	) \$	100.0	00
5       9999       Remove plaster       50 / SF       \$ 0.91       \$ 45         6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         0       TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET       \$ 32,039         TOTAL cost ▶       \$ 36,359         PREPARED BY Lynn Smith	- 4	9999	Remove and dispose of library books				1/L	3	\$	200.00	) \$	200.0	00
6       9999       Replace plaster       6 / SY       \$ 21.66       \$ 129         7       9999       Paint wall       250 / SF       \$ 0.38       \$ 95         Image: Control of the second structure       Image: Control of the second structure       \$ 32,039         Image: Control of the second structure       Image: Control of the second structure       \$ 36,359         PREPARED BY Lynn Smith       Image: Control of the second structure       SIGNATURE	5	9999	Remove plaster				50/5	SF	\$	0.9	1 \$	45.5	50
7     9999     Paint wall     250 / SF     \$     0.38     \$     95       TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET     \$     32,039       TOTAL COST ►       TOTAL COST ►       SIGNATURE	6	9999	Replace plaster				6 / S`	Y	\$	21.66	3 \$	129.9	96
TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET     TOTAL COST       TOTAL COST     \$ 32,039       PREPARED BY Lynn Smith     TITLE Project Officer	7	9999 Paint wall				250 / SF		\$	0.38	3 \$	95.0	00	
PREPARED BY Lynn Smith TITLE Project Officer SIGNATURE	TOTAL FROM COST ESTIMATION CONTINUATION SHEET \$ 32						32,039.0	00					
PREPARED BY Lynn Smith TITLE Project Officer SIGNATURE									тот	AL COST 🕴	\$	36,359.4	6
	PREPA	PREPARED BY TITLE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE											
APPLICANT REP. TITLE SIGNATURE	APPLIC	CANT REP.			TITLE			SIGNATU	RE				

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA T - Damage Description a	ELAND SECURITY GEMENT AGENCY and Scope of Work Contir	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
гема- <u>4005</u> -dr- <u>ST</u>	PWS-E05	000-EEEEE-00	10/1/2007	E
APPLICANT		COUNTY		
St. Bede's Elementar	ry School	Clarke		

## DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

Of the five buildings in the school campus, only the Old School Classroom Building was damaged. The Old School Classroom Building (Insurance Record # F42) is used for classroom space, library functions, and special events. The building is a one-story, brick structure that contains two classrooms, a library, restrooms, and a kitchen; with a basement containing utilities, a meeting room, and storage.

#### Wind and Rain Damage - Main Floor Library

The Library is located on the main floor of the building and is 30-FT wide x 30-FT long. The west and south walls of the library each include five sets of steel casement windows; each set of windows consists of two windows 2-FT wide x 4-FT high. The window sills are 2-FT above the floor. The floor is wooden with a 25-FT long x 20-FT wide carpet. The room contains five book stacks (each 20-FT long and 8-FT high) containing books; 15-FT of metal shelving, also containing books; four small wooden tables; and eight wooden chairs.

High winds caused a large tree to fall against the western side of the Library, resulting in significant damage to two sets of casement windows. The brick exterior of the building was not damaged. With the windows broken, wind-driven rain entered the Library. Specific damage is described below.

#### Books and shelving:

Metal shelving (15 LF) beneath the windows in the Library is dirty but not damaged; 225 books on these shelves sustained water damage. Wooden stacks are dirty but did not sustain damage; approximately 550 books on the stacks sustained water damage.

#### Carpeting and pads:

Water stains are visible on approximately 300 SF of carpet in the library; the applicant and FEMA Project Officer agree that carpet (500 SF) must be replaced rather than cleaned.

Plaster walls around and beneath the windows:

Water-damaged plaster area = 50 SF. The applicant and FEMA Project Officer agree that the lath and plaster sublayers have not been damaged.

# Steel casement windows:

Two sets of windows (each consisting of two, 2-FT wide x 4-FT high steel and glass windows) were damaged beyond repair. The steel frame for one set of windows was also damaged beyond repair.

Venetian blinds:

Four Venetian blind units (15 SF each) were damaged beyond repair.

#### Flood Damage - Basement Level

The basement is 60-FT wide x 70-FT long with unpainted concrete block walls and a concrete floor. The floor of the meeting room is partially covered by a 20-FT wide x 30-FT long carpet. Interior rooms have been created using walls constructed of wood studs and finished gypsum wall board (total wall length = 10-FT). The floor is approximately 1-FT below grade. A walk-up entrance is located on the southern wall.

Floodwaters inundated the basement to a maximum depth of 2-FT; the water remained at that depth for over 12 hours. The flooding caused the following damage:

#### Concrete block walls and flooring:

Concrete block around the entire perimeter of the basement is stained to a height of 2-FT. Total area affected is approximately 520 SF. Flooring in all rooms (total area = 4,200 SF) is muddy and stained.

Continued on next sheet

PREPARED BY: Lynn Smith

TITLE: Project Officer

PROJECT WORKSHEE	nuation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
fema- <u>4005</u> -dr- <u>ST</u>	PWS-E05	000-EEEEE-00	10/1/2007	E
APPLICANT		COUNTY		-
St. Bede's Elementa	ry School	Clarke		

### DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

#### Interior walls:

The basement is partitioned using wood frame walls covered with gypsum wall board (total wall length = 100-FT). Wall board is damaged to varying heights due to wicking (maximum height = 6-FT). Note that electrical outlets and wiring drop from the ceiling along exterior walls and were not affected by floodwaters.

<u>Utilities:</u>

The furnace and electric water heaters were inundated to 2-FT. Two water heaters (50 gallon capacity each) and furnace were damaged beyond repair.

#### Carpeting:

The applicant has removed flood damaged carpet and pads from the meeting room (approximately 600 SF). The carpet is currently outside of the building.

#### Boxes of records:

The storage rooms contained several dozen boxes with various records and papers. These documents were destroyed and cannot be replaced, but the debris must be removed for disposal. Estimated volume of material is 75 CF. <u>Folding metal chairs and tables:</u>

Water inundated 60 metal folding chairs and 10 pressboard tables with folding legs. Chairs and five tables have been cleaned by volunteers and do not require repairs. Five tables sustained water damage and must be replaced. <u>Oil tank:</u>

The oil tank outside of the building that stores fuel for the furnace was floated off of its foundation. The outflow pipe was severed as a result. Due to the presence of a shut-off valve, a major spill did not occur.

#### SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

#### Work to be Completed:

#### Main Floor Library

#### Books and shelving:

Unload and move metal shelves library stacks; clean shelves and stacks. Dispose of damaged books (approximately 150 CF). Move library stacks back into the room and re-shelve books. Replace 775 books with books of equivalent type and age.

#### Carpeting and pads:

Remove and dispose of Library carpet and pads (approximately 500 CF). Clean Library floor (900 SF). Furnish and install 500 SF of carpet and pads.

#### Plaster walls around and beneath the windows:

Remove and replace 50 square feet of plaster (2 coats; do not replace lath). Re-paint entire western wall to ensure match (2 coats; approx 250 SF).

#### Steel casement windows:

Remove one set of steel casement windows and frame; remove one set of steel casement windows without frame. Replace windows according to historic preservation requirements (see attached memo) by fabricating two sets of steel casement windows (total of four windows) to match original, undamaged windows on the structure. Each window is 2 ft wide x 4 ft high. Install one set of windows with new steel frame and hardware; install one set of windows using existing steel frame and existing hardware.

Continued

PREPARED BY: Lynn Smith

TITLE:

Project Officer

DIRASTER       PROJECT NO.       PALE NO.       DATE       CATEGORY         FEMA_4005DB_ST       PWS-E05       000-EEEEE_00       10/1/2007       E         APPLICANT       COUNTY       COUNTY       E         SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)       Clarke       Clarke         Vanetian blinds:       Replace four Venetian blind units (15 SF each).       Basement Level         Concrete block walls and flooring:       Power wash basement valls (approximately 780 SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Rent four fans for a 10-day period to accelerate drying and prevent mold.       Interior walls         Replace four Venetian blind units (15 SF each).       Basement Level       Concrete block walls and flooring:         Power wash basement walls (approximately 780 SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Rent four fans for a 10-day period to accelerate drying and prevent mold.       Interior walls         Replace furnace. Furnish and install two 50-galion electric water heaters.       Carpeting: Dispose of carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF). Furnish and install meeting room carpet and pad (600 SF).         SF).       Boxes of records (approximately 75 CF).       Folding metal chairs and tables: Replace five pressboard folding tables (16 in wide x 60 in long).         Ottatak:       Repair oil tank; re-set oil tank on concrete bed and repair outflow pipe.         Costs       The sources used to prepare cost estimates are shown on the attached sheet, "Itemized Estimate." Costs are based on RS Means, contractor's estima	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Damage Description and Scope of Work Continuation Sheet Expires October 31, 20										
FERMA_4005OR_ST         PWS-E05         000-EEEEE-00         10/1/2007         E           APPLICATIT         COUNTY         Clarke         Clarke         Clarke           SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)         Variation bilinds: Replace four Venetian bilind units (15 SF each).         Basement Level         County         Count	DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY						
APPLICANT         COUNTY           St. Bede's Elementary School         Clarke           SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)         Venetian blinds: Replace four Venetian blind units (15 SF each).           Basement Level         Concrete block walls and flooring: Power wals basement walls (approximately 780 SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Rent four fans for a 10-day period to accelerate drying and prevent mold. Interior walls: Replace furnace. Furnish and install two 50-gallon electric water heaters. Carpeting: Dispose of carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF). Furnish and install meeting room carpet and pad (600 SF). Boxes of records: Dispose of boxes of records (approximately 75 CF). Folding metal chairs and tables: Replace five pressboard folding tables (18 in wide x 60 in long). Dilatak: Replace four set of the prepaced and bases: Replace five pressboard folding tables (18 in wide x 60 in long). Dilatak: Replace for cords: Dispose of boxes of records (approximately 75 CF). Folding metal chairs and tables: Replace five pressboard folding tables (18 in wide x 60 in long). Dilatak: Replace five prepace cost estimates are shown on the attached sheet, "Itemized Estimate." Costs are based on RS Means, contractor's estimates, historical cost data, invoices and force account records. Militation The applicant proposes to elevate the hot water heaters and oil tank to prevent damage in future floods. See attached hazard mitigation propose. Interplacint proposes to elevate the hot water heaters and oil tank to prevent damage in future floods. See attached hazard mitigation propose. Interplacint house period locations. A copy of the applicants insurance information (Policy #CLC024) was sent in with Project Number SBES-E1. The insurance adjustment and settlement information are not available. Flooting Preservation The food School Building is more than 50 years oid. The Scope of Work has been devel	ЕЕМА- 4005 -DR- ST	PWS-E05	000-EEEEE-00	10/1/2007	E						
St. Bede's Elementary School       Clarke         SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)         Vientian bilinds:         Replace four Venetian bilind units (15 SF each).         Basement Level         Concrete block walls and flooring:         Power wash basement walls (approximately 780 SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Rent four fans for a 10-day period to accelerate dring and prevent mold.         Interfor walls:         Remove all gyosum wall board; clean studs and interior; replace wall board; tape and finish; and paint. Total area of wall board to be replaced and repainted = 800 SF.         Unities:         Replace furnace. Furnish and install two 50-gallon electric water heaters.         Carpeting: Dispose of carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF). Furnish and install meeting room carpet and pad (600 SF).         Dispose of boxes of records:         Diagos ef theords:         Diagos ef theorate:         Papes of theorate:         Replace furnace. Furnish and install two 50-gallon electric water heaters.         Carpeting: Dispose of carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF). Furnish and install meeting room carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF).         Patient bild:         Replace furnace. Furnish and install two 50-gallon electric water heaters.         Carpeting: Dispose of carpet and pads (approximately 200 CF).         Replace furnace.       Fourist Creaters and pads (approximately 75 CF).         Folding thea	APPLICANT		COUNTY								
SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)         Venetian blinds:         Replace four Venetian blind units (15 SF each).         Basement Level         Concrete block walls and flooring:         Power wash basement walls (approximately 780 SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Rent four fans for a 10-day period to accelerate driving and prevent mold.         Interior walls:         Remove all gyosum wall board; clean studs and interior; replace wall board; tape and finish; and paint. Total area of wall board to be replaced and repainted = 800 SF.         Utilities:         Replace furnace.         Replace furnace.         Power all gyosum wall board; clean studs and interior; replace wall board; tape and finish; and paint. Total area of wall board to be replaced and repainted = 800 SF.         Utilities:         Replace furnace.         Replace furnace.         Power of records:         Dispose of boxes of records (approximately 75 CF).         Folding metal chairs and tables:         Replaci oil tank; re-set oil tank on concrete bed and repair outflow pipe.         Costs         The sources used to prepare cost estimates are shown on the attached sheet, "Itemized Estimate." Costs are based on RS Means, contractor's estimates, historical cost data, invoices and force account records.         Mitgation         The applicant proposes to elevate the hot water heaters and oil tank to prevent damage in future floods. See attached hazard mitigatestori	St. Bede's Elementary School Clarke										
Prevented by:       Lynn Smith         PREPARED By:       Lynn Smith	APPLICANT St. Bede's Elementar SCOPE OF WORK (Con Venetian blinds: Replace four Venetian bl Basement Level Concrete block walls and Power wash basement w accelerate drying and pre- Interior walls: Remove all gypsum wall wall board to be replaced Utilities: Replace furnace. Furnist Carpeting: Dispose of ca SF). Boxes of records: Dispose of boxes of reco Folding metal chairs and Oil tank: Repair oil tank; re-set oil Costs The sources used to prep RS Means, contractor's end Mitigation The applicant proposes the hazard mitigation proposes the hazard mitigation proposes the Applicant has generating Page, and schedule of compared to the present Eleadelein (Insurance)	ry School atinued) ind units (15 SF each). I flooring: valls (approximately 780 event mold. board; clean studs and i d and repainted = 800 SF h and install two 50-gallo arpet and pads (approximately 75 C tables: Replace five pre- tank on concrete bed ar pare cost estimates are set estimates, historical cost o elevate the hot water h al. al hazard insurance cover S-E1. The insurance are	COUNTY Clarke SF) and floor (4,200 SF). Re- interior; replace wall board; tap F. on electric water heaters. mately 200 CF). Furnish and ir F). essboard folding tables (18 in v and repair outflow pipe. shown on the attached sheet, ' data, invoices and force accoun- heaters and oil tank to prevent ering the facility and has provid y of the applicants insurance in djustment and settlement infor	nt four fans for a 10 be and finish; and p nstall meeting room wide x 60 in long). 'Itemized Estimate unt records. damage in future f formation (Policy # mation are not ava	0-day period to paint. Total area of in carpet and pad (600 ." Costs are based on floods. See attached olicy, declarations fCJC024) was sent in illable.						
Historic Preservation         The Old School Building is more than 50 years old. The Scope of Work has been developed through coordination with the FEMA historic preservation specialist (see the attached memorandum, dated 9/15/03). The cost estimate reflects costs necessary to ensure compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act.         PREPARED BY:       Lynn Smith         TITLE:       Project Officer	<u>Floodplain / Insurance</u> The facility is located in a	an identified Special Floc	od Hazard Area (see attached	map) but does not	have flood insurance.						
PREPARED BY: Lynn Smith TITLE: Project Officer	Historic Preservation The Old School Building is more than 50 years old. The Scope of Work has been developed through coordination with the FEMA historic preservation specialist (see the attached memorandum, dated 9/15/03). The cost estimate reflects costs necessary to ensure compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act.										
	PREPARED BY: Lynn Smit	PREPARED BY: Lynn Smith TITLE: Project Officer									

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE SPECIAL CONS	OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	/ 	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT St. Bede's Elementary School		PA ID NO. 000EEEEE -00	DATE 10/1/2007
PROJECT NAME Old School Classroom Building	PROJECT NO. PWS-E05	LOCATION 125 River Road, Cla	rkesville
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	
<ol> <li>Does the damaged facility or item of work h</li> <li>X Yes No Unsure C</li> <li>Project Number SBES-E1</li> </ol>	ave insurance and/or is it an ins <sup>omments</sup> Please see insura	surable risk? (e.g., buildings, eq ance policy #CJC024 atta	uipment, vehicles, etc.) Iched to
<ol> <li>Is the damaged facility located within a floo</li> <li>X Yes No</li> <li>Unsure</li> <li>C</li> <li>shown on Clarke Co Flood Insurance</li> </ol>	dplain or coastal high hazard ar <sup>omments</sup> Located in Zone / Rate Map 905500, panel (	ea/or does it have an impact o A (100-year floodplain) as 0075 C, dated May 15, 19	on a floodplain or wetland? S 996.
<ul> <li>3. Is the damaged facility or item of work locat</li> <li>Protected Area?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	ted within or adjacent to a Coas omments	tal Barrier Resource System l	Jnit or an Otherwise
<ul> <li>4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction</li> <li>X Yes No Unsure C</li> <li>elevate utilities in place. The footprint</li> </ul>	tion change the pre-disaster co omments Hazard Mitigation t of the building will not cha	ndition? <i>(e.g., footprint, material,</i> n Proposal includes work ange.	location, capacity, use or to
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation X Yes No Unsure C	n proposal or would the applicar omments Hazard Mitigatio	nt like technical assistance for on Proposal attached	a hazard mitigation proposal?
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Regother, similar buildings near the site?</li> <li>X Yes No Unsure C in coordination the historic preservation</li> </ul>	ister of Historic Places or the sta omments Building dates fro on specialist during prepara	ate historic listing? Is it older t om 1932. The Scope of V ation of the PW - see atta	han 50 years? Are there Vork was developed ched memo.
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? Ar omments	e there large tracts of forestla	nd?
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj X Yes No Unsure C	acent to the damaged facility ar omments Oil tank must be	nd/or item of work? re-set on its foundation.	
9. Are there any other environmental or contro	versial issues associated with t omments	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	LETE	

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURI FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGEN PROJECT WORKSHEET - Cost Estimate Contin				NCY NCY nuation Shee	t			O Exj	.M.B. pires (	No. 1660-0017 October 31, 2008	
DISASTER PROJECT NO. PA ID NO. DA 4005 ST PWS-E05 000-EEEEE-00						DATE	10/1	/2007	CA	TEGO	DRY
APPLIC	CANT		ļ	COUNTY					-		
St.	Bede's E	Elementary	/ School	(	Clarke						
				PROJECT C	OST						
ITEM	CODE		NARRATIVE		QUANTITY	UNIT/	L	NIT PRICE			COST
		Work to	Be Completed - Ma	in Library (Co	nt'd)						
8	9999	Clean lib	orary floor		1 / LS	5	\$	200.0	0	\$	200.00
9	9999	Replace	library carpet/pad		500 /	SF	\$	2.0	0	\$	1,000.00
10	9999	Replace	library books		775 /	EA	\$	25.0	0	\$	19,375.00
11	9999	Remove	/recycle windows		4 / EA	A	\$	28.0	0	\$	112.00
12	9999	Remove	/recycle window fram	e	1 / E/	4	\$	100.0	0	\$	100.00
13	9999	Furnish a	and install window fra	ame	1 / E/	A	\$	200.0	0	\$	200.00
14	9999	Furnish a	and install steel case	ment windows	4 / EA	A	\$	500.0	0	\$	2,000.00
15	9999	Furnish a	and install Venetian b	olinds	60 / 5	ŝF	\$	5.3	4	\$	320.40
		Work to	Be Completed - Ba	sement Level							·
16	9999	Power w	ash basement walls/	floor	1 / LS	6	\$	350.0	0	\$	350.00
17	9999	Dry base	ement with fans		1 / LS	5	\$	600.0	0	\$	600.00
18	9999	Remove	damaged wall board		5 / EA	A	\$	16.5	2	\$	82.60
19	9999	Clean st	uds/wall interior		1 / LS	6	\$	320.0	0	\$	320.00
20	9999	Replace	wall board		800 /	SF	\$	0.6	7	\$	536.00
21	9999	Tape/fini	sh wall board		800 /	SF	\$	0.5	2	\$	416.00
22	9999	Paint wa	ll board		800 /	SF	\$	0.3	8	\$	304.00
23	9999	Replace	furnace		1 / LS	6	\$	1,800.0	0	\$	1,800.00
24	9999	Furnish a	and install 50-gallon e	elec water heat	ers 2/EA	A	\$	629.0	0	\$	1,258.00
25	9999	Furnish/i	install carpet/pad in n	neeting room	600 /	SF	\$	2.0	0	\$	1,200.00
26	9999	Dispose	of carpets, boxes of	paper	1 / LS	3	\$	200.0	0	\$	200.00
27	9999	Furnish	pressboard folding ta	bles	5 / EA	4	\$	163.0	0	\$	815.00
28	9999	Repair o	il tank outflow pipe		1 / LS	3	\$	350.0	0	\$	350.00
29	9999	Reset oi	l tank		1 / LS	\$	\$	500.0	0	\$	500.00
		•					тот	AL COST		\$	32,039.00
PREPA	RED BY: Lvnn Sr	mith			TITLE: Proje	ect Of	ficer				

# Old School Building - Itemized Estimate

# Work Completed

Item		Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Source of Estimate
Remove tree limbs/cover windows	LS	1	\$2,250.00	\$2,250.00	Invoice

# Wind/Rain Damage -- Main Floor Library

Item		Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Source of Estimate
Unload, move, clean, re-load shelves	LS	1	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	Applicant - force acct
Remove/dispose of library carpet/pad	SF	500	\$0.20	\$100.00	Carpet vendor
Remove/dispose of library books	LS	1	\$200.00	\$200.00	Applicant - force acct
Remove plaster	SF	50	\$0.91	\$45.50	RS Means (020-702-1000)
Replace plaster (2 coats/no lath)	SY	6	\$21.66	\$129.96	RS Means (092-108-0300)
Paint wall (2 coats)	SF	250	\$0.38	\$95.00	RS Means (099-224-0840)
Clean library floor	LS	1	\$200.00	\$200.00	Applicant - force acct
Replace library carpet and pad	SF	500	\$2.00	\$1,000.00	Carpet vendor
Replace library books with equiv	ΕA	775	\$25.00	\$19,375.00	Applicant cost history
Remove/recycle windows	ΕA	4	\$28.00	\$112.00	Contractor's est.
Remove window frame	ΕA	1	\$100.00	\$100.00	Contractor's est.
Furnish/install steel frame*	ΕA	1	\$200.00	\$200.00	Contractor's est.
Furnish/install steel casement windows*	ΕA	4	\$500.00	\$2,000.00	Contractor's est.
Furnish/install Venetian blinds (2-in slats)	SF	60	\$5.34	\$320.40	RS Means (125-103-0250)
Subtotal -	\$25,377.86				

\* Steel windows must be custom-fabricated to maintain building's historic fabric.

# Flood Damage -- Basement Level

Item		Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Source of Estimate		
Power wash basement walls/floor	LS	1	\$350.00	\$350.00	Contractor's est		
Dry basement with fans	LS	1	\$600.00	\$600.00	Rental equip vendor		
Remove damaged wall board	EA	5	\$16.52	\$82.60	RS Means (020-704-6100)		
Clean studs/wall interior	LS	1	\$320.00	\$320.00	RS Means crew costs		
Replace wall board (5/8-in thick, no finish)	SF	800	\$0.67	\$536.00	RS Means (092-608-2000)		
Tape/finish wall board	SF	800	\$0.52	\$416.00	RS Means (092-608-2050)		
Paint walls (2 coats)	SF	800	\$0.38	\$304.00	RS Means (099-224-0840)		
Replace furnace	LS	1	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00	Applicant cost history		
Furnish/install 50 gallon water heaters	ΕA	2	\$629.00	\$1,258.00	RS Means (153-110-1100)		
Furnish/install carpet/pad	SF	600	\$2.00	\$1,200.00	Carpet vendor		
Dispose of carpet, boxes	LS	1	\$200.00	\$200.00	Applicant - force acct		
Furnish pressboard folding tables	ΕA	5	\$163.00	\$815.00	RS Means (126-262-1020)		
Repair oil outflow pipe	LS	1	\$350.00	\$350.00	Contractor's est		
Re-set oil tank	LS	1	\$500.00	\$500.00	Contractor's est		
Subtotal - estimated eligible costs \$8,731.60							

Notes:

Applicant does not have flood insurance, but structure is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). NFIP standard policy defines "basement" as an area that is below grade on all sides. For a building in an SFHA with a basement, coverage is limited to replacement of unfinished wall board (i.e. no tape, float, or paint), furnaces, and water heaters. Damage to external oil tank is not covered.



FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06






	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF F FEDERAL EMERGENCY M	HOMELAND SE			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NC	<u>)</u> ,	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4005_DR-ST	PWS-E05	00	0-EEEEE-00	10/1/2007	E
APPLICANT	Cabaal	COUNTY	Clarks		
St. Bede's Elementar	y School				
Photo 1 – Damage	d Books		Photo 2 – F	looded Utility Ro	oom

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMEN								
HAZARD MITIGATION PROPOSA	AL (HMP)		PAGE		1	of	1	
APPLICANT	CATEGORY					PROJE	ECT #	
St. Bede's Elementary School	E					PWS-E	Ξ05	
SCOPE OF WORK:								
St. Bede's Elementary Scho	ol							
125 River Road, Clarkesville	•							
Old School Classroom Build	lina							
The applicant proposes to elevate utility components to p	revent damage du	irina future	flood e	vents. T	he pror	osal include	s the	followina:
* Flevate water heaters 2-FT above the basement floor us	sina arouted conc	rete block	niers (2	4-in x 16	-in x 16	-in): secure	heate	rs to
basement wall using metal straps and anchors	Sing groutes celle	1010 0100.1	piore (_	T 111 / 12	117.15	11, 000010	noute	
* Elevate exterior oil tank using four grouted reinforced co	pocrete block pier	c/24-inx 16	3-inx 16-	in) with s	steel cro	es hars Pi	ers wi	ll he
supported by two concrete footings (3-ft long x 1 5-ft wide	$\sim 1.5$ -ft deen) S		to cros	e hars w	ith met:	al etrans	610 11.	
Supported by two concrete rootings (ontrong x none made	; x 1.5-it ueep). C			5 Dai 5 Wi	illi mole	ii Suaps.		
	ESTIMATE OF W	/ORK						
MATERIAL AND/OR DESCRIPTION		QUA	NTITY/L	JNIT	UNI	T PRICE	(	COST
Water Heaters:		0	/	0	\$	-	\$	-
Grouted concrete block piers		7	/	CF	\$	25.00	\$	175
Furnish and install metal straps and wall anchors		4		EA	ŝ	50.00	\$	200
Raise water heaters		1	/	1.5	¢	100.00	¢	100
			/		¢	100.00	Ψ Φ	100
Oil Tankı			/		φ •		Ф Ф	
UII I ank:		11	/		φ -	-	¢ ¢	- 400
Grouted, reinforced concrete block piers		14	/		<u> </u>	30.00	\$	490
Excavate for foundations		1	/		<u></u>	120.00	\$	120
CIP reinforced conc footings (incl forms)		0.5	/	CY	\$	250.00	\$	125
Furnish and install cross bars		3	/	LF	\$	50.00	\$	150
Lift tank onto rack		1	/	LS	\$	750.00	\$	750
Furnish and install metal straps		2	/	EA	\$	75.00	\$	150
		0	/	0	\$	-	\$	-
		0	/	0	\$	-	\$	-
		0	/	0	\$	-	\$	-
		0	/	0	\$	-	\$	-
		0	/	0	ŝ		\$	-
		0	. /	0	<u>\$</u>		\$	
			/	0	<u> </u> ¢		¢ ¢	
		0	/	0	¢		Ψ ¢	
		0	/	0	ψ ¢		Ψ Φ	
		0	/		φ ¢		ψ Φ	
		0	/		φ φ		Ф Ф	
		U	/			-	¢	-
			() IOT		0051		<b>~</b>	0.000
	<del></del>		(NUT	TO BE INC	LUDED	NPW)	\$	2,260
RECOMMENDED BY (SIGNATURE)	AGENCY					DATE		
							10/1/2	007
							10/1/2	.007
CONCURRENCE BY STATE INSPECTOR	AGENCY							
						<del></del>		
CONCURRENCE BY LOCAL REPRESENTATIVE	AGENCY							
NOTE: Signature by the Federal Inspector is not an apprise not a commitment to perform the work.	proval of this work	, and signa	ature by	the state	e and Lo	ocal Represe	entativ	/e

# FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PNP FACILITY QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is to be used by FEMA and state personnel to help determine the eligibility of specific facilities of an approved Private Non-Profit (PNP) organization. Obtain answers to the following questions for each PNP organization. If the organization has more than one facility that incurred damage, complete a separate sheet for each facility.								
Name of PNP Organization: St Bede's Elementary School								
Name of the damaged facility and location: Old School Building, 125 River Road, Clarkesville								
What is the primary purpose of the damaged facility? <u>K-5 educational facility</u>								
Who may use this facility? Enrolled students - generally, members of the Catholic C	hurch, bu	t the scho	ol will acc	ept non-parishoners				
What fee, if any, is charged for the use of the facility? <u>Tuition; varies by grade and the second s</u>	nd change	es annuall	у					
Was the facility in use at the time of the disaster?	X	Yes		No				
Did the facility sustain damage as a direct result of the disaster?	X	Yes		No				
What type of assistance is being requested?         Public Assistance for restoration								
Does the PNP organization own the facility?	X	Yes		No				
If "Yes," obtain proof of ownership; check here if attached.								
If "No," do they lease / rent the facility?		Yes		No				
If "Yes," obtain a copy of the lease or rental agreement for the damaged facility; ch	eck here	if attach	ed.					
Are the repairs of this facility the legal responsibility of the organization?	X	Yes		No				
Is the facility insured?	X	Yes		No				
If "Yes," obtain a copy of the insurance policy; check here if attached.								
Additional information or comments: Applicant has general hazard insurance but not flood insurance								
Name of contact person				Phone number				
FEMA FORM 90-121, NOV 98								

	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Maps and Sketches Sheet Expi										
saster ma- <u>4005</u> -dr-S	PROJECT NO. PWS-E05	PA ID NO. 000-EEEEE-00	DATE 10/1/2007	CATEGORY							
PLICANT		COUNTY									
St. Bede	St. Bede's Elementary School Clarke										
	INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM										
то:	LYNN SMITH, PROJECT O	FFICER									
FROM:	WILLIAM MILLER, HISTO	RIC PRESERVATION SPECIALI	IST								
SUBJECT:	CULTURAL RESOURCES	REVIEW, PW SAMPLE 5									
DATE:	15 SEPT 2007										
CC:											
School and necessary t FEMA has and the Ad restoration Allowance 1. library	l its architect would be no o satisfy FEMA's response executed a Programmat visory Council on Histori of certain building eler s described in the PA, no y windows must be replac	ecessary to make this determ sibilities under Section 106. ic Agreement (PA) with the ic Preservation for FEMA-40 nents can be conducted in additional cultural resources red in-kind to exactly match of	e State Historic Pr 005-DR-ST. There compliance with review is necessar	this should not be reservation Officer fore, as long as the the Programmatic ry. Specifically, and form, and							
histori	ic window hardware must	be repaired, instead of repla	ced, when possible	e;							
2. interio Secret	or walls must be replace eary of the Interior's Stand	d with in-kind materials an lards for the Treatment of Hi	id features, consis storic Properties;	stent with the							
3. electri	c water heaters must be re	eplaced in kind; and									
4. the oil that is	l tank must not be mount mounted to the building.	ted to the building or requir	e the installation of	of new piping							
All other c character-d	omponents of the scope of lefining features of the Ol	of work are related to buildin d School.	ng contents and w	ould not affect the							
Complianc recomment Regional E design.	e with these conditions sl d that, as a condition of environmental Officer for	hould be made a condition o grant funding, the 90-percer review to ensure that these r	f grant funding. In nt design plans be neasures are inclu	n addition, I would transmitted to the ded in the building							

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form.	PAPERWORK BOKDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE ublic reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 90 minutes per response. Burden means the time, effort and financial resources xpended by persons to generate, maintain, disclose, or to provide information to us. You may send comments regarding the burden estimate or any spect of the collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Information Collections Management, U.S. Department of Homeland security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB Control Number 660-0017). You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless a valid OMB number appears in the upper right corner of this form. NOTE: Do not send your completed questionnaire to this address.														
DISAS	TER		PROJECT N	0.	PA ID NO.		DATE		CAT	EGORY					
FEMA-	4006 -i	DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS	S-E06	000-EEE	EE-00	11	1/11/2006		E					
DAMA	GED FACILI	ΓY					WORK	COMPLETE AS	OF						
Vehi	cles and <b>I</b>	Mobile Equ	uipment				11/	11/06		76	_ %				
APPLICANT     COUNT       Williams County School District     V					COUNTY Williams	6									
LOCATION 1500 State Route 16 (west side)							LATITUDE 37.3421	1	LONGIT	<sup>TUDE</sup> 31973					
DAMA On A equi Facil See	GE DESCRIF August 8, 2 oment par ity. The f the contin	PTION AND E 2006, heav ked on the acility is lo	ormensions vy rainfall e grounds ocated on t ge for dan	caused Pinnac of the Williams he west side of nage description	le Creek to ov County Scho f State Route a n.	erflow its ol Distric #16, adja	banks ai t's Transp icent to P	nd inundate v portation and innacle Cree	vehic Maii ek.	cles and ntenand	d ce				
SC OPE Repa comp listing WOR dama See t	SCOPE OF WORK Repair and replacement of damaged vehicles and equipment are eligible. For vehicles and equipment damaged beyond repair, comparable items are eligible, in accordance with 44 CFR 206.226(f). Refer to the attached vehicle description list for vehicle listings. WORK COMPLETED: Replace 23 destroyed vehicles and 1 forklift at a total cost of \$265,692.80 (items 1-24). Repair 12 damaged vehicles at a cost of \$50,370.97 (items 25-36). See the continuation page for a discussion of insurance and a breakdown of eligible costs.														
Does 1 Specia Is ther	the Scope c al Consider e insurance	of Work cha ations issue e coverage c	nge the pre- s included? on this facili	disaster condition X Yes ty? X Yes	ns at the site?	Hazard N	s X	] No proposal incluc	led?	Yes	X No				
2 2 2 2 2 2	20000000000000		22		PROJECT CO	ST				2					
ITEM	CODE		۲ اد د د د ا در در ر	NARRATIVE		QUANT	TY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE	-	С	OST				
						4/10		<u>*</u>		¢ 005	000.00				
1	9999	Replace 2	23 venicies			1/LS		\$ 205,093.00	-	\$ 265,	693.00				
2	9999	Repair 1	2 damage	dvehicles		1/LS		\$ 50,370.9	/	\$ 50,	370.97				
		Work to	Be Compl	eted				• • • • • • • •							
3	9999	Repair 2	2 damage	d vehicles		1/LS		\$ 33,000.00		\$ 33,	000.00				
									+						
									+						
									+						
								TOTAL COST		¢ 2101	062.07				
PREPA	RED BY		Í	TITLE			SIGNATU	RE		ψ 349,0	003.97				
	Jane Sn	nith		Project O	fficer		SIGNATU	2F							
	JANT REF.						SIGNATU	ιL.		APPLICANT REP. TITLE SIGNATURE					

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA T - Damage Description a	ELAND SECURITY GEMENT AGENCY and Scope of Work Contir	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA- <u>4006</u> -DR- <u>ST</u>	PWS-E06	000-EEEEE-00	11/11/2006	E
APPLICANT		COUNTY		-
Williams County School	ol District	Williams		

# **DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)**

Flood waters reached depths of up to 6 feet on the facility's parking lot, causing vehicles to be inundated with water, mud, and debris. Fifty-eight (58) vehicles were damaged during the flood.

\* 57 vehicles (23 destroyed, 34 repairable - see attached Vehicle List for a breakdown)

\* 1 forklift

The latitude and longitude were recorded at the Transportation and Maintenance Facility.

This Project Worksheet covers damage to vehicles and mobile equipment only. The facility building and grounds also sustained damage during the flood. Damage to the facility and grounds is covered under a separate Project Worksheet. A site visit was conducted on September 25, 2006 to assess damages to the vehicles.

# SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

WORK TO BE COMPLETED: Repair 22 damaged vehicles at an estimated cost of \$33,000. (items 37-58)

### <u>Insurance</u>

The Applicant supplied a copy of their insurance policy, which is attached to this project. The insurance company was scheduled to come out to assess their losses.

#### Eligible Costs

Eligible costs for repair and replacement are based on the estimates prepared by the applicant's insurance claims representative. The FEMA Project Officer the reviewed salvage value records and vehicle damage reports for reasonableness.

Replacement: New vehicles have been purchase for the replacement of 23 vehicles and forklift with comparable items (see items 1-24 on the attached vehicle list). Eligible costs are based on the Kelly Blue Book value for the year, make, model and condition of the vehicles prior to the disaster. Total eligible cost for replacement = \$265,693. Insurance proceeds will be reduced from this project.

Repair - The repair costs are based on a three verbal estimates from local repair shops.

PREPARED	BY:	Jane	Smith	

TITLE: Project Officer

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	OF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	/	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
APPLICANT Williams County School District		PA ID NO. 000-EEEEE-00	DATE 11/11/2006
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION	
Damaged Vehicles and Mobile Equipment	PWS-E06	1500 State Rout 16 (w	est side)
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.	
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work h	nave insurance and/or is it an ins omments Commercial Auto	surable risk? <i>(e.g., buildings, eq</i> ) Policy covers flood - see	uipment, vehicles, etc.) e attached policy
<ul> <li>2. Is the damaged facility located within a floor</li> <li>X Yes No Unsure C</li> <li>See attached Flood Insurance Rate No</li> </ul>	dplain or coastal high hazard ar <sup>omments</sup> The facility is loca Map number 550550, pane	ea/or does it have an impact o ated in the floodplain of Pi I 0001B, dated May 5, 19	on a floodplain or wetland? nnacle Creek. 985.
<ul> <li>3. Is the damaged facility or item of work location Protected Area?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	ted within or adjacent to a Coas omments	tal Barrier Resource System l	Jnit or an Otherwise
<ul> <li>4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	tion change the pre-disaster co omments	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation	n proposal or would the applicar omments	nt like technical assistance for	a hazard mitigation proposal?
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Reg other, similar buildings near the site?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	ister of Historic Places or the st omments	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? An omments	re there large tracts of forestla	nd?
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar omments	nd/or item of work?	
9. Are there any other environmental or contro	versial issues associated with t omments	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	LETE	





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA PROJECT WORKSHEET	ELAND SE AGEMENT	CURITY AGENCY Sheet		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER PROJECT NO.		). ). )-FFFFF-00	DATE 11/11/2006	CATEGORY
APPLICANT	COUNTY		11/11/2000	
Williams County School District	<u> </u>	Williams		
DESCRIPTION		DESCRIPTION		
Photo 1 – Typical interior vehicle damage.		Photo 2 – A during floo	rea where vehic ding event.	les were located

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet									O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE	-		CATEGORY	
_	4006	ST	PWS-E06		000-EEE	EE-00		11/11/2006	6	E	
APPLIC	ANT		i.		COUNTY						
Willi	iams C	ounty Scho	ol District		Willia	ns					
				-	PROJECT COS	т					
ITEM	CODE		NARRATIVE			OUANTITY	UNIT		CF	COST	
	0002					CDIDTION	Tall				
			DAWAGE		tal Loss Vah						
ltem	VIN					icies			ĸ	BB/REPAIR COST	
Com	pleted	-Repaired/R	eplaced								
1	123	1983 Ford	PU 150						\$	1.949.20	
2	345	1991 Plvm	outh Acclaim						\$	2.677.50	
3	376	1991 Plym	outh Acclaim						\$	2,550.00	
4	678	1994 Ford	Van E250						\$	8,275.00	
5	879	1997 Ford	Van A 350						\$	14,470.00	
6	N/A	Hyster For	klift						\$	2,250.00	
7	344	1989 Ford	Van A250						\$	2,691.10	
8	562	1992 Ford	PU A250						\$	5,900.00	
9	119	1978 Intl. 2	4-passenger School	l Bus					\$	1,685.00	
10	623	1994 Chev	rolet Bus						\$	15,000.00	
11	444	1983 Chev	rolet 24-passenger S	Schoo	ol Bus				\$	2,085.00	
12	611	1989 GM 3	30-passenger School	l Bus					\$	7,580.00	
13	988	1992 Intl. 7	7-passenger Bus						\$	6.000.00	
14	712	1994 Intl. 7	7-passenger Bus						\$	7,500.00	
15	823	1996 Intl. 7	7-passenger Bus						\$	18.830.00	
16	945	1999 Intl. 7	7-passenger Bus						\$	23,330.00	
17	667	1999 Intl. 7	7-passenger Bus						\$	39.000.00	
18	876	1992 Blue	pird Bus						\$	39,000.00	
19	888	1992 Blue	bird Bus						\$	13,670.00	
20	221	1992 Blue	bird Bus						\$	13,670.00	
21	449	1987 Blue	bird Bus						\$	13,670.00	
22	899	1987 Blue	bird Bus						\$	3,670.00	
23	990	1992 Ford	F450						\$	9,670.00	
24	303	1994 GMA	Bus						\$	10,570.00	
							Sub	ototal	\$	265,692.80	
	Conti	nued									
		•						TOTAL COS	т 🕨	5	
PREPA	RED BY:	Jane Smith			T	TITLE:	Proie	ect Officer			
		cano omiti					0,0				

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Cost Estimate Continuation Sheet										O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISAST	ER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE		C	ATEGORY
	4006	<u>_ST</u>	PWS-E06		000-EEE	EE-00		11/11/2006		E
APPLIC	ANT				COUNTY					
Willia	ams Cou	inty Sch	ool District		Willia	ms				
PROJECT COST										
ITEM	CODE		NARRATIVE	E		QUANTITY/	UNIT	UNIT PRIC	E	COST
DAMAGED VEHICLE DESCRIPTION LIST										
	Total Loss Vehicles									
ltem	VIN								KB	B/REPAIR COST
					Repair Vehicl	es				
25	11	1 19	998 Chev. Van					\$		8,866.10
26	699	9 19	990 Ford B600					\$		2,985.47
27	928	8 19	992 Intl. Bus					\$		2,521.34
28	818	8 19	992 Intl. Bus					\$		1,327.01
29	65	5 19	992 Intl. Bus					\$		5,835.92
30	223	3 19	994 Intl. Bus					\$		5,523.92
31	33	1 19	995 Intl. Bus					\$		9,974.82
32	46	7 19	996 Intl. Bus					\$		5,055.36
33	323	3 19	996 Intl. Bus					\$		1,570.00
34	54	5 20	00 Intl. Bus					\$		5,008.00
35	89	7 20	000 Intl. Bus					\$		820.01
36	222	2 20	01 Ford Van					\$		883.02
						Sub	total	\$		50,370.97
	Continu	ind								
	Continu	leu								
										ł
								TOTAL COST		
PREPAR	ED BY: Ja	ane Smi	th			TITLE:	Proje	ect Officer		
							-			

	F	ROJEC		E	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008				
DISAST	ER		PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE			CATEGORY	
	4006	<u>ST</u>	PWS-E06	000-EE	EEE-00		11/11/2006		E
APPLIC	ANT			COUNTY					
Willia	ams Coun	ty Scho							
				PROJECT CO	ST				
ІТЕМ	CODE		NARRATIVE		QUANTITY/	UNIT	UNIT PRIC	E	COST
			DAMAGED	VEHICLE DES		LIST			
				Total Loss Vel	hicles				
ltem	VIN							KB	B/REPAIR COST
To be	Complete	d - Repa	aired						
37	771	2001	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
38	664	1996	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
39	559	1997	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
40	815	1997	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
41	914	1994	Ford Van E250					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
42	919	1994	Intl. Bus					\$	1,500.00
43	206	1995	Intl. Bus					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
44	203	1996	Intl. Bus					\$	1,500.00
45	415	1996	Intl. Bus					\$	1,500.00
46	510	1997	GMC Bus					\$	1,500.00
47	202	1997	GMC Bus					\$	1,500.00
48	917	1990	Ford B600					\$	1,500.00
49	951	1992	Intl. Bus					\$	1,500.00
50	405	1996	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
51	114	1997	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
52	937	1997	Ford Van					\$	1,500.00
53	877	1994	Ford Van E250					\$ •	1,500.00
54	133	1994	Intl. Bus					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
55	451	1996	Intl. Bus					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
56	556	2002	Intl. Bus					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
57	908	1996	Intl. Bus					\$	1,500.00
58	//9	1992	Intl. Bus					<u>\$</u>	1,500.00
						Subtot		\$	33,000.00
•**	÷.							•	\$ \$340.062.77
PREDAD	ED BV lar	ne Smith	1		TITLE	Proi	ect Officer	-	φ φ349,003.77
FREPAR	LD DI: Jdl	ie omiti	·			FIUJ			

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEET - Maps and Sketches Sheet Expires October 31, 2008												
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY								
<sub>FEMA-</sub> 4006 <sub>-DR-</sub> ST	PWS-E06	000-EEEEE-00	11/11/2006	E								
APPLICANT		COUNTY										
Williams County Scho	ool District	Williams										
	04 T 1 4 4000											
Certificate No: L 12 Williams County Bo	34 - July 1, 1980 oard of Education											
Page 2 of 2												
SPECIAL LIMITS.												
The auto physical damage limit is the actual cash value of each vehicle subject to a deductible of \$500 for private passenger vehicles or \$1,000 for other vehicle types including mobile equipment.												
DEFENSE COSTS.												
Defense costs are in	addition to the each or	ccurrence limit of liabilit	у.									
SUBJECT TO POL	ICY TERMS.											
The insurance evide definitions in the po	enced by this certificate olicies.	is subject to all of the te	erms, conditions,	exclusions and								
OTHER INSURED.												
The members of the officials, executive o teachers, and emplo duties as such.	The members of the governing body of the Additional Insured named above, its elected or appointed officials, executive officers, directors, commissioners, board members, volunteer workers, student teachers, and employees are also insured under the policies while acting within the scope of their duties as such.											
STATUTORY IMM	UNITIES.											
It is a condition pred any statutory or con	cedent of coverage unde nmon law immunity co	er the policies that the A nferred upon it.	dditional Insure	d does not waive								
EXCESS COVERAG	GE.											
If the Additional Inst the coverage afforder termination data of of liability of the oth \$1,000,0000 and the	sured has other primar ed by this certificate do the other insurance ex- ner insurance, but then e limit of liability of the	y insurance for the haza es not apply to losses occ cept to the extent that t only for an amount not other insurance.	rds covered by th curring before the he amount of los exceeding the dif	ne above policies, e expiration or s exceeds the limit fference between								
PRIOR CLAIMS MA	ADE COVERAGE.											
As the insurance un claims-made policy : during the Certifica claim or loss would is also within the sc However, in not eve any, stated below.	As the insurance under this Certificate renews certain liability coverage's previously insured on a claims-made policy form, the insurance under this Certificate shall apply to a claim or loss reported during the Certificate Coverage Period that occurred prior to the effective date of the Certificate if the claim or loss would have been covered by the prior claims-made policy provided that the claim or loss is also within the scope of coverage afforded by the policy issued to the State and not excluded therein. However, in not event shall coverage apply to a claim or loss occurring prior to the RETRO DATE, if any, stated below.											
FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06												

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

DISASTER PAUD AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	Public re expended aspect of Security, 1660-001 form. No	porting bur d by person f the collect , Federal Er 17). You ar <b>OTE: Do r</b>	den for this fo s to generate, 1 ion, including nergency Man re not required <b>10t send your</b>	PAPERWORK BURDI rm is estimated to average 90 maintain, disclose, or to provi suggestions for reducing the lagement Agency, 500 C Stre to respond to this collection completed questionnaire to	EN DISCLOSUF minutes per respor ide information to u burden to: Informa et, SW, Washington of information unl this address.	<b>XE NOTIO</b> nse. Burder is. You ma tion Collect n, DC 2047 less a valid (	CE 1 means the t y send comm ions Manage 2, Paperwork OMB numbe	time, effort and fi nents regarding the ment, U.S. Depa k Reduction Project appears in the u	inancial r he burden artment of ect (OME upper righ	esources 1 estimate or any f Homeland 3 Control Number 1t corner of this			
FFMA_4007_or.ST         PWS-F07         000-FFFFF-00         2/28/2007         F           DAMAGED FACULTY         WORK COMPLETE AS OF         2/16/07_:	DISASTE	R	Р	ROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.		DATE	p	CATEGO	RY			
DAMAGED FACUITY       WORK COMPLETE AS OF         Electric Distribution System       2/16/07       :       91       %6         APPLICANT       COUNTY       Charless       1ATITUDE       1.0NGTTUDE         CORTION       NE Sector - City of Charleston       1ATITUDE       1.0NGTTUDE       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charlesson Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to city and rural residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charles County. The severe winter storm beginning on January 30, 2007, caused ice to accumulate on trees, tree limbs and on the electrical distributes system components.         Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.       SCOPE OF WORK         The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross smrs, 42 anothor guys, 8.436 feel of conductors, 392 insulators, 390 siteribuition transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 53 cut out fuses, 59 cut out fuses, 51 cut out fuses, 50 cut out fuses, 51 cut o	FEMA-	4 <u>007</u> -D	)R- <u>ST</u>	PWS-F07	000-FFF	FF-00	2/2	28/2007	F				
Electric Distribution System       2/16/07       :       91       %         APPLICANT       COUNTY       Charles       IATTUDE       ICNGITUDE         CCATION       35.91256       -97-92581       35.91256       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charles and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charles continuation system components.       IATTUDE       IATTUDE       ICNGITUDE         Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.       SCOPE OF WORK       SCOPE OF WORK       SCOPE OF WORK       SCOPE of WORK       Associate the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.       SCOPE OF WORK       Scope states whe based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         SCOPE of WORK       The Charleston Electric Cooperative on the dascription of scope of work items.       SCOPE of WORK       Scope states when date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Secore of Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8.436 feel of conductors, 392 insulators, 392 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 aresoires much and satery monitoring.       Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work it	DAMAGE	ED FACILIT	Y		1		WORK C	COMPLETE AS O	)F				
APPHICANT       COUNTY       Charles         Charleston Electric Cooperative       COUNTY       IATITUDE       IONGITUDE         NE Sector - City of Charleston       35.91256       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charlesson Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to city and rural residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charles Counturate on steet for further description of the damage.         SCOPE OF WORK       The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 insulators, 39 distribution transformers, 225 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out tases, 55 arrestors, 190 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and safety monotroing.         Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.       Ione Hazard Mitigation proposal include? Yes X No         Special Considerations issues include?       Yes X No       Hazard Mitigation proposal include? Yes X Scope.         Iter insurance coverage on this facility?       Yes X No       S 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.1	Electri	c Distrib	ution Syste	°m			2/16	3/07 <u>;</u>	91	%			
Charles         LOCATION       LATITUDE       LATITUDE       LONITUDE         ILCOATION       Startage       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charleston Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charleston and the central power       -97-92581         Charles County. The severe winter storm beginning on January 30, 2007, caused ice to accumulate on trees, tree limbs and on the electrical distribution system components.       Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.         SCOPE OF WORK       The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 insulators, 39 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 53 cerestors, 100 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and safety monitoring.         Refer to consideration sisses included?       X res       No         Special Considerations issues included?       X res       No         Special Considerations issues included?       X res       No	APPLICA	NT			COUNTY								
LOCATION       LATION       LATION       LATION       LATION       10.001100E         NE Sector - City of Charleston       35.91266       -97-92581         DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS       Charleston Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to city and rural residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central power on the electrical distribution system components.       Percentral residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central power on the electrical distribution system components.         Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.       SCOPE OF WORK         The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 insulators, 39 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 arrestors, 100 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated for and steety monitoring.         Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.       No       Hazard Mitigation proposal included? Yes X No         Isthere insurance coverage on this facility?       Yes       No       No       Stargetefff	Charle	ston Ele	ctric Coope	erative	Charle	es		1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					
Int Detect Primor And DIMENSIONS         Charleston Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to dty and ruar residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston customers wither store wither store beginning on January 30, 2007, caused ice to accumulate on trees, tree limbs and on the electrical distribution system components.         Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.         SCOPE OF WORK         The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 insulators, 39 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 arrestors, 190 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and asfet monitoring.         Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.       No         Is there insurance coverage on this facility?       Yes       No         Is there insurance overage on this facility?       Ye	LOCATIO	on Solor – Ci	ty of Charle	seton				LATITUDE					
DIMMOR Description And Dimensions Charleston Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to city and rural residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charleston Charleston and the central portion of the damage. SCORE OF WORK The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower. Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 arrestors, 190 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and safety monitoring. <i>Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.</i> Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site? Tetm CODE NARRATIVE QUARTITY/UNIT UNIT PRICE COST TEM CODE NARRATIVE QUANTITY/UNIT UNIT PRICE COST TEM Vork Completed: 1 9007 Labor 1 / LS \$ 32,669.17 \$ 32,669.17 2 9008 Equipment 1 / LS \$ 32,669.17 \$ 32,669.17 2 9009 Material 1 / LS \$ 32,669.17 \$ 32,669.17 2 9009 Material 1 / LS \$ 13,112.36 \$ 13,112.36 6 9999 Equipment Estimate 1 / LS \$ 13,112.36 \$ 13,112.36 6 9999 Equipment Estimate 1 / LS \$ 13,112.36 \$ 13,112.36 6 9999 Equipment Estimate 1 / LS \$ 19,556.80 \$ 19,556.80 7 9999 Material - See List B 1 / LS \$ 19,556.80 \$ 19,556.80 7 9999 Material - See List B 1 / LS \$ 19,556.80 \$ 19,556.80 7 9999 Material - See List B 1 / LS \$ 8,867.04 \$ 8,867.04 8 9999 Transformer Oil Testing 16 / EA \$ 20.00 \$ 320.00 9 9999 KVA Transformer Silvage 800 EA \$ (1.00) \$ (880.00) 10 40 \$ (880.00) 10 40 \$ (880.00) 10 40 \$ (88	DAMAG							35.91200		97-92001			
SCOPE OF WORK         The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower.         Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 39 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 267 ervenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 arrestors, 190 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and safety monitoring.         Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.         Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?         Yes       X       No         Hazard Mitigation proposal included? Yes         Yes       X       No         PROJECT COST         TITEM       CODE         Vork Completed:       1       /       LS       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17         1       9007       Labor       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 24,651.42       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42	Charles to city a Charles on the <i>Refer t</i>	Charleston Electric Cooperative owns, operates and maintains a regional electric utility system that distributes electrical power to city and rural residents, commercial businesses, and industrial customers in the City of Charleston and the central portion of Charles County. The severe winter storm beginning on January 30, 2007, caused ice to accumulate on trees, tree limbs and on the electrical distribution system components. <i>Refer to the continuation sheet for further description of the damage.</i>											
Does the Scope of Work change the pre-disaster conditions at the site?	SCOPE ( The Ch service lower. Work c conduc 55 arre was uti <i>Refer t</i>	SCOPE OF WORK The Charleston Electric Cooperative utilized a combination of force account labor, equipment and materials and contractor services to restore much of the system to date. Equipment rates are based on FEMA Equipment Rates, or applicant rates when lower. Work completed includes: Installation of 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 179 wood cross arms, 42 anchor guys, 8,436 feet of conductors, 392 insulators, 39 distribution transformers, 295 service drops, 14 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 53 cut out fuses, 55 arrestors, 190 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware. Associated force account labor was utilized for traffic control and safety monitoring. <i>Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.</i>											
Special Considerations issues included?       X Yes       No       Hazard Mitigation proposal included?       Yes       X No         Is there insurance coverage on this facility?       Yes       X No       X No       X No       X No       X No         PROJECT COST         TITEM       QUANTITY/UNIT       UNIT PRICE       COST         ITTEM CODE       Vork Completed:       OUANTITY/UNIT       UNIT PRICE       COST         I       9007       Labor       I       /       LS       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17         2       9008       Equipment       I       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       I       /       LS       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42         4       9025       Contract       I       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29       \$	Does th	e Scope of	f Work chang	ge the pre-disaster conditic	ons at the site?	Yes Yes	5 X	No					
Is there insurance coverage on this facility? Yes       Yes       X       No         PROJECT COST         ITEM       CODE       NARRATIVE       QUANTITY/UNIT       UNIT PRICE       COST         1       9007       Labor       1       /       LS       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17         2       9008       Equipment       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         5       9999       Labor Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       1       /       LS       \$ 20.00	Special	Considera	itions issues i	included? X Yes	D No	Hazard N	√itigation p	proposal include	ed? 🔲 '	Yes 🗙 No			
ITEM         CODE         NARRATIVE         QUANTITY/UNIT         UNIT PRICE         COST           1         9007         Labor         1         /         LS         \$ 32,669.17         \$ 32,669.17           2         9008         Equipment         1         /         LS         \$ 32,669.17         \$ 32,669.17           2         9008         Equipment         1         /         LS         \$ 25,705.85         \$ 25,705.85           3         9009         Material         1         /         LS         \$ 84,651.42         \$ 84,651.42           4         9025         Contract         1         /         LS         \$ 221,218.29         \$ 221,218.29           Work to be Completed:         1         /         LS         \$ 13,112.36         \$ 13,112.36           5         9999         Labor Estimate         1         /         LS         \$ 13,112.36           6         9999         Equipment Estimate         1         /         LS         \$ 13,112.36         \$ 13,112.36           7         9999         Material - See List B         1         /         LS         \$ 8,967.04         \$ 8,967.04           8         9999         Transformer Oil Testi	Is there	insurance	coverage on	this facility? 🛄 Yes	X No								
ITEM         CODE         NARRATIVE         QUANTITY/UNIT         UNIT PRICE         COST           1         9007         Labor         1         /         LS         \$ 32,669.17         \$ 32,669.17           2         9008         Equipment         1         /         LS         \$ 32,669.17         \$ 32,669.17           2         9008         Equipment         1         /         LS         \$ 25,705.85         \$ 25,705.85           3         9009         Material         1         /         LS         \$ 46,651.42         \$ 84,651.42           4         9025         Contract         1         /         LS         \$ 221,218.29         \$ 221,218.29           4         9025         Contract         1         /         LS         \$ 13,112.36         \$ 13,112.36           5         9999         Labor Estimate         1         /         LS         \$ 13,112.36         \$ 13,556.80           6         9999         Equipment Estimate         1         /         LS         \$ 13,670.44         \$ 8,967.04           7         9999         Material - See List B         1         /         LS         \$ 20.00         \$ 320.00           9					PROJECT CO	ST							
Image: Mork Completed:       Image: Mork Completed:       Image: Mork Completed:         1       9007       Labor       1       /       LS       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17         2       9008       Equipment       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         5       9999       Labor Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00	ITEM	CODE		NARRATIVE		QUANTI	TY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE		COST			
1       9007       Labor       1       /       LS       \$ 32,669.17       \$ 32,669.17         2       9008       Equipment       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         5       9999       Labor Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       1       /       LS       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ \$405,320.93         PREPARED BY James Coach       TITLE       SIGNATURE       SIG			Work Co	mpleted:					<u> </u>				
2       9008       Equipment       1       /       LS       \$ 25,705.85       \$ 25,705.85         3       9009       Material       1       /       LS       \$ 84,651.42       \$ 84,651.42         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         4       9025       Contract       1       /       LS       \$ 221,218.29       \$ 221,218.29         5       9999       Labor Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil ⊤esting       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ (880.00)         PREPARED BY James Coach       TITLE       TITLE       SIGNATURE       SIGNATURE       \$ \$405,320.93	1	9007	Labor				LS	\$ 32,669.1	7 \$	32,669.17			
3       9009       Material       I <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>9008</td><td>Equipmen</td><td>nt</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$ 25,705.8</td><td>5 <u></u></td><td>25,705.85</td></t<>	2	9008	Equipmen	nt				\$ 25,705.8	5 <u></u>	25,705.85			
4       9023       Contract       1       7       EO       ♥ 221,210.20       ♥ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,907.04       \$ 8,900.00       \$ 320.00       \$ 320.00       \$ 320.00       \$ 320.00       \$ 320.00       \$ \$ \$405,320.93       \$ \$405,320.93       \$ \$ \$405,320.93       \$ \$ \$405,320.93       \$ \$ \$ \$405,320.93       \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3 1	9009	Contract					ቅ 04,001.ተ/ ¢ 001 018 20	<u>2 ψ</u> Λ §	004,001.42			
5       9999       Labor Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 13,112.36       \$ 13,112.36         6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil ⊤esting       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ (880.00)         PREPARED BY James Coach       TITLE       Project Officer       SIGNATURE       \$ \$ ISINATURE         APPLICANT REP.       TITLE       SIGNATURE       SIGNATURE       \$ ISINATURE	4	3020	Work to	he Completed		<u> </u>		Φ Ζ Ζ Ι , Ζ Ι Ο. Ζ.	9 y	221,210.20			
6       9999       Equipment Estimate       1       /       LS       \$ 19,556.80       \$ 19,556.80         7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ (880.00)         TOTAL cost >       \$ \$ \$405,320.93         PREPARED BY James Coach       TITLE       SIGNATURE         APPLICANT REP.       TITLE       SIGNATURE       SIGNATURE	5	9999	l abor Es	timate		1 /	LS	\$ 13.112.3	<u>6 \$</u>	13 112.36			
7       9999       Material - See List B       1       /       LS       \$ 8,967.04       \$ 8,967.04         8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       16       /       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ (880.00)         PREPARED BY James Coach         TITLE       Project Officer       SIGNATURE       \$ \$IGNATURE	6	9999	Eauipme	nt Estimate		1 /	LS	\$ 19,556.8	0 \$	19.556.80			
8       9999       Transformer Oil Testing       16       EA       \$ 20.00       \$ 320.00         9       9999       KVA Transformer Salvage       880       EA       \$ (1.00)       \$ (880.00)         Total cost >       \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	7	9999	Material -	- See List B		1 /	LS	\$ 8,967.04	4 \$	8,967.04			
9         9999         KVA Transformer Salvage         880         EA         \$ (1.00)         \$ (880.00)           TOTAL cost ►         \$ \$405,320.93           PREPARED BY James Coach         TITLE Project Officer         SIGNATURE         \$ \$405,320.93           APPLICANT REP.         TITLE         SIGNATURE         \$ \$100000000000000000000000000000000000	8	9999	Transforr	ner Oil Testing		16 /	EA	\$ 20.00	D \$	320.00			
TOTAL COST ►     \$ \$405,320.93       PREPARED BY James Coach     TITLE Project Officer     SIGNATURE     \$ \$405,320.93       APPLICANT REP.     TITLE     SIGNATURE     \$ \$ \$405,320.93	9	9999	KVA Trar	nsformer Salvage		880	EA	\$ (1.00	)) \$	(880.00)			
PREPARED BY James Coach     TITLe Project Officer     SIGNATURE       APPLICANT REP.     TITLE     SIGNATURE							-	TOTAL COST	▶ \$	\$405,320.93			
APPLICANT REP.         TITLE         SIGNATURE	PREPAR	ED BY	`aach		Officer		SIGNATUR	E					
	APPLICA	ANT REP.				SIGNATURE							

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
гема- <u>4007</u> -dr- <u>ST</u>	PWS-F07	000-FFFFF-00	2/28/2007	F
APPLICANT	-	COUNTY		
Charleston Electric Coo	perative	Charles		

# DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

The excessive weight of the ice caused trees and tree limbs to lie on, or break the system conductors and resulted in broken poles and damage to system components. As a result of these damages, 27,300 customers within the City of Charleston were without power for approximately 72 hours.

Lat-Long measured at intersection of N2860 Rd and E0760 Rd.

Throughout the northeast section of the City of Charleston (see attached map for general area of damage) the resulting conditions broke 16 wood poles, 99 steel poles, 222 wood cross arms, 52 anchor guys, 14,332 feet of conductors, 512 insulators, 41 distribution transformers 10-75Kva, 299 service drops, 26 street lights, 26 revenue meters, 56 cut out fuses, 62 arresters and miscellaneous electrical distribution equipment and hardware. Sixteen of the 41 transformers tanks were punctured and were leaking insulating oil.

Note that two additional PWs were prepared for related work. Category A PW 19 was prepared for removal and disposal of debris that was posing a threat to the distribution system lines, including disaster-related fallen or dangerous trees and hanging or broken limbs that were leaning over or near the energized system. Category B PW 23 was prepared for overtime labor associated with the emergency phase of activating office staff to assist with dispatching, receiving trouble calls, and directing crews to trouble spots to restore service, as well as emergency efforts to secure downed systems.

### SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)

### Work Completed (Continued)

Refer to the attached materials listing for material specifications. All damaged components were replaced in-kind. No mitigating opportunities were noted.

For work completed, from 1/31 to 2/16 timesheets and equipment usage records were randomly sampled. No discrepancies were noted. Contractors were selectively bid based on sealed bids. Monitoring of contractor activities was performed by Applicant staff. All invoices were reviewed and corrections were made as needed. Copies of contracts and invoices are on file.

# Work to Be Completed:

The remaining work will be performed utilizing force account labor, equipment and materials, and consists of the following remaining items:

Install 43 wood cross arms, 10 anchor guys, 5896 feet of conductors, 120 insulators, 2 37.5 kVA distribution transformers, 4 service drops, 12 street lights, 3 cut out fuses, 7 arrestors, 15 splices, and the required miscellaneous connection and mounting hardware.

Resag repaired conductors to maintain required ground clearance.

Test the 16 leaking/open damaged distribution transformers for PCB presence.

For remaining work which is currently on-going, the applicant has estimated labor, equipment and materials costs based on company historical records. Cost for transformer testing for the presence of PCBs is based on a telephone quote obtained from Southeast Transformer Co. (16 transformers, \$20/test). Should PCBs be present, a separate PW will be prepared for associated scope and costs for clean-up and removal.

PREPARED BY:	James Coach	TITLE:	Project Officer

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS QUESTIONS Expires October 31, 2											
APPLICANT	PA ID NO.	DATE									
Charleston Electric Cooperative	000-FFFFF-00	2/28/2007									
PROJECT NAME         PROJECT NO.           Electric Distribution System         PWS-F07	LOCATION NE Sector, City	of Charleston									
Form must be filled out - f	or each proiect.										
1. Does the damaged facility or item of work have insurance and/or is it an insurable risk? (e.g., buildings, equipment, vehicles, etc.) Yes X No Unsure Comments No insurable risk											
2. Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard	area/or does it have an impact	on a floodplain or wetland?									
Some Firmettes have been attached showing sections of area	odplain map Number 4004 a, see larger map for entire	71, Panel 0320 Suffix C. area.									
2. In the demonstrat facility or item of work leasts doubt in an direct the C	actal Darriar Descurse Out	Init or on Otherwise									
Protected Area? Yes X No Unsure Comments	astai Damer Resource System	onit of an otherwise									
4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction change the pre-disaster	condition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or									
function) Yes X No Unsure Comments											
5. Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation proposal or would the applie Yes X No Unsure Comments	cant like technical assistance fo	r a hazard mitigation proposal?									
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Register of Historic Places or the other, similar buildings near the site?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure Comments</li> </ul>	state historic listing? Is it older	than 50 years? Are there									
<ul> <li>7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas on, or near, the project site?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure Comments</li> </ul>	Are there large tracts of forestla	ind?									
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adjacent to the damaged facility	and/or item of work?										
Yes No X Unsure Comments Oil from broke	n transformers will be teste	d for									
PCB and appropriate cleanup, handling and disposal determ	ined based on results of te	sting.									
9. Are there any other environmental or controversial issues associated wit	h the damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?									
FEMA Form 90-120, FEB 06 PREVIOUS EDITION OB:	SOLETE										



Charleston Electric Cooperative Electric Distribution System PWS-F07 FEMA 4007-DR-ST Figure 1 James Coach FEMA Project Officer





	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA	ELAND SE AGEMENT			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008					
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO	<u>Sneet</u>	DATE	CATEGORY					
FEMA-4007DR-ST	PWS-F07	00	0-FFFFF-00	2/28/2007	F					
APPLICANT		COUNTY								
Charleston Electric Co	ooperative		Charles							
DESCRIPTION		-heller y	DESCRIPTION	ΡΗΟΤΟ	)					
Photo 1 –			Photo 2 –							

FED FORC	DEPART ERAL EN	MENT ( MERGE DUNT	DF HON NCY M LABOR	IELANI Anage R Sum		PAGE 1	OF 2	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires Otober 31, 2008					
APPLICANT Charleston Electric Cooper	ative				PA ID	NO. 000-	FFFFF-00	PROJECT NO. PWS-F(	)7		DISASTER FEMA 4007-DR-ST		
LOCATION/SITE NE Sector, City of Charlest						CATEGORY			PERIOD COVERIN 1/30/2007	lG to 2/16/2007			
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Restore Electric Distribution System													
NAME		DATES	AND HO	OURS W	ORKE	DEACH	WEEK			COSTS			
JOB TITLE	DATE	Total 2/2 Refer	hours p 2/9 to appl	rovided 2/16 icant su	for wee	ek endir records	g: for daily totals	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	BENEFIT RATE/HR	TOTAL HOURLY RATE	TOTAL COSTS	
NAME Jim Phillips	REG.	24	20	20				64	\$ 17.75	160%	\$ 28.33	\$1,813.40	
JOB TITLE Forman	О. Т.	37.5	48.5	12				98	\$ 28.40	109%	\$ 30.86	\$3,023.95	
NAME Tim Jacobs	REG.	24	40	24				88	\$ 16.00	160%	\$ 17.60	\$1,548.47	
JOB TITLE Foreman	О. Т.	40	51	12				103	\$ 24.00	109%	\$ 25.09	\$2,583.91	
NAME Keith Miller	REG.	24	40	32		~		96	\$ 15.25	160%	\$ 16.85	\$1,617.24	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.	42.5	42	12				96.5	\$ 22.88	109%	\$ 23.97	\$2,312.77	
NAME Jason Freeman	REG.	24	40	32				96	\$ 14.25	160%	\$ 15.85	\$1,521.24	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.	40	51	11				102	\$ 21.38	109%	\$ 22.47	\$2,291.58	
Donald Thompson	REG.	24	40	30				94	\$ 9.50	160%	\$ 11.10	\$1,043.05	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.	40	41	10				91	\$ 14.25	109%	\$ 15.34	\$1,395.62	
NAME	REG.								Total For	ce Account L	abor – Sheet 1	\$19.151.24	
JOB TITLE	О. Т.											. ,	
				ΤΟΤΑ	L COS	ST FOR	FORCE ACC	DUNT LABOR REGU	LAR TIME	_		\$	
				TOT	AL CO	ST FOF	FORCE ACC	OUNT LABOR OVER	RTIME	_	<b></b>	\$	
I CERTIFY THAT THE AB	OVEINFO	RMATIC	ON WAS	OBTAI	NED FR	OM PAY	ROLL RECOR	S, INVOICES, OR OTH	ER DOCUMENT	S THAT ARE A	VAILABLE FOR AUD	IT.	
CERTIFIED John Adams						TITLE	Supervis	or DATE 2/28/2007					
FEMA Form 90-123, FEB 06						PRE	VIOUS EDTIO	OBSOLETE					

FEDI FORC	ERAL EN	MENT ( MERGE DUNT	DF HON NCY M L <b>ABOF</b>	IELANI Anage <b>R Sum</b>		PAG	<sub>E</sub> 2	OF 2		O.M.B. No. Expires Oto	1660-0017 ber 31, 2008			
APPLICANT Charleston Electric Cooper	ative				PA ID	NO. 000-l	FFFF-00	PROJECT NO. PWS-FC	PROJECT NO. D PWS-F07				DISASTER FEMA 4007-DR-ST	
LOCATION/SITE NE Sector, City of Charlest		CATEGORY F				PEF	RIOD COVERIN 1/30/2007	lg to 2/16/2007						
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Restore Electric Distribution System														
NAME		DATES	AND HO	OURS W	ORKED	EACH \	NEEK				COSTS			
JOB TITLE	DATE	Total 2/2 Refer	hours p 2/9 to appli	rovided 2/16 cant sur	for wee nmary	k ending records	g: for daily totals	TOTAL HOURS	HO R	URLY ATE	BENEFIT RATE/HR		TOTAL HOURLY RATE	TOTAL COSTS
NAME Mike Jennings	REG.	24	40	40				104	\$	17.75	160%	\$	28.33	\$2,946.77
JOB TITLE Warehouseman	О. Т.	33	33	30				96	\$	28.40	109%	\$	30.86	\$2,962.23
NAME Trisha Fielding	REG.	8	40	28				76	\$	9.00	160%	\$	10.60	\$ 805.32
JOB TITLE Meter Reader	О. Т.	10.5	44.5	0				55	\$	13.50	109%	\$	14.59	\$ 802.26
NAME Martha Harbinson	REG.	10	24	24				58	\$	13.50	160%	\$	15.10	\$ 875.59
JOB TITLE Contract Monitor	О. Т.	20	24	24				68	\$	20.25	109%	\$	21.34	\$1,450.88
NAME Susan Johnson	REG.	24	40	10				74	\$	17.52	160%	\$	9.10	\$ 236.50
JOB TITLE Equipment Operator – Digger	О. Т.	32	41.5	0				73.5	\$	26.28	109%	\$	27.37	\$2,011.44
NAME Tony Oakes	REG.	8	0	18				26	\$	7.50	160%	\$	9.10	\$ 236.50
JOB TITLE Laborer	O. T.	1	0	0				1	\$	11.25	109%	\$	12.34	\$ 12.34
NAME	REG.								Тс	otal For	ce Account l	abo	r – Sheet 2	\$13,517.93
JOB TITLE	О. Т.							_		Г	otal Labor, S	Shee	ts 1 and 2	\$32,669.17
				ΤΟΤΑ	L COS	T FOR	FORCE ACCO	OUNT LABOR REGU	LAR 1	IME	-			\$
				тоти	AL CO	ST FOR	FORCE ACC	OUNT LABOR OVER	RTIME		-			\$
I CERTIFY THAT THE AB	OVEINFO	RMATIC	ON WAS	OBTAIN	NED FR	OM PAY	ROLL RECORD	S, INVOICES, OR OTH	ER DO	CUMEN	TS THAT ARE A	VAILA	BLE FOR AUD	IT.
CERTIFIED John Adams						TITLE	Supervis	sor 2/28/2007						
FEMA Form 90-123, FEB 06						PRE	VIOUS EDTION	OBSOLETE						

FED FORC	DEPART ERAL EN E ACCO	MENT ( MERGE DUNT		PAGE 1	OF 1		O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires Otober 31, 2008							
APPLICANT Charleston Electric Cooper	ative				PAIDN	⁰0. 000-FF	FFF-00	PROJECT NO. PWS-F(	)7	Z DISAS			SASTER FEMA 4007-DR-ST	
LOCATION/SITE NE Sector, City of Charles					CATEGORY F			PEF	RIOD COVERIN 1/30/2007	IOD COVERING 1/30/2007 to 2/16/2007				
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED Restore Electric Distribution System														
NAME		DATES	AND HO	URSWO	ORKED	EACH WE	EK			COSTS				
JOB TITLE	DATE	Total	hours est	timated	to com	plete work		TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	BENEFIT RATE/HR		TOTAL HOURLY RATE	TOTAL COSTS	
NAME Jim Phillips	REG.	108						108	\$ 17.75	160%	\$	28.33	\$3,060.11	
JOB TITLE Forman	О. Т.							0	\$ 28.40	109%	\$	30.86	\$-	
NAME Tim Jacobs	REG.	136						136	\$ 16.00	160%	\$	17.60	\$2,393.10	
JOB TITLE Foreman	О. Т.							0	\$ 24.00	109%	\$	25.09	\$-	
NAME Keith Miller	REG.	160						160	\$ 15.25	160%	\$	16.85	\$2,695.41	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.							0	\$ 22.88	109%	\$	23.97	\$-	
NAME Jason Freeman	REG.	190						190	\$ 14.25	160%	\$	15.85	\$3,010.80	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.							0	\$ 21.38	109%	\$	22.47	\$-	
NAME Donald Thompson	REG.	176						176	\$ 9.50	160%	\$	11.10	\$1,952.95	
JOB TITLE Lineman	О. Т.							0	\$ 14.25	109%	\$	15.34	\$ -	
NAME	REG.								Total For	ce Account I	aboi	r – Sheet 1	\$13,112.36	
JOB TITLE	О. Т.													
				ΤΟΤΑΙ	LCOST	f for fo	RCE ACCO	OUNT LABOR REGU	LAR TIME				\$	
				TOTA	L COS	T FOR F	DRCE ACC	OUNT LABOR OVER	RTIME	_			\$	
I CERTIFY THAT THE AB		RMATIC	ON WAS C	OBTAIN	ED FRO	M PAYRO	LL RECORD	S, INVOICES, OR OTH	ER DOCUMEN	TS THAT ARE A	VAILA	BLE FOR AUD	т.	
CERTIFIED John Adams					Т	TITLE	Supervise	visor DATE 2/28/2007						
FEMA Form 90-123, FEB 06						PREVIO	US EDTION	OBSOLETE						

	OF OURITY!	_				
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEM APPLICANT'S BENEFITS CALCULA	SECURITY IENT AGENCY TION WORKSHEET	PAC	GE <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
APPLICANT Charleston Electric Cooperative	PA ID NO. 000-FFFFF-00					
DISASTER FEMA 4007-DR-ST		PROJECT	NO. PWS-F07			
FRINGE BENEFITS (by %)	REGULAR TI	ME		OVERTIME		
HOLIDAYS	4.23%			0.00%		
VACATION LEAVE	4.43%	0.00%				
SICK LEAVE	5.77%			0.00\$		
SOCIAL SECURITY	6.20%			6.20%		
MEDICARE	1.45%			1.45%		
UNEMPLOYMENT	0.50%			0.50%		
WORKER'S COMP.	0.50%			0.50%		
RETIREMENT	10.00%			0.00%		
HEALTH BENEFITS	24.17%			0.00%		
LIFE INS. BENEFITS	1.34%			0.00%		
OTHER	1.04%			0.00%		
TOTAL in % of annual salary	59.63%			8.65%		
OTHER is for administrative leave and u	niforms.					
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE WAS TH	RANSCRIBED FROM PAYRO	DLL RECORI	DS OR OTHER DOCU	MENTS WHICH ARE AVAILABLE		
CERTIFIED BY John Adams	Supervisor			DATE 2/28/2007		
FEMA Form 90-128, FEB 06	PREVIOUS EDTIO	N OBSOLET	E			

	PARTMENT OF HOMEL AL EMERGENCY MAN ATERIALS SUMMA	AND SECURITY AGEMENT AGENC' RY RECORD	Y			PAGE 1	OF		O.M.B. No. 166 Expires October	0-0017 31, 2008
APPLICANT Charleston Electric Cooperative		PAID NO.	E-00	PROJECT NO	E07		DISASTER			
		000-1111	1-00	F WO	-107					
NE Sector, City of Charleston				CATEGORY	F		PERIOD	COVERING 1/:	∍ 30/2007 to 2/°	16/2007
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED										
Restore Electric Distribution S	System									
VENDOR	DESCRIP	FION	QUAN.	UNIT PRICE	TOTA PRIC	E PURCH	TE IASED	DATE USED	INFO F (CHECK INVOICE	ROM (ONE) STOCK
3885 KC ELECTRIC	ELECTRICAL PAR	TS	1	\$2,115.85	\$2,115.	85			X	
3803 STUART WILSON	WIRE - ACSR - 570	00 FT	1	\$2,471.81	\$2,471.	81			Х	
3822 SKAGGS	RAINCOATS, GLOVES, CLOTHING, BATTERIE	PROTECTIVE S	1	\$2,788.16	\$2,788.	16			Х	
3823 ACE HARDWARE	SHOVELS		1	\$ 32.97	\$ 32.	97			Х	
3823 ACE HARDWARE	BOLTS		1	\$ 36.81	\$ 36.	81			Х	
3848 FARMERS COOP	FENCING REPAIR	MATERIALS	1	\$ 142.30	\$ 142.	30			Х	
3850 OKARCHE LAWN/GARDEN	ROPES AND GRIP	S	1	\$ 15.99	\$ 15.	99			Х	
3823 ACE HARDWARE	SHIELD LOCKS - 2		1	\$ 26.98	\$ 26.	98			Х	
3883 LANGSTON	GLOVES - CASE		1	\$ 545.22	\$ 545.	22			Х	
4026 WOLF'S	GRAVEL		1	\$ 285.00	\$ 285.	00			Х	•
3883 LANGSTON	PROTECTIVE CLC	THING	1	\$ 224.95	\$ 224.	95			Х	
FROM INVENTORY	SEE ATTACHED APP	PLICANT LIST A	1	\$75,965.38	\$75,965	5.38				X
		GRAND TO	TAL		\$84.651	.42				
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOV	E INFORMATION WAS OB	TAINED FROM PAYR	OLL RECOR	DS, INVOICES,	OR OTHER	DOCUMENTS 1	THAT ARE A	AVAILABLE	E FOR AUDIT.	
CERTIFIED John Adams		TITLE	Supervisor					D,	ATE 2/28/200	)7
FEMA Form 90-124, FEB 06		PREVIOUS EI	DTION OBS	DLETE						

PROJECT WORKSHE	U.S. DEP FEDERAL <b>ET - Dama</b>	ARTMENT OF HOM EMERGENCY MANA	eland se Gement and Sco	ECURITY AGENCY pe of Wo	ork C	continuatio	n Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT	NO.	PA ID N	- O,		DATE		CATEGORY
5544 4007- 55 ST	PW	S-F07	000	000-FFFF-00 2/28		/28/2007	F	
FEMA- 4007 -DR- 01	1.00	0101		,	00		./20/2001	
APPLICANT	COUNTY	Charl						
	operative			Chan	es			
Summary of Materials	s Used to [	Date - Per Applica	nt Recor	ds				List A Sheet 1 of 3
Material		Quantity Used	ł	Cost		Total		
"C" neck insulator		278	\$	2.95	\$	820.10		
#2 d.e. wraplock		9	\$	1.00	\$	9.00	* A sal	vage value of \$1.00/KVA
#2 neutral splice	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	\$	0.86	<u></u>	5.16 67.50	was re	eceived for all transformers.
#2 thbn cu wire	5	25	9 \$	4.30	9 \$	18 75		
#2 triplex service w	ire	1008	\$	0.45	\$	453.60		
#2-#2 str. Insulink	-	126	\$	0.18	\$	22.68		
#2-#4 auto splice		87	\$	5.66	\$	492.42		
#2-#4 insulink		61	\$	0.20	\$	12.20		
#4 acsr wire		787	\$	0.08	\$	62.96		
#4 al tie wire		340	\$	0.06	\$	20.40		
#4 auto splice		1	\$	2.10	\$	2.10		
#4 d.e. shoe		14	\$	4.50	\$	63.00		
#4 d.e. wrapiock		2	\$	0.60	\$ ¢	1.20		
#4 neutral splice	۵	10	\$ \$	2.80	ф \$	28.00		
$\frac{\pi}{4}$ sol cu auto splic	6	612	\$	0.35	\$	214.20		
#4-#4 insulink		78	\$	0.20	\$	15.60		
#6 duplex		35	\$	0.13	\$	4.55		
#6 neutral tension s	splice	4	\$	0.75	\$	3.00		
#6 sd cu		1661	\$	0.26	\$	431.86		
#6 sol cu auto splic	е	2	\$	2.40	\$	4.80		
#8 sd cu wire		75	\$	0.06	\$	4.50		
1" anchor rod		14	\$	9.30	\$	130.20		
1/0 acsr wire		1712	\$	0.39	\$	667.68		
1/0 auto splice		44	\$	125	\$	319.00		
1/0 d.e. shoe		01	¢	3.45	р Ф	730.10		
1/0 fargo		6	<del>ب</del> ج	3.40	\$	19.80		
1/0 preformed top t	ie	9	\$	4.25	\$	38.25		
1/0 spool tie		4	\$	2.38	\$	9.52		
1/0 thhn cu wire		88	\$	0.45	\$	39.60		
1/2" X 6" bolt		76	\$	1.26	\$	95.76		
1/2" X 10" bolt		1	\$	0.62	\$	0.62		
10 kV lightning arre	stor	55	\$	26.95	\$	1,482.25	<b>.</b>	
10 kVa trans 120/24	40v	4	\$	421.00	\$	1,684.00	π	
		14	\$ ¢	27.75	\$ ¢	388.50		
	r	50	¢	48 20	φ \$	2 842 80		
100A fuse barrel	1	<u>Jy</u> 1	φ 	27 00	\$	2,043.00		
101A fuse		3	\$	13.00	\$	39.00		
10A fuse		12	\$	2.85	\$	34.20		
15 kV polymer d.e.	ins	114	\$	10.33	\$	1,177.62		
15 kVa trans 120/2	40v	3	\$	395.00	\$	1,185.00	*	
15 kVa trans 240/4	80v	3	\$	425.00	\$	1,275.00	*	
15A fuse		3	\$	2.79	\$	8.37	Subtotal	- This Sheet
2" pvc (10') joint		25	\$	0.46	\$	11.50	\$	15,359.62
2" pvc straps		6	\$	0.12	\$	0.72	See Shee	et 3 for Total
PREPARED BY: James Co	ach					TITLE	Project	Officer

IOJECT WORKSHEE	T - Damage Description	on and So	ope of V	Worl	k Continu	ation Sheet	Expires October 31, 2008
STER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID	NO.		[	DATE	CATEGORY
4007- DR ST	PWS-F07	00	000-FFFFF-00			2/28/2007	F
		COUN	TV				
CANI Vilantan Electric Con	n a rativa	COON	Ch.		_		
irleston Electric Coo	perative		Cha	aries	5		
Summary of Materials U Material 2/0 str cu auto splice	Used to Date - Per Applic Quantity Use	ant Record	ls <u>Cost</u> 9.47	\$	Total 85.23	* A salvage	List A Sheet 2 of 3
2/0 str. Cu rigid d.e.		\$	7.95	\$ ¢	23.85	was receiv	ed for all transformers.
200 W HPS multi-tap	light 8	\$	205.00	<u>ې</u>	1,640.00		
24 guy IIIK 25 k\/a trans 120/2/0	N 6	¢	375.00	φ φ	2 250 00	*	
25 kVa trans 240/480	V 5	φ S	480.00	\$	2,200.00	*	
26" xarm braces	223	ŝ	5.95	\$	1.326.85		
3 pt. Cluster mount	7	\$	158.50	\$	1,109.50		
3" pvc (10') joint	20	\$	0.90	\$	18.00		
3" pvc straps	6	\$	0.55	\$	3.30		
30A fuse	3	\$	2.90	\$	8.70		
3/8" guy wire	867	\$	0.16	\$	138.72		
3/8" guy wraplock	28	\$	1.90	\$	53.20		
3/8" strandvise	17	\$	8.95	\$	152.15		
3/8" X 4 1/2" carriage	bolt 217	\$	0.40	\$	86.80		
33+ black tape	14	\$	2.51	\$	35.14		
336 auto splice	3	\$	13.80	\$ ¢	41.40		
36" guy link	9	۵ ۵	0.95	<u>ъ</u>	0 CO		
36" xarm brace-comp	oosite 20	¢	4.8U Q Q5	φ \$	9.00 100 00		
36" xarm brace-wood	79	φ S	7 95	\$	628.00		
37.5 kVa trans 120/24	40v 2	ŝ	484.00	\$	968.00	*	
3A fuse	10	\$	2.10	\$	21.00		
4/0 acsr	8	\$	0.26	\$	2.08		
4/0 d.e. shoe	3	\$	14.50	\$	43.50		
4/0 str. Auto splice	1	\$	11.00	\$	11.00		
4/0 thhn cu wire	141	\$	0.95	\$	133.95		
4/0-4/0 insulink	3	\$	1.95	\$	5.85		
400:5 current transfor	rmer 3	\$	56.00	\$	168.00		
40A IUSE	6	\$	3.50	<u>ъ</u>	21.00		
477 d e shoe	15	¢	∠1.00 10.25	φ \$	129.00		
477 preformed top tie	12	Ψ 	9.75	\$	117 00		
48" xarm brace	4	\$	13.90	\$	55.60		
4T 120/240V meter	26	\$	29.00	\$	754.00		
5/8" eye nut	91	\$	1.05	\$	95.55		
5/8" X 10" eye bolt	1	\$	1.12	\$	1.12		
5/8" X 12" bolt	233	\$	0.62	\$	144.46		
5/8" X 12" dbl upset b	polt 11	\$	5.50	\$	60.50		
5/8" X 12" oval eye bo		\$	2.25	\$	2.25		
5/8" X 14" bolt	64		1.30	\$	83.20		
5/8" X 18" d.a. bolt	9	\$	2.25	\$ ¢	20.25		
5/8" X 6" holt	62	۵ ۵	2.90	<u>ъ</u>	1/9.80		
5/8" X 10" ave halt	20	¢	0.52	φ \$	1.04 2/ 19		
	าlt 12	Ф Ф	2.02	φ \$	24.18 24.60	Subtotal -	This sheet
5/6 X 14 0031 404 0		÷ Š	642.00	\$	5.778.00	* \$ 21.514	22
50 kVa trans 120/240	N M			¥	2,110.00	ψ Ξ 1,017.	
50 kVa trans 120/240	V 9	Ś	738.00	\$	2,214.00	* See Sheet	3 for Total
PROJECT WORKSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HO FEDERAL EMERGENCY MA	Mela' Nagei n and	ND SECUF MENT AGE	ITY INCY of V	/ Vork Contir	puation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
---	---	--	----------------------	---------------------	------------------	------------------	--
				<u>/I</u>			
		[ <sup>r</sup>			/		
<sub>FEMA-</sub> 4007- <sub>-DR-</sub> <u>S</u> Ι	PWS-F07		000-FF	FFF	F-00	2/28/2007	F
APPLICANT		CC	JUNTY				
Charleston Electric Coo	operative		C	Jha <sup>,</sup>	rles		
	<u>.</u>			—			l ist A
Summary of Materials Used	I to Date - Per Applicant R	lecore	ds	_			Sheet 3 of 3
Material	Quantity Used		Cost		Total	1	
53" guy link	4	\$	17.50	\$	70.00	* A salvage	value of \$1.00/KVA
6A fuse	11	\$	2.65	\$	29.15	was receive	d for all transformers.
75 kVa trans 120/240v	1	\$	940.00	\$	940.00	*	
8' composite xarm	21	\$	37.00	\$	777.00	1	
8' ground rod	25	<u>\$</u>	5.25	\$	131.25	1	
8' wood xram	152	\$	28.44	\$	4,322.88	1	
8" helix	1	\$	18.00	\$	18.00	1	
8A fuse	5	\$	2.45	\$	12.25	1	
CI 4 30' pole	6	5	130.00	\$	780.00	1	
	4		163.00	\$	652.00	4	
	3		299.00	\$	897.00	4	
	99		263.00	<b>⊢</b> ⊅	26,037.00	4	
CI 4 45 pole			257.00	<b>→</b>	1 22	4	
	<u>4</u> 258		0.00		105 78	A	
	<u>200</u>		4.00		236.00	.1	
D CIEVIS W/ Spoor	20		2 95		70.00	.1	
Therefore standoff bracke	<u> </u>	$\frac{\varphi}{\varphi}$	24.00	e a	96.00	.1	
flot woohor	420	<u>Ψ</u>	0 15		63.00	.1	
around rod clamp	25	+	0.10	<del>ر چ</del>	18,25	4	
ground rod damp		<u>+</u> <u></u> <u></u>	3 10	¢ ¢	9.30	.1	
yuy yaru bard bead	111	+	0.56	ŝ	62.16	1	
hat line clamp	1	+*	6.25	ŝ	6.25	A	
house knob	8	<u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u></u>	1.98	<u>s</u>	15,84	.1	
Hughes xarm (sets)	6	<u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u></u>	195.00	1 Š	1.170.00	.1	
insulator pin	215	Ť,	2.37	i ŝ	509.55	.1	
kvs-28 connector	4	Ť,	11.85	I Š	47.40	<i>.</i> 1	
mast clamp	20	\$	4.50	ī Š	90.00	1	
Pac 345	2	\$	1.15	\$_	2.30	/1	
pole guy attachment	28	\$	1.85	\$	5 <u>1.80</u>	,1	
pole top pin	90	\$	4.10	\$	369.00	1	
PTF 6-350 6 hole lug	3	\$	5.60	\$	16.80	,	
spring lock washer	407	\$	0.17	\$	69.19	,	
staples	1168	\$	0.04	\$	46.72	.1	
transformer screw pin	1	\$	3.50	\$	3.50	1	
w-20-1 service wedge d.e	. 132	\$	0.90	\$	118.80	1	
w-40-1 service wedge d.e	, 111	\$	1.25	\$	138.75		
wr 159	162	\$	0.24	\$	38.88	<u>,</u>	
wr 189	158	\$	0.45	\$	71.10		
wr 289	10	\$	0.35	\$	3.50	1	
wr 379	4	\$	0.55	\$	2.20	1	
wr 399	18	\$	0.44	\$	7.92		
wr 419	20	\$	0.44	\$	8.80	1	
wr 815	5	\$	4.10	\$	20.50		
wr 885	12	\$	6.20	\$	74.40	1	
			ļ	(	,	Total all Sh	ieets
		Ļ		₩Ţ	′	1 005 (	
		\$	<u> </u>	\$	<u> </u>	\$ 75,965.37	8,
				_			
PREPARED BY: James Coa	ach					TITLE: Project (	Officer

PROJECT WORKSHEE	FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAI	NAGEN 1 and	AENT AGE Scope c	NCY	ork Contin	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
ASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA	ID NO.			DATE	CATEGORY
4007- pp ST	PW/S-F07		000-FF	FFF		2/28/2007	
MA-4007DR-01		<u> </u>	000-11	<u> </u>		2/20/2001	
PLICANT		со	UNTY	-			
harleston Electric Coc	operative		Ć	Char	les		
							List B
Immary of Materials for M	Nork to be Completed - Pe	ər App	licant Re	cord	ls		Sheet 1 of 2
Material	Quantity Used		Cost		Total		
#6 sd cu	852	\$	0.26	\$	221.52	* A salvage valu	ue of \$1.00/KVA
#2 auto splice	5	\$	5.66	\$	28.30	is anticipated for	or all transformers.
#2 d.e. wraplock	4	\$	1.00	\$	4.00		
#2 neutral service splice	1	\$	0.86	\$	0.86		
#4 sd al wire	463	\$	0.06	\$	27.78		
#6 duplex	158	\$	0.13	\$	20.54		
1/0 ACSR	5893	\$	0.39	\$	2,298.27		
1/0 auto splice	3	\$	7.25	\$	21.75		
1/0 d.e. shoe	7	\$	12.10	\$	84.70		
1/0 d.e. wraplock	1	\$	3.45	\$	3.45		
1/2" x 6"	4	\$	1.26	\$	5.04		
10 kV lightning arrestor	7	\$	26.95	\$	188.65		
10" helix	7	\$	27.75	\$	194.25		
100 W HPS light	12	\$	58.50	\$	702.00		
15 kV poly d.e. insulator	30	\$	10.33	\$	309.90		
26" xarm braces	39	\$	5.95	\$	232.05		
3/8" strandvise	5	\$	8.95	\$	44.75		
3/8" X 4 1/2" carriage bolt	t 42	\$	0.40	\$	16.80		
3/8" guy wraplock	6	\$	1.90	\$	11.40		
36" xarm braces	4	\$	7.95	\$	31.80		
36" guy lonk		<u> </u>	4.80	\$	4.80		
37.5 KVa transformer	2	- <del> </del> >	484.00	\$	968.00	*	
4/7 auto splice	0	- <del>-</del>	21.50	\$	129.00		
4/0 a.e. snoe	12	ې م	14.50	ф Ф	22.40		
4/0 spool lie	12	- ф с	0.05	ф Ф	11 /0		
4/0 (()))) 5/0" v 6"	1Z	ф ф	0.95	Ф Ф	2.08		
5/0" ava halt X 12"		ф ф	2.52	ф Ф	2.00		
	11	γ \$	2.23	φ Φ	20.25		
5/8" v 10" holt-split out	57	- v s	0.62	φ ¢	35.34		
5/8" X 12"	59	\$	0.02	Ψ S	36.58		
5/8" X 14"	31		1 30	\$	40.30		
5/8" X 18"		- Š	2.10	\$	2.10		
5/8" X 22" holt	15	Ś	2.90	\$	43.50		
65A fuse	3	- Š	5.75	\$	17.25		
8' ground rod	10	Š.	5.25	\$	52.50		
8' xarm	39	- ŝ	28.44	\$	1,109,16		
allev arm	1	Ś	9.95	\$	9.95		
anchor rod	7	- Š	9.30	\$	65.10		
C neck insulator	90	\$	2.95	\$	265.50		
crimpit	19	\$	0.33	\$	6.27		
curved washer	136	\$	0.41	\$	55.76		
D clevis	38	\$	4.00	\$	152.00		
fiberalass standoff bracke	et 1	\$	24.00	\$	24.00		
flat washer	129	\$	0.15	\$	19.35		
around rod clamp	10	\$	0.73	\$	7.30	Subtotal - This	Sheet
auv aard	12	\$	3.10	\$	37.20	\$ 7	.712.61
go, g	470	- è	0.40	è	00.40		r Totol
3/8" auard wire	1/6	15	0.16	Ð	28.10	See Sneet Z to	TULAI

PROJECT WORKSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MAN T - Damage Description	1ELAN AGEM <b>and S</b>	d securi ent agen Scope of	TY ICY F <b>Wo</b>	rk Contin	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA I	D NO.			DATE	CATEGORY
	PWS-F07	(	000-FFF	FF-	00	2/28/2007	l F
				<u> </u>			
APPLICANT Charlesten Electric Coc		1000		horle			
Charleston Electric Coo				nane	35		
Summary of Materials for N	Work to be Completed - Pe	r App	licant Re	cord	Is		List B Sheet 2 of 2
Material	Quantity Used		Cost		Total	7	
hard head	11	\$	0.56	\$	6.16	6	
Hughes xarm	4	\$	195.00	\$	780.00	)	
pole top guy attachment	18	\$	1.85	\$	33.30	<u>)</u>	
pole top pin	6	\$	4.10	\$	24.60	)	
spring lock	208	\$	0.17	\$	35.30	6	
staples	4/8	\$	0.04	\$	19.14	2	
W-20-1	<i>l</i>	ۍ م	0.90	\$	0.30	2	
wr 159	<u> </u>	<del>م</del>	0.24	\$	1.32	2	
Wr 189	20	ф Ф	0.40	\$ ¢	11.2	2	
Wr 289	ა 	Ф Ф	0.30	<u>ъ</u>	1.00		
WI 9	12	Ψ ¢	6 20	ъ С	74 4(	+	
Varm nim	<u> </u>	Ψ S	2.37	ф Ф	116.13		
7/16" auv wire	360	- <del>Š</del>	0.24	ŝ	86.40		
7/16" duy wraplock	8	\$	3.75	\$	30.00	<u>ר</u>	
7/16" strandvise	8	\$	10.95	\$	87.60		
	75	\$	(1.00)	Š.	(75.00	)	
	· -	\$	-	\$		4	
		\$	-	\$	-	1	
<u> </u>		\$	-	\$		1	
		\$	-	\$		1	
		\$	-	\$		1	
		\$	-	\$		1	
		\$	-	\$	-		
		\$	-	\$	-		
		\$	-	\$			
		\$	-	\$			
		\$	-	\$	-		
		\$	-	\$	-		
ļ		\$		\$			
		\$	-	\$	-		
	I	\$	-	\$	-	4	
		<del>م</del>	-	<del>م</del>	-	4	
		ф Ф		Э Ф		4	
	<u> </u>	Ψ ¢		Ψ Φ		4	
	<u> </u>	Ψ \$		Ψ ¢	-	-	
	<del></del>	¥ \$		Ψ S		-	
	<del></del>	- š		Ś		-	
		Ś	-	\$	-	-1	
	<del></del>	- \$	-	\$	-	-1	
	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	-	-	
		\$	-	\$	-	1	
		\$	-	\$	-	1	
		\$	-	\$	-	1	
		\$	-	\$	-	Total all	Sheets
		\$	-	\$	-	\$ 8,967	7.04
		\$	-	\$		1	
	<u> </u>						
REPARED BY: James Coa	ach					TILLE: Project	Officer

DEPARTMEN FEDERAL EMERC FORCE ACCOUNT E	T OF HOMELA BENCY MANA	AND SECURITY GEMENT AGENCY SUMMARY RECORE	)				PAG	θE	OF	- E>	O.M.B. (pires (	No. 166 October	0-0017 31, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	PR	OJECT	NO.			1	DISAST2ER				
									2				
LOCATION/SITE			CA	TEGOR	Υ	_			PERIOD CC	VERING			
Charleston Electric Cooperative		000-FFFFF-00	PWS-F07							FEMA 4	4007-	DR-ST	
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED NE Sector, City of Charleston			F							1/30/2007 to 2/16/2007			
Restore Electric Distribution System													
TYPE OF EQUIPMENT		OPERATOR'S		DATE	S AND	HOURS	USED	EACH DA	Y		c	OSTS	
INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE	EQUIPMENT CODE NUMBER	NAME	DATE							TOTAL HOURS	EQUI	IPMENT ATE	TOTAL COST
			HOURS	2/2 Refe	2/9 to app	2/16 licant s	d for we	ek endin v records	g: for daily tota	ls			
C-3500 SINGLE BUCKET TRUCK	8810	Freeman	HOURS	64	91	43				198	\$	36.90	\$7,306.20
C-2500 3/4 TON 4 X 4 PICKUP	8802	Phillips	HOURS	21	49	24				94	\$	9.30	\$874.20
C-2500 3/4 TON 4 X 4 PICKUP	8802	Jacobs	HOURS	64	68	36				168	\$	9.30	\$1,562.40
F-150 1/2 TON PICKUP	8801	Thompson	HOURS	64	81	40				185	\$	7.40	\$1,369.00
026 STIHL CHAINSAW	8191	Freeman	HOURS	34	19	0				53	\$	2.45	\$129.85
026 STIHL CHAINSAW	8101	Miller	HOURS	34	19	0				53	\$	2.45	\$129.85
F-800 LINE TRUCK	8814	Johnson	G	RAND	TG4T/	AL/59			$\rightarrow$	103			\$6,180.00
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMAT	ION WAS OBTA		CORDS,	INVOIC	ES, OR	OTHER	DOCU	MENTST	HAT ARE AV		OR AU	DIT.	¢17 551 50
CERTIFIED	TITLE						004	DATE	5	φπ,σοπου			
FEMA Form 90-127, FEB 06 John Adams	PREYIOUS IEDITION OBSOLETE							2/2	28/200	7			

DEPARTMEN FEDERAL EMERC FORCE ACCOUNT E	T OF HOMELA GENCY MANA EQUIPMENT	AND SECURITY GEMENT AGENCY SUMMARY RECORD	)				PAG	)E	_ OF	- E	O.M.B. No. 1660 xpires October :	0-0017 31, 2008	
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.	PF	OJECT	NO.			2	DISAST2	2			
LOCATION/SITE			CA	TEGOR	Y				PERIOD C	OVERING			
Charleston Electric Cooperative		000-FFFFF-00		PWS-F07					1	FEMA	4007-DR-ST		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED NE Sector, City of Charleston			F							1/30/2007 to 2/16/2007			
Restore Electric Distribution System													
TYPE OF EQUIPMENT		ODERATORIA		DATE	S AND	HOURS	USED	EACH DA	Y		COSTS		
INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE	INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE NUMBER									TOTAL HOURS	EQUIPMENT RATE	TOTAL COST	
			HOURS	Total 1 2/2 Refer	tours p 2/9 to appl	2/16 icant su	for wee	ek ending records f	: or daily tota	als			
FORKLIFT 100 HP	8302	Jennings	HOURS	16	62	17				95	\$ 18.25	\$1,733.75	
F-800 DOUBLE BUCKET TRUCK	8810	Miller	HOURS	63	75	36				174	\$ 36.90	\$6,420.60	
			HOURS										
			HOURS										
			HOURS										
			HOURS										
			G	RAND	тот	ALS			$\rightarrow$				
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMAT	ION WAS OBTA	NNED FROM PAYROLL RE	CORDS,	INVOICE	ES, OR	OTHER	DOCUI	MENTST	HAT ARE A	VAILABLE	FOR AUDIT.	¢0 151 25	
CERTIFIED	TITLE						209	DATE	φο, 104.35				
FEMA Form 90-127, FEB 06 John Adams	PRETYREN SIEDITION OBSOLETE							2/28/200	7				

DEPARTMEN FEDERAL EMER FORCE ACCOUNT I	IT OF HOMELA GENCY MANA EQUIPMENT	AND SECURITY GEMENT AGENC SUMMARY RE						PAGE	1	_ OF	— Е	O.M.B xpires	. No. 1660 October (	-0017 11, 2008
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PRO	JECT N	10.				DISASTER	2			
Charleston Electric Cooperative		000-FFFF	-00	PWS-F07						FEMA 4007-DR-ST				
NE Sector, City of Charleston				CATE	EGORY	F				PERIOD C	:OVERING 1/30/20	RING 0/2007 to 2/16/2007		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFORMED									2	l'				
Restore Electric Distribution System											_			
TYPE OF EQUIPMENT DATES AND HOURS USED EACH DAY										COSTS				
INDICATE SIZE, CAPACITY, HOURSEPOWER, MAKE AND MODEL AS APPROPRIATE	EQUIPMENT CODE NUMBER	NAME	D.	ATE							TOTAL HOURS	EQI	JIPMENT RATE	TOTAL COST
F-800 DOUBLE BUCKET TRUCK	8810	Not Assigned	но	DURS	190						190	\$	36.90	\$7,011.00
C-3500 SINGLE BUCKET TRUCK	8810	Not Assigned	но	OURS	176						176	\$	36.90	\$6,494.40
C-2500 ¾ TON 4 X 4 PICKUP	8802	Not Assigned	н	DURS	116						116	\$	9.30	\$1,078.80
C-2500 ¾ TON 4 X 4 PICKUP	8802	Not Assigned	н	DURS	92						92	\$	9.30	\$855.60
FORKLIFT 100 HP	8301	Not Assigned	но	DURS	20						20	\$	8.25	\$165.00
F-800 LINE TRUCK	8814	Not Assigned	но	DURS	56						56	\$	60.00	\$3,360.00
F-150 ½ TON PICKUP	8801	Not Assigned	н	DURS	80						80	\$	7.40	\$592.00
				GR	AND	тоти	ALS	1			730			19,556.80
I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMAT	ION WAS OBTA		DLL RECOR	RDS, IN	VOICE	S, OR	OTHER	DOCUME	ITS T	HAT ARE A	VAILABLE F	FOR A	UDIT.	
CERTIFIED John Adams		TITL	≡ ıperviso	r								DAT 2	E /28/200	7
FEMA Form 90-127, FEB 06		PRE	VIOUS EDI	TION O	BSOLE	ΞTE								

	DEPATRTMENT OF HOMEL FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA CONTRACT WORK SUM	AND SECUR				PAGE	_ OF	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008		
APPLICANT		PA ID NO.		PROJECT NO	2	1	DISASTÊR			
LOCATION/SITE Charleston Electric Coo	perative	000	-FFFFF-00	CATEGORY PWS	-F07		PERIOD COVER	RING MA 4007-DR-ST		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK PERFINE Sector, City of Charl	ORMED eston ribution System			I	F		1/30/2007 to 2/16/2007			
DATES WORKED	OMMENTS - SCOPE									
1/31/2002 to 2/10/2002	2883 KP ELECTRIC CO		PO 5566		\$65,358	3.82	Pole and w (West of	vire replacement - Area 1 Rt. 81)		
2/2/2002 to 2/16/2002	2233 POWER SUPPLY COR	P	PO 5572		\$28,322	2.24	Pole and w (West of	vire replacement - Area 1 Rt. 81)		
2/2/2002 to 2/16/2002	2667 ON-LINE ELECTRIC C	0	PO 5599		\$127,53	37.23	Pole and w (East of	<i>v</i> ire replacement - Area 2 Rt. 81)		
		GRAN		1						
I CERTIFY THAT	I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED FROM PAYROLL RECORDS, INVOICES, OR OTISE 200208129TS THAT ARE AVAILABLE FOR AUDIT.									
CERTIFIED			TITLE					DATE		
FEMA Form 99-126 FEB 06			Supervisor	OUS EDITION C	BSOLETE			2/28/2007		

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
PROJECT WORKSHEET

Public expend aspect Securit 1660-0 form.	reporting bu led by person of the collec ty, Federal E 1017). You a <b>NOTE: Do</b>	rden for this for ns to generate, tion, including mergency Ma are not require <b>not send your</b>	PAPERW form is estimate , maintain, disc g suggestions for anagement Ager ed to respond to r completed qu	ORK BURDE d to average 90 lose, or to provid or reducing the b ncy, 500 C Stree this collection estionnaire to t	CN DISCLOSUI minutes per responde information to u purden to: Informat t, SW, Washington of information unl this address.	<b>RE NOTIO</b> use. Burden us. You ma tion Collect 1, DC 2047 ess a valid (	CE n means the y send com ions Manag 2, Paperwor OMB numb	time, effort and ments regarding tement, U.S. Dep k Reduction Pro- er appears in the	financ the bu partme ject (( upper	ial re irden nt of OMB right	sources estimate or any Homeland Control Number corner of this	
DISAS	TER		PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO.		DATE		CAT	EGOR	Y	
FEMA-	4008 -	DR- <u>ST</u>	SPW-F	-08	000-FFF	FF-00	8/	25/2006	F	F		
DAMA	GED FACILI	<u>—</u> і					WORK	COMPLETE AS	OF			
100,	000 Gallo	n Elevated	Water Tanl	<			8/2	5/2006 :		0	%	
APPLIC	CANT				COUNTY							
Morg	gan City				Marks			24 +2 - 54 20 400-740-750-75		63 An-524 S	94 (2011) (1999) (1991) (1971)	
LOCAT	Block, Bu	el Avenue.	Morgan Cit	y, ST				LATITUDE 35.9125	6	LON -9	GITUDE 7.92581	
DAMA	GE DESCRI	PTION AND D	IMENSIONS					-	-			
High servii bolts single <i>Re</i> i	serving the municipal water system to collapse. Wind loads on the tank appear to have caused structural failure of the anchor bolts connecting tower legs to the foundation. The tank was supported by four steel lattice type legs, each anchored by a single anchor bolt to a concrete foundation. <i>Refer to the Continuation Sheet for further description of the damage.</i>											
Work Repla 1. Fo 2. La 3. 10 4. 15 5. Ca 6. 10 <i>Refe</i> l	<ul> <li>Work to Be Completed:</li> <li>Replace and install the following:</li> <li>1. Foundations to restore anchor bolts elements - 4 Each</li> <li>2. Lattice work legs to support elevated water tank - 4 Each</li> <li>3. 100,000 gallon, steel plate, 28-ft tall x 26-ft diameter, elevated water tank - 1 Each</li> <li>4. 150 HP to 4000 GPM pump and associated electrical power to supply water to the elevated tank - 1 Each</li> <li>5. Cast iron piping and associated valves connected to water tank - 200 LF</li> <li>6. 100 kW diesel fueled emergency generator - 1 Each.</li> <li><i>Refer to continuation sheet for further description of scope of work items.</i></li> </ul>											
Does Specia Is the	the Scope o al Consider re insurance	of Work chan ations issues e coverage or	nge the pre-dis s included? n this facility?	saster condition X Yes X Yes	ns at the site?	Hazard N	s <b>x</b> Aitigation	No Proposal includ	led?	Y	es X No	
					PROJECT CO	ST						
ITEM	CODE		NA	RRATI∨E		QUANTI	TY/UNIT	UNIT PRICE			COST	
		Work to I	Be Comple	ted								
1	9999	Replace	elevated wa	ater tank (See	e CEF)	1 / LS	6	\$ 804,293.0	00	\$	804,293	
2	5901	Less Anti	icipated Ins	urance Proce	eeds	1 / LS	\$					
								TOTAL COST	▶ \$	;	804.293	
PREPA	RED BY		TI		fficer		SIGNATU	RE	*		,	
APPLIC	CANT REP.		T_		niicer		SIGNATU	RE				
	APPLICANT REP. TITLE SIGNATURE											

FEMA Form 90-91, FEB 06

REPLACES ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

PROJECT WORKSHEE	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOM FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANA T - Damage Description a	ELAND SECURITY GEMENT AGENCY and Scope of Work Contin	uation Sheet	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER	PROJECT NO.	PA ID NO.	DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4008 -DR-ST	SPW-F08	000-FFFFF-00	8/25/2006	F
APPLICANT Morgan City		COUNTY Marks		

## DAMAGE DESCRIPTION AND DIMENSIONS (Continued)

The foundation configuration has not been identified. Debris from the falling tank impacted and damaged a pump used to supply water to the tank; 200-FT of above ground cast iron piping and associated valves; an emergency generator and 300-FT of perimeter fencing; including a double-wide swing gate. Specific damages consist of:

- 1. Anchor bolts failed on four (4) foundations.
- 2. Lattice work legs supporting elevated water tank collapsed 4 Each.
- 3. 100,000 gallon, steel plate, 28-ft tall x 26-ft diameter, elevated water tank collapsed 1 Each.

4. 50 HP to 4000 GPM pump and associated electrical power to supply water to the elevated tank damaged by falling debris - 1 Each.

- 5. Cast iron piping and associated valves connected to water tank damaged by falling debris 200 LF
- 6. 100kW diesel fueled emergency generator damaged by falling debris 1 Each
- 7. 8-FT high, 6 gauge chain link fencing damaged by falling debris 30 LF

8. 5-FT high x 20-FT wide opening, double-wide swing gate damaged by falling debris - 1 Each

Latitude/Longitude measured at water tank located on Unit Block of Buel Avenue.

Morgan City is sole owner of the water tank and performs annual maintenance. Maintenance records are on file at the Applicant's office.

This site was not previously damaged.

A site inspection was performed on 8/1/07 to verify hurricane damages claimed by the applicant. Present at the site were Joe Bob and Bob Joe, a FEMA Building Assessment Team and Ms. Jennie Pat of Porter Engineering, Inc., the technical consultant for Morgan City.

## **SCOPE OF WORK (Continued)**

## Work to be Completed:

7. 8-FT high, 6 gauge chain link fencing - 30 LF

8. 5-FT high x 20-FT wide opening, double-wide swing gate - 1 Each

This facility is insured. A copy of the insurance policy, declarations page and schedule of values is on file with the JFO.

RS Means Building Cost Data 2007 was used to develop the project using the Cost Estimating Format (CEF) included in the Project Worksheet. A cost estimating specialist assisted with developing the unit costs.

Narrative, photographs, location map, FIRMette, and CEF are attached to this Project Worksheet.

PREPARED BY: James Coach

TITLE: Project Officer

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL EMERGE <b>SPECIAL CONS</b>	FOF HOMELAND SECURITY ENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY SIDERATIONS QUESTIONS	<i>,</i>	O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008						
APPLICANT			DATE						
Morgan City		000-FFFFF-00	8/25/2006						
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NO.	LOCATION							
100,000 Gallon Elevated Water Tank	SPW-F08	Unit Block, Buel Ave	nue. Morgan City, ST						
F	orm must be filled out - for	each project.							
<ol> <li>Does the damaged facility or item of work h X Yes No Unsure C         A copy of their policy, declaration page</li> </ol>	nave insurance and/or is it an ins <sup>omments</sup> State Agency Ins age and schedule of values	surable risk? <i>(e.g., buildings, eq</i> surance. The Applicant in s is on file at the JFO.	uipment, vehicles, etc.) Isures this water tower.						
<ol> <li>Is the damaged facility located within a floodplain or coastal high hazard area/or does it have an impact on a floodplain or wetland?         Yes         No         X Unsure         Comments         FIRM 12027C00203B site appears to be on the boundary of Zone X. Work is repair of existing structure to pre-disaster dimensions. Project should not affect base flood levels or flood values or characteristics.     </li> </ol>									
<ul> <li>3. Is the damaged facility or item of work located within or adjacent to a Coastal Barrier Resource System Unit or an Otherwise Protected Area?</li> <li>Yes X No</li> <li>Unsure</li> <li>Comments</li> </ul>									
<ul> <li>4. Will the proposed facility repairs/reconstruction)</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	tion change the pre-disaster co omments	ndition? (e.g., footprint, material,	location, capacity, use or						
<ol> <li>Does the applicant have a hazard mitigation</li> <li>Yes No X Unsure C</li> <li>Applicant may request mitigation to rewind damage.</li> </ol>	n proposal or would the applicar omments eplace elevated water tank	nt like technical assistance for with a ground tank to red	a hazard mitigation proposal?						
<ul> <li>6. Is the damaged facility on the National Reg other, similar buildings near the site?</li> <li>Yes X No Unsure C</li> </ul>	ister of Historic Places or the st	ate historic listing? Is it older t	han 50 years? Are there						
7. Are there any pristine or undisturbed areas	on, or near, the project site? Ar omments	e there large tracts of forestla	nd?						
8. Are there any hazardous materials at or adj	acent to the damaged facility ar omments	nd/or item of work?							
9. Are there any other environmental or contro	versial issues associated with t omments	he damaged facility and/or ite	m of work?						
EEMA Form 90-120 EEB 06	PREVIOUS EDITION OBSO	FTE							

	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF FEDERAL EMERGENCY N	HOMELAND SEC			O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008						
DISASTER	PROJECT WORKSP	PA ID NC	Sneet	DATE	CATEGORY						
FEMA-4008 -DR-ST	SPW-F08	00	0-FFFFF-00	8/25/2006	F						
APPLICANT		COUNTY									
Morgan City			Marks								
			DESCRIPTION								
water tank	eu 100,000 ganon ele	evaleu	F11010 2 - F		·						

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROJECT WORKSHEFT - Photo Sheet					O.M.B. No. 1660-0017 Expires October 31, 2008
DISASTER PROJECT NO.		PA ID NO		DATE	CATEGORY
FEMA-4000 -DR-31 APPLICANT	3FVV-F00	COUNTY	0-FFFFF-00	8/23/2000	
Morgan City			Marks		
DESCRIPTION			DESCRIPTION		
Photo 3 - Fence Da	amaged by Tank Colla	apse	Photo 4 - C	Collapsed Tanks a	ind Lattice Leg





FEMA Form 90-91C, FEB 06